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## STELLINGEN.

## I.

Kroeber (Arapaho Dialects p. 92) ziet niet scherp, en dwaalt althans, voorzoover het Blackfoot betreft, als hij beweert: „it seems possible that we may ultimately be justified in speaking of Algonkin as truly „holophrastic" or ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ polysynthetic". But if so these terms will essentially be only a convenient designation for the linguistic process which allows two verbs to consolidate into a single one."

## II.

Kroeber (Arapaho Dialects p. 91) dwaalt als hij zegt: "that in "incorporating" languages noun and verb can be combined to form verbs, whereas in other idioms they combine only into nouns."

## III.

Als Kroeber (Arapaho Dialects p. 92) beweert dat „the principles of Algonkin verb-formation are in some respects conspicuously different from those of IndoEuropean", toont hij te weinig inzicht in het oudere Indogermaansch.

In het Blackfoot komt een parasitische spirant voor, zooals Kroeber (Arapaho Dialects p. 82) die aanneemt voor het Arapaho, Cheyenne en Yurok.
V.

Dr. W. Jones' opmerking over de „stress" (Algonquian Word-formation; Am. Anthrop. N. S. VI p. 375), waaruit blijkt, dat deze klemtoon die syllabe op den voorgrond brengt, die in de combinatie het meest de aandacht moet trekken, gaat niet op voor het Blackfoot.

> VI.

De verbale uitgang stempelt in het Blackfoot eventueel elk taalelement, hetzij dit al of niet samengesteld is, tot een verbaalvorm.

## VII.

H. Gering's vertaling van Atlakvipa 32, 4 (holkve hvilbebjar) „beim Schlafgemach" is te verwerpen.

## VIII.

Bij de etymologie van „sprokkelmaand" dient men aan oud-germaansche vrucbtbaarheidsgebruiken te denken. Noch de verklaring van J. Grimm (Deutsche Mythologie p. 749), noch die van Weinhold (Deutsche Monatsnamen p. 57), Bilfinger (Zeitschr. f. D. Wortf. V p. 264) en Ehrismann (P. Br. B. XX p. 64) voldoen.

## IX.

D. durchbrennen moet in semantisch verband gebracht worden met oostvl. inbranden.

## X.

De verklaring van den titel van Vondels Geusevesper is te zoeken in den toon en de bedoeling der RoomschKatholieke Vesper.

## XI.

Het taalgebruik van sommige primitieve volken en dat van moderne (realistische en impressionistische) woordkunstenaars vertoonen opmerkelijke punten van overeenkomst, die nog te weinig bestudeerd zijn.

## XII.

De door Prof. Kalff terecht gelaakte gewoonte van Huyghens (Studiën p. 364): een sneldicht met een rijm als b.v. wonderboeck te besluiten met een vers op onderbroeck, is te verklaren uit het betrekkelijk argelooze van dien tijd, die nog niet de uit zelf-analyse geboren schaamte over een dergelijken lust tot onkiesche taal kende.

## XIII.

In Schrijnen's opmerking (Nederl. Volkskunde I p. 288) naar aanleiding van het volksrijmpje:

> "Een bloem buiten den tijd
> Is een bruid of een lijk".
„Ook hier de verwantschap van dood en huwelijk als het telkens wederkeerend refrein" moet huwelijk vervangen worden door conceptie.

## XIV.

Ten onrechte eischt J. de Meester (de Gids, Nov. 1916 p. 373-377) sprookjesachtigheid bij de opvoering van een Indisch drama als de Mṛcchakaṭikā. Even onjuist is zijn qualificatie „kinderlijk" voor dit stuk.
XV.

Oldenberg's geringschatting voor het Hindoedrama, speciaal voor de karakters daarin (Literatur des alten Indien p. 262-275) is te eenzijdig Westersch.

## XVI.

Rinclus vs. $460-464$ :
Hier vormaels brachtmen altoes dien wive, die haren man verloes, enen hoet van wilgen groene geloeft.
De dichter maakt hier gebruik van de omstandigheid, dat de wilg het symbool was van onvruchtbaarheid en kuischheid.

