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Abstract—In this paper, we consider the problem of robust system identification under sparse gross errors. In our problem, system parameters are observed through a Toeplitz matrix and a few of the observations are corrupted with gross errors. We reduce this problem of system identification to a sparse error correcting problem using a Toeplitz structured real-numbered coding matrix. We prove the performance guarantee of Toeplitz structured matrix in sparse error correction. Thresholds on the percentage of correctable errors for Toeplitz structured matrices are also established.

I. INTRODUCTION

In system identification, an unknown system state $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is often observed through a Toeplitz matrix $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, namely

$$y = Hx,$$

where the Toeplitz matrix $H$ is equal to

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  h_{-m+1} & h_{-m+2} & \cdots & h_1 \\
  h_{-m+2} & h_{-m+3} & \cdots & h_2 \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  h_{-m+n} & h_{-m+n+1} & \cdots & h_n \\
\end{pmatrix},
$$

and we assume $n \geq m$ in this paper.

If there is no interference or noise in the observation $y$, one can then simply recover $x$ from a matrix inversion. However, in some cases, a few elements of $y$ can be corrupted with large-magnitude gross errors. Such errors can happen with the failure of measurement devices, measurement communication errors and the interference of adversary parties. In fact, gross errors, sometimes called bad data or outliers, have a substantial effect on correctly estimating the state. Thus, it is necessary to protect the estimates from these gross errors. Research along this direction has attracted a significant amount of attention, for example, [2], [3], [4], [5].

Mathematically, when both additive measurement noise and gross errors are present, the observation $y$ can be written as

$$y = Hx + e + w,$$

where $e$ is a sparse gross error vector with $k \ll n$ nonzero elements, and $w$ is a measurement noise vector with each element being i.i.d. Gaussian random variables. We focus on the case where $m$ is fixed, which is often the case in system identifications.

In what follows, we are interested in recovering $x$ in the presence of sparse gross errors. This reduces to the now well known problem of sparse error correction [7], [8], [9], [19]. While one may exhaustively search for all the $(\binom{n}{k})$ possibilities of the locations of gross errors, this is of high computational cost. Based on [7], [8], it is very natural to solve the following convex program:

$$\min_{x,z} \|y - Hx - z\|_1,$$

subject to $\|z\|_2 \leq \epsilon$. (I.1)

In this convex program, $z$ accounts for the additive noise error; and the sparse nature of $e$ is approximated by minimizing the $\ell_1$ norm of $y - Hx - z$. Note that this convex program reduces to the standard Basis Pursuit approach when there is no additive measurement noise $w$ and $z$ is removed from the convex program (I.1). The used convex program then becomes

$$\min_{x} \|y - Hx\|_1.$$

In works which discuss sparse error correction problems [7], [8], [9], [12], [13], [18], [19], each element of the matrix $H$ is assumed to i.i.d. random variables following a certain distribution, for example, Gaussian distribution and Bernoulli distribution. These types of matrices have been shown to obey the restricted isometry condition, and (I.2) can correctly recover $x$ when there are only gross errors present; and can recover $x$ approximately when both gross errors and measurement noise exist. However, in the system identification problem, $H$ has a natural Toeplitz structure and the elements of $H$ are correlated. The natural question is whether (I.2) also provides a performance guarantee in recovering $x$ with a Toeplitz matrix structure. We provide a positive answer in this paper. To this end and to simplify the analysis, in this paper, we focus on the case when only large gross errors are present.

In this paper, we investigate the performance of Toeplitz structured matrices in sparse error correction from the point view of high dimensional geometry. We show that like other matrices with i.i.d. random variables, Toeplitz structured matrices also enable the successful recovery of $x$ by using the convex optimization (I.2). The main contribution of this paper is the establishment of the performance guarantee of Toeplitz structured matrices in sparse error correction. In particular, we calculated the thresholds on the sparsity $k$ such that an error vector with no more than $k$ nonzero elements can be recovered using (I.2).