## XVII.

Ten onrechte vertaalt v. d. Bosch (Zwolsche Herdrukken, Granida vs. 311):
"(het Hof) jaecht de lusten voor, u zijn se toeghe[smeten,
het w.w. voorjaghen door: wegjagen. Beter is: najagen.

## XVIII.

Bij de verklaring van 't ndl. beunhaas dient men althans te denken aan „bastardierte Lehnübersetzung" (cf. Mauthner, Die Sprache p. 58) van gr. ßג́vauoos.

## XIX.

Dr. E. C. Godée Molsbergen (N. R. C. 16 Sept. 1916 Ochtend) kan niet bewijzen, dat Plaatje (Native Life in South Africa, before and since the European War and the Boer Rebellion) zijn stam- en landgenooten misleidt, als hij spreekt van de wreedheid der Boeren tegenover de oude bevolking.

## XX.

Dr. Jac. v. Ginneken in zijn brochure „Als ons moedertaalonderwijs nog ooit gezond wil worden" (p. 18) verwaarloost bij zijn overwaardeering van het „moedertaalonderwijs" vooral de paedagogische waarde van dat in de Geschiedenis.

## PART I.

## General remarks.

With reference to the title of this monograph I first of all wish to declare candidly, that it has been chosen from the standpoint of modern Indo-European languages, i. e. that I have in view those prefixes which agree most with our prepositions (praeverbia) and adverbs, and that for the moment I will not and dare not decide, whether these Indo-European grammatical categories represent as many logical categories in Blackfoot, in short, that I am not sure, whether these grammatical distinctions ought to be made in Blackfoot. Cf. F. Misteli, Typen des Sprachbaus, p. 16*).

[^0]I have not tried to register all the prefixes which might be called prepositional or adverbial, confining myself to only the most obvious of them, and leaving aside all more or less dubious matter, as also the prefixes of a markedly nominal and adjectival character.

In Blackfoot, in Algonkin in general, and in other North American languages the prefixes to be dealt with have already often drawn the attention of students of language. Still, an extensive and I hope a sound treatment of this matter in Blackfoot may not prove superfluous, may even deepen our insight into the structure of Algonkin. But I emphatically declare that I draw conclusions only as far as Blackfoot is concerned, and that I do not wish to follow the example of Jones *) and Michelson ${ }^{* *}$ ) who both talk of "Algonquian" wordformation, etc., whereas they only deal with material from Fox, one of the dialects of the so-called CentralAlgonkin. In this respect Kroeber ${ }^{* * *}$ ), whose publication on Arapaho dialects has been of great service to me, expresses himself much more guardedly, or perhaps I had better, say much more scientifically.

One passage, surely, from this article of Kroeber's I might have placed as a motto above my treatise scil.: "In short the undetermined and, in the writer's mind, fundamental problem of Arapaho, Fox, and Algonkin in general is, whether these languages say "he enterlooks", „he enters-lookingly", or „enteringly he looks."

[^1]And indeed this question is also for Blackfoot of the greatest importance and the solution of the problem which I think I have found, and which is suggested by the material handled in Part II. I will try to work out in the next pages.

The fact that Kroeber and the present author look upon this problem as the basis of the study of Algonkin, tallies with the inference already drawn by Powell: "Thus it is that the study of an Indian language is, to a large extent, the study of its verbs" (Ann. Rep. Bur. Ethnol. I, p. 11). By the side of these words of one of the earlier Americanists, which by their generality sound almost classical, I will, with special reference to Blackfoot, quote the words of one of the latest in this branch of science, namely Uhlenbeck (Some General Aspects of Blackfoot Morphology *) p. 33) : „When treating the nouns and other parts of speech, we have seen already how marked a predilection Blackfoot has for verbal constructions, and the knowledge of kindred languages has prepared us to meet also in this dialect with a complicate verbal system absorbing and incorporating all kinds of elements." So Uhlenbeck calls Blackfoot holophrastic or polysynthetic (see: Conjunctief-achtige Modi van het Blackfoot. Versl. Meded. der Kon. Akad van Wetensch. Afd. Lettk. 4e Reeks. Deel XII. Amsterdam 1913. p. 244.)