There is a well known duality between compressed sensing
error corrections. Toeplitz and circulant matrices have been studied in compressed sensing in several papers [14]-[16]. In these papers, it has been shown that Toeplitz matrices are good for recovering sparse vectors from undersampled measurements. In contrast, in our model of sparse error correction, the signal itself is not sparse and the linear system involved is overdetermined rather underdetermined. Also, the null space of a Toeplitz matrix does not necessarily correspond to another Toeplitz matrix; so the problem studied in this paper is essentially different from those studied in [14]-[15]-[16].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II and Section III, we derived the strong threshold on $k$ such that all sparse vector with no more than $k$ nonzero elements can be recovered with high probability. In Section IV, we calculate the weak thresholds on $k$ such that a fixed sparse vector is recovered with high probability. In Sections V and VI, we provide the numerical results and conclude our paper by discussing extensions and future directions.

II. STRONG THRESHOLDS

Now we consider the thresholds on $k$ such that the system state can be correctly estimated from all sparse vectors with no more than $k$ nonzero elements. Our derivation is based on the following now-well-known theorem about the subspace spanned by $H$ (see [20], for example).

**Theorem 2.1:** $\ell_1$ recovery works if and only if every vector $w$ in range of the matrix $H$ satisfies $\|w_K\|_1 \leq \|w\|_1$, for any subset $K \subseteq \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ with cardinality $|K| \leq k$, where $k$ is an integer, and $K = \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \setminus K$.

To simplify our analysis, we assume that $h = (h_{m+1},h_{m+2},\ldots,h_n)$ is a vector of i.i.d. Gaussian random variables following a distribution of $N(0,1)$. So we only need to look at for what $k$, this condition is satisfied with high probability. The difficulty lies in proving the condition for every vector in the subspace generated by $H$; and the elements of $H$ are not independent random variables. For our proof, we adopt the following strategy of discretizing the subspaces generated by $H$.

1. We consider the vectors $Hz$, where $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\|z\|_2 = 1$.

2. Cover a finite $\gamma$-net set of $V = \{z_1,\ldots,z_N\}$ points on the unit $m$-dimensional Euclidean sphere such that for any point $z$ on the unit Euclidean sphere, there is an $l$ such that $\|z - z_l\| \leq \gamma > 0$.

3. Establish the concentration of measure phenomenon for the discrete $\gamma$-net.

4. Use the concentration of measure phenomenon for the $\gamma$-net to prove the concentration of measure for all the unit-normed vectors $z$ on the unit Euclidean sphere in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

In Section III, for a $z$ from the unit Euclidean sphere, we have the concentration of $\|Hz\|$.

**Lemma 2.2:** Let $\|z\|_2 = 1$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that when $n$ is large enough, with probability $1 - 2e^{-c_1\epsilon^2n}$, it holds that $(1 - \epsilon)S \leq \|Hz\|_1 \leq (1 + \epsilon)S$, where $S = nE[|X|]$ and $X$ is a random variable following the Gaussian distribution $N(0,1)$.

Also in Section III, for a $z$ from the unit Euclidean sphere, we have the concentration of the following property, which is similar to the condition in Theorem 2.1.

**Lemma 2.3:** Let $\|z\|_2 = 1$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ be a constant. Then there exists a threshold $\beta$ and a constant $c_2$ (depending on $m$ and $\beta$ ), such that, with a probability $1 - e^{-c_2m}$, for all subsets $K \subseteq \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ with cardinality $|K| \leq \beta n$, $\|H\|_K \leq 1 - \frac{\delta}{2 - \delta}$.

The above two lemmas indicate that with overwhelming probability the recovery condition in Theorem 2.1 holds for the discrete points on $\gamma$-net. The following lemma extends the result to all the points $z$ on the unit Euclidean sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Lemma 2.4:** There exist a constant $c_3 > 0$ such that when $n$ is large enough, with probability $1 - e^{-c_3n}$, the described Toeplitz structured matrices have the following property: for every $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and every subset $K \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}$ with $|K| \leq \beta n$, $\sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)_i| - \sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)'_i| \leq \delta |S|$, where $\delta > 0$ is a constant.

Proof: For any given $S > 0$, there exists a $\gamma$-net $V$ of cardinality less than $(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{S}})^m$. A $\gamma$-net $K$ is a set of points such that $\|v_k\|_2 = 1$ for all $v_k \in V$ and for any $z$ with $\|z\|_2 = 1$, there exists some $v_k$ such that $\|z - v_k\|_2 = \gamma$.