Also Jones, Michelson and Kroeber use these terms when speaking about Algonquian dialects which they seem to take for representatives of Algonkin in general. As Blackfoot is therefore also included in their inferences

[^2]we must of necessity examine their opinions on this point more closely. That Jones is thinking of holophrasis, becomes evident from these words : „Some of the dialects, like the Ojibwa, Ottawa, and Potawatomi, are disintegrating. The breaking up is not uniform throughout a dialect; it is faster in the regions where civilized influences predominate or play a controlling force, while the purer forms are maintained in the places where ideas of the old-time life and associations have a chance to live and survive" (Algonquian Word-formation. Amer. Anthr. N. S. VI. p. 370) and from p. 384 of the same article: „It would perhaps be more correct to use the term sentence instead of word; because the combined effect of all the elements in a combination is really that of a sentence or an approach to something more like a sentence." Expressed in this general way no objection can be raised to the opinion of Jones, as concerns Blackfoot. But let us now consider what he thinks of the essential point of holophrasis or polysynthesis, in other words, what his idea of the composition of verbal forms really is. In his treatise on Fox (Handbook of American Indian Languages I) edited and in some places enlarged by Michelson, we read: "The principal process used for grammatical purposes is composition of stems. The stems are almost throughout of such character that they require intimate correlation with other stems, which is brought about by a complete coalescence of the group of component elements. These form a firm word-unit. Excepting a number of particles, the word unit in Algonquian is so clearly defined that there can be no doubt as to the limits of sentence and word." (A modern linguist not forgetting that language itself is something living would probably have worded that first sentence somewhat
differently). Then we read (op. cit. p. 759) : „Most of the elements that enter into composition are so nearly of the same order, that we can not properly speak of prefixes or suffixes", and a little farther: „The extended use of composition of verbal stems is particularly characteristic of the Algonquian languages. These stems follow one another in definite order. A certain differentiation of the ideas expressed by initial stems and by those following them, which may be designated as secondary stems, may be observed, although it seems difficult to define these groups of ideas with exactness." And then we get, notwithstanding this „difficulty", a somewhat vague philosophizing about these two groups, the latter of which is once more divided into two classes - to clear up the "difficulty", probably!

Let us consider what, according to Jones and Michelson, is to be stated about these stems.
A. The so-called „initial stems":
$1^{0}$. they are capable at times of standing alone, with the function of adverbs.
$2^{0}$. an initial stem can enter into composition with only a formative and express an independent statement, though not always with a sharply defined meaning.
$3^{0}$. many „initial stems" occur in combination with each other, sometimes followed by so-called secondary stems, sometimes not.
N.B. Amongst the 123 „initial stems" which Michelson adds to the examples of Jones, only a few stand in „initial" position.
B. The "secondary stems":
$1^{0}$. they never occur alone.
$2^{0}$. they are rarely found in conjunction with only a formative.
$3^{0}$. they sometimes occupy the first place, even in a nominal form like tcī'māni canoe (Handbook, p. 793).
$4^{0}$. some of them are frequent in nominal forms (ib. p. 794), and look more like "incorporated" nouns than like verbal stems.
$5^{0}$. according to Jones the so-called „secondary stems of the second order" show a general similarity with "initial stems" in the ideas they express.
$6^{0}$. Michelson remarks (ibid. p. 802): „that at present there is no reason why the so-called second class of the second order should not be relegated to oblivion and the entire body merged with the stems of the first class of the second order. The proposed division of stems of the first class of the second order into two subdivisions strikes me as sound in principle; but too few secondary stems have been thus far pointed out to make the division feasible at present."

I will not follow Michelson in his use of subjective, uncritical expressions and say that the whole conception of Jones and himself "strikes" me as forced and doctrinary. Without, for the present, pronouncing my private opinion on this head, which would in any case necessitate a thorough study of the Fox material, I leave it to the reader to weigh and compare the statements made sub A and B. Only I should like to draw the reader's attention to the fact that according to Michelson himself the stems of the second order practically form one whole; - that some noun-incorporations proper should be left out of consideration ; - further, that amongst these "secondary stems" there are a number which in meaning strongly resemble initial stems; that, moreover, initial and secondary stems have some common characteristics after all; cf. Kroeber's remark,
cited on p. 11. Only one more statement of Jones I should like to insert here (Algonquian Word-formation, p. 402): „The components seem to stand toward each other in the position of qualifiers, the sense of one qualifying the sense of another with an effect of directing the meaning toward a particular direction. But whatever be the influence at work, the result is a specialization of meaning, not only of the single member in the group but of all the members as they stand together with reference to one another. The stems seem charged with a latent meaning which becomes evident only when they appear in certain relations; out of those relations they stand like empty symbols. It is important to emphasize the fact that the order of stems in a group is psychologically fixed." Put side by side with the remarks mentioned sub A 1 and 2, B 2, 3 and 4 it is not easy to see how Jones has come to the conclusion worded in the last sentence but one. As to the last sentence, we shall see later on that this "psychologically fixed place" does not necessarily lead to the making of such a psychologically lifeless classification into initial and secondary stems.

In his „Arapaho Dialects," p. 90 sqq. Kroeber expatiates on the Arapaho verb and that of Algonkin in general, following Jones-Michelson. Kroeber starts from „the more conservative premises of prefix, stem, and suffix.' His main conclusions are as follows:
$1^{0}$. „Word-forming prefixes, in turn, are often difficult to separate from independent words. Thus, täs-i- and tcän-i- mean "on" and „under" in verbs ; but provided with the locative suffix -i-hi' they are adverbs which stand alone. Just so xou-wu-hu', „straight", and xanou, „immediately", are employed, in the forms xou- and
xanou-, as prefixes to verbs. Verbs themselves, like tcäsis, "to begin", and Эanku-h, "to follow", are used as prefixes to other verbs" (p. 90).
$2^{0}$. "It is true that even when these elements are themselves verbs they are not used merely with the personal suffixes, but that second elements such as -hi-, -ni-, -ku-, -xa-, -h- are added to them. Now these added elements, which are frequent on indubitable verb stems, have all been listed as suffixes. But the possibility is by no means precluded that these "suffixes" whose significance usually is of the vaguest and most general, are themselves the real stem of the verb; in which case the preceding element, which is so much more specific in meaning as usually to carry the principal idea conveyed by the complete word, would after all be a prefix of the adverbial or prepositional type familiar from so many other languages" (p. 90).
$3^{0}$. „That Arapaho, like Shoshonean and some other American languages, possesses true compound verbs verbs functioning as such and composed of two verbs is thus probable" (p. 91).
$4^{0}$. "In short, it would seem that the Arapaho verb is frequently, perhaps normally, compounded of elements which themselves either are, or can become, verbal in force" (p. 91).
50. „In fine, the Algonkin verb, so far as Arapaho is representative of it, can not in any off-hand manner be broken up into the usual elements of "prefix", „stem", and "suffix" ; and any attempt to apply such a procedure leads quickly to contradictions and inconsistencies that reveal the arbitrariness of the method" ( $\mathrm{p}, 92$ ).

More politely than truthfully Kroeber continues: „The late Dr. William Jones reached exactly the same con-
clusion as regards the Fox dialect" (p. 92). For Jones, it is true, says: "Most of the elements that enter into composition are so nearly of the same order, that we can not properly speak of prefixes or suffixes" (Handbook p. 759), but as we have seen, instead of those old categories he uses the new names ,,initial stems", ,secondary stems of the first order", ,secondary stems of the second order", and "secondary co-ordinative stems", to which he wishes to give a fixed place in the word-compound, which is the very characteristic of prefixes and suffixes.