Since each row of $H$ has $m$ i.i.d $N(0,1)$ entries, each element of $Hz$ is an (not independent) $N(0,1)$ entry. Applying a union bound to Lemma 2.3 and 2.2, we know that for some $\delta > 0$ and for every $\epsilon > 0$, with probability $1 - 2e^{-c_1\epsilon^2n}$ for some $c > 0$,

$$\|Hv\|_K \leq \frac{(1 - \delta)(1 + \epsilon)}{2 - \delta}S$$

and

$$(1 - \epsilon)S \leq \|Hv\|_1 \leq (1 + \epsilon)S$$

hold for a vector $v \in V$. When $n$ is large enough, from union bound we get that (II.1) and (II.2) hold for all the points in $V$ at the same time with probability at least $1 - e^{-c_3n}$ for some $c_3 > 0$.

For any $z$ such that $\|z\|_2 = 1$, there exists $v_0$ in $K$ such that $\|z - v_0\|_2 \leq \gamma_1$. Let $z_1$ denote $z - v_0$, then $\|z_1 - \gamma_1v_1\|_2 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \gamma_1 \gamma \leq \gamma^2$ for some $v_1$ in $K$. Repeating this process, we have

$$z = \sum_{j \geq 0} \gamma_j v_j$$

where $\gamma_0 = 1$, $\gamma_j \leq \gamma^j$ and $v_j \in V$.

Thus for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $z = \|z\|_2 \sum_{j \geq 0} \gamma_j v_j$.

For any index set $K$ with $|K| \leq \beta n$,
\[
\sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)_i| = \|z\|_2 \sum_{i \in T} \left| \sum_{j \geq 0} \gamma_j v_j \right|
\leq \|z\|_2 \sum_{i \in K} \sum_{j \geq 0} \gamma^j |(Hv_j)_i|
= \|z\|_2 \sum_{i \in K} \gamma^j \sum_{j \geq 0} |(Hv_j)_i|
\leq S\|z\|_2 \frac{(1 - \delta)(1 + \epsilon)}{2(1 - \delta)(1 - \gamma)}
\]

\[
\sum_{i} |(Hz)_i| = \|z\|_2 \sum_{i \geq 0} \left| \sum_{j \geq 0} \gamma_j v_j \right|
\geq \|z\|_2 \sum_{i \geq 0} \left| \sum_{j \geq 1} |(Hv_j)_i| \right|
\geq \|z\|_2 \sum_{i \geq 0} |(Hv_0)_i| - \sum_{j \geq 1} |(Hv_j)_i| \geq \sum_{i \geq 1} |(Hv_0)_i| - \sum_{j \geq 1} |(Hv_j)_i| \geq \|z\|_2 (1 - \epsilon) S - \sum_{j \geq 1} \gamma^j (1 + \epsilon) S \geq S\|z\|_2 \frac{(1 - \epsilon - \gamma (1 + \epsilon)}{1 - \gamma}.
\]

Thus\[
\sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)_i| - \sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)_i| \geq S\|z\|_2 \frac{(1 - \epsilon - \gamma (1 + \epsilon)}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{2 (1 - \delta)(1 - \epsilon)}{2 (1 - \delta)(1 - \gamma)}.
\]

For a given \(\delta\), we can pick \(\gamma\) and \(\epsilon\) small enough such that\[
\sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)_i| - \sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)_i| \geq \delta S\|z\|_2 > 0\]
for every non-zero \(z\), then from Theorem 2.1 \(x\) is the unique solution to the \(\ell_1\)-minimization problem.

**Proof:** Lemma 2.4 indicates that\[
\sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)_i| - \sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)_i| \geq \delta S\|z\|_2 > 0\]
for every non-zero \(z\), then from Theorem 2.1 \(x\) is the unique solution to the \(\ell_1\)-minimization problem.

III. PROOF OF CONCENTRATION OF MEASURE IN LEMMA 2.2 AND LEMMA 2.3

In this section, we prove Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3. We will use the concentration of measure inequalities and the Chernoff bounds for Gaussian random variables [17].

**Proposition 3.1:** (Gaussian concentration inequality for Lipschitz functions) Let \(f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}\) be a function which is Lipschitz with constant 1 (i.e. for all \(a \in \mathbb{R}^d\) and \(b \in \mathbb{R}^d\), \(|f(a) - f(b)| \leq \|a - b\|_2\) Then for any \(t\), we have

\[
P(|f(x) - E\{f(x)\}| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}},
\]
where \(X\) is a vector of \(d\) i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variables \(N(0, 1)\).