Still greater is Kroeber's deviation from the truth when he says: ,That the principles of Algonkin verb formation are in some respects conspicuously different from those of Indo-European Dr. Jones has made very clear; and a realization of this fact is the first requisite to a true understanding of Algonkin structure" (p. 92). This mistake may perhaps be due to Kroeber's warm sympathy with and admiration of Jones, whose true merits are, however, great enough to enable him to dispense with that erroneously ascribed to him here. Uhlenbeck (Internationales Archiv f. Ethnographie, Bnd. XXIV 1916, p. 37), when reviewing this pamphlet of Kroeber's, has already referred to this passage and has made the following remarks on this head:
$1^{10}$. „What do we know, after all, about Indo-European verb-structure? .... As I take it, the Indo-European verb-formation is just as enigmatic as the Algonquian verb-structure."
$2^{0}$. „that the students in that field [scil. of American Indian linguistics] have some more or less erroneous ideas about Indo-European, and that for that reason they had better leave the Old World languages alone, when
discussing the fundamental traits of the aboriginal languages of America".
$3^{0}$. ,we can expect more light to be thrown on IndoEuropean or Semitic by a thorough study of phenomena of relatively ,"primitive" languages than vice-versa".

This is surely sufficient to put Kroeber's implicitely pronounced assertion on Indo-European simply aside, but we might even make a positive attack on his contention that „the principles of Algonkin verb-formation are in some respects conspicuously different from those of Indo-European" by pointing to such works as: P. Persson, Wurzelerweiterung und Wurzelvariation 1891 and P. Persson, Indogermanische Wortforschungen 1912. See, moreover, some articles and notes of R. M. Meyer (Indogermanische Forschungen XII, p. 46), K. Brugmann (I. F. XII, p. 150), Johansson (Beiträge zur Geschichte der Deutschen Sprache und Literatur XV, p. 227 and Zeitschrift f. Deutsche Philologie 31, p. 296) and others, all of which point to the fact that there are undoubtedly Indogermanists who admit the possibility of polysynthetic verbal structure or at least of the composition of verbal stems in Indo-European and are fain to show some traces of this phenomenon.

In conclusion Kroeber contends: „there seem to be only three possible descriptions of the Algonkin verb that have a usable meaning' (p. 93) scil.:
„The first interpretation is that of the verb as the result of a process of composition similar to that of noun composition, but extended in Algonkin also to verbs. This essentially is the conclusion of Dr. Jones; and it is also the inference of the present writer. But it cannot be too clearly recognized that even if this explanation is in substance the correct one we do not
yet really know anything as to the rules and conditions and limitations of this verb-compounding.

The second interpretation of the Algonkin verb is that of a stem followed by a greater or less number of suffixes. In this case the "initial" stem of Dr. Jones would be the only true stem, his "secondary stems" the suffixes. In support of this explanation is the fact that the initial elements of verbs come nearest to having the power of forming words by themselves, in both Arapaho and Fox; and the statement of Dr. Jones (Am. Anthr. N. S. VI, p. 401) that on the whole initial stems more definitely perform the function of verbs. If this view is correct, the type of Arapaho verb-building would be somewhat analogous to that of Eskimo.

The third possible interpretation is also that of a verb stem with affixes, the word-forming ones, however, being chiefly or wholly prefixes, the stem coming last, except for grammatical endings. In favour of this last view is the fact that practically all the "secondary stems of the second order" given by Dr. Jones are naturally translated by words which in most other languages are verbs, whereas substantially all his cited "initial stems" and "secondary stems of the first order" can actually be rendered, without much distortion as adverbs, nouns, auxiliary verbs, or modal particles. It is not certain how far Dr. Jones's examples of each class are fully representative of that class, his list obviously aiming at well translated instances rather than at fullness; but it is clear that his own presentation of evidence leaves the interpretation of the „secon dary stems of the second order" as being true verb stems defined by prefixes, in a position where it cannot be summarily dismissed."

In special reference to Dr. Jones's sketch of Fox revised and enlarged by Dr. Michelson for the "Handbook", Kroeber gives his view on the Fox verb. He says (p. 95): „The secondary stems