**Proof of Lemma 2.2** We show, for any \(\|z\|_2 = 1\), the function \(f(h) = \|Hz\|_1\) is a function of Lipschitz constant

\[
\sqrt{m(n + m - 1)},
\]
where

\[
h = \langle h_{m+2}, h_{m+1}, \ldots, h_n \rangle.
\]

For two vectors \(h_1\) and \(h_2\), by the triangular inequality for \(\ell_1\) norm and Cauchy inequality,

\[
|f(h_1) - f(h_2)| \leq \sum_{i = -m+2}^{n} |(h_{i+1})_i - (h_{i+2})_i| \times \|z\|_1
\leq \sqrt{n + m - 1} \|h_1 - h_2\|_2 \sqrt{m}\|z\|_2
= \sqrt{(n + m - 1)m}\|h_1 - h_2\|_2
\]

where \(\|z\|_2 = 1\).

Then a direct application of the Gaussian concentration inequality leads us to 2.2.

**Proof of Lemma 2.3**

Note that for a vector \(z\) from the unit Euclidean norm in \(R^m\), we have

\[
\sum_{i \in K} |(Hz)_i| \leq \sum_{i \in K} |H_{i,j}| |z_j|,
\]
and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

\[
\sum_{i \in K} |H_{i,j}| |z_j| \leq \sum_{j \in J} \sqrt{m}|h_j|,
\]
where \(h_j\) is an element of the set \(h\) and \(J \subseteq \{ -m+2, \ldots, n \}\) is the set of indices \(j\) such that \(h_j\) is included in \(H\). So the cardinality \(|J| \leq mk\).

By the property of Toeplitz matrices, the number of rows of \(H\) that involve only \(h_j\)'s with \(j\) coming from \(\{ -m+2, \ldots, n \} - J\) is at least \(n - k \times (2m - 1)\). For a fixed vector \(z\), there exists a set \(I \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} - K\) with cardinality at least

\[
\frac{n - k \times (2m - 1)}{2m - 1}
\]
such that \((Hz)_i, i \in I\), are independent \(N(0, 1)\) Gaussian variables; moreover, these \((Hz)_i, i \in I\), are independent from those \(h_j\)'s with \(j \in J\).

Thus for a fixed support set \(K\), the probability that

\[
|\|H\|_1| > \frac{1 - \delta}{2 - \delta} \|Hz\|_1.
\]
is smaller than the probability that

\[
\sqrt{m} \sum_{i=1}^{mk} |h_i| \geq (1 - \delta) \sum_{j=1}^{n - k \times (2m - 1)} |h_j|,
\]
where (without a little abuse of notations) \(h_i\)'s and \(h_j\)'s are all i.i.d. \(N(0, 1)\) Gaussian random variables.
Now we use the Chernoff bound,
\[
P(\sqrt{m} \sum_{i=1}^{mk} |h_i| \geq (1-\delta) \sum_{j=1}^{n-k \times (2m-1)} |h_j|) \leq \min_{\mu \geq 0} \left\{ e^{\mu(\sqrt{m} \sum_{i=1}^{mk} |h_i| - (1-\delta) \sum_{j=1}^{n-k \times (2m-1)} |h_j|)} \right\} = \min_{\mu \geq 0} \left\{ e^{\mu(\sqrt{m} \sum_{i=1}^{mk} |h_i|)} \cdot E\left\{ e^{-\mu(1-\delta) \sum_{i=1}^{n-k \times (2m-1)} |h_i|} \right\} \right\}
\]

Now we have
\[
E\left\{ e^{\mu(\sqrt{m} |h_i|)} \right\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} |h_i| e^{\frac{\mu u \sqrt{m}}{2}} du = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{u^2}{2}} \int_{\mu(1-\delta)}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = 2e^{\frac{\mu^2}{2}} F(\mu \sqrt{m}),
\]
where \( F(t) \) is the cumulative density function for a standard Gaussian random variable \( N(0,1) \).

Similarly, we have
\[
E\left\{ e^{-\mu(1-\delta)|h_i|} \right\} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{\mu^2}{2}} \int_{\mu(1-\delta)}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = 2e^{\frac{\mu^2(1-\delta)^2}{2}} (1 - F(\mu(1-\delta))).
\]

Putting this back into the Chernoff bound,
\[
\log(P(\sqrt{m} \sum_{i=1}^{mk} |h_i| \geq (1-\delta) \sum_{j=1}^{n-k \times (2m-1)} |h_j|)) \leq mk(\log(2) + \frac{m\mu^2}{2} + \log(F(\mu \sqrt{m}))) + \frac{n-k \times (2m-1)}{2m-1} \log(1 - F((1-\delta)u)).
\]

Let \( k = \beta n \) and because \( \log(\binom{n}{\beta})/n \to H(\beta) \) as \( n \to \infty \), where \( H(\beta) = \beta \log(1/\beta) + (1-\beta) \log(1-1/\beta) \). So as long for a certain \( \mu > 0 \),
\[
H(\beta) + m\beta \times [\log(2) + \frac{m\mu^2}{2} + \log(F(\mu \sqrt{m}))] + \frac{1}{2m-1} \beta \log(2) + \frac{1}{2m-1} \beta \mu^2 (1-\delta)^2 + \log(1-F(\mu(1-\delta))) < 0,
\]
then \( \beta \) is within the correctable error region for all the support sets \( K \) with high probability.

We notice that the last quantity can always be made smaller than 0 if we take \( \beta \) small enough.

\[ \blacksquare \]

IV. WEAK THRESHOLDS

Theorem 4.1: For any \( \beta < 1 \), when \( n \to \infty \), the system state can be recovered perfectly using \( \ell_1 \) minimization from an error vector with \( \beta n \) sparse errors with high probability.

Proof: Without loss of generality, we assume that the support set of the error vector \( e \) is \( K \) and all the nonzero elements in the vector \( e \) are positive. Then from triangular inequality, \( X \) can be recovered perfectly if and only if for every vector \( z \in R^m \),
\[
\sum_{i \in K} \langle Hz, i \rangle \leq \| (Hz)_K \|_1,
\]
where \( K \) is the complement of the set \( K \).

Let us define the function
\[
f(h) = \sum_{i \in K} \langle Hz, i \rangle - \| (Hz)_K \|_1.
\]

Similar to previous arguments, for a vector \( \| z \|_2 = 1 \), the function \( f(h) \) has a Lipschitz constant of \( \sqrt{m(n+m-1)} \). So by the Gaussian concentration inequality for the Lipschitz functions,
\[
P(|f(h) - E[f(h)]| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2m(n+m-1)}}.
\]

And,
\[
E[f(h)] = E\left\{ \sum_{i \in K} \langle Hz, i \rangle - \| (Hz)_K \|_1 \right\} = -n - |K|E[X],
\]
where \( X \) is a random variable following the standard Gaussian distribution \( N(0,1) \).

So with a probability less than \( 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2m(n+m-1)}} \),
\[
|f(h) - E[f(h)]| \leq c(n - |K|)E[X].
\]

From Lemma 2.2 and similar \( \gamma \)-net arguments as in proving the strong thresholds, with high probability over the distribution of \( h \), \( f(h) \) is negative simultaneously for every unit-normed \( z \) in \( R^m \). Thus we have proven this theorem. \[ \blacksquare \]
V. NUMERICAL EVALUATIONS

In this section, based on the derivations in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we calculate the strong thresholds for different values of \( m \). As we can see, as \( m \) increases, the correlation length in the matrix \( H \) also increases and the corresponding strong threshold decreases. Note that for all \( m \), the weak threshold is always 1.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we studied performing system identification under sparse gross errors by using \( \ell_1 \) minimization. In this problem, system parameters are observed through a Toeplitz matrix and some of the observations are corrupted with gross errors. We reduce this problem of system identification to a sparse error correcting problem with a Toeplitz structured real-numbered coding matrix. We showed the performance guarantee of Toeplitz structured matrix in sparse error correction. Thresholds on the percentage of correctable errors are also established as a function of the system memory length. One interesting future work is to investigate the thresholds when the system parameter \( m \) is growing proportionally with \( n \). It is even interesting just to see whether strong thresholds exist in such a scenario for Toeplitz matrices.
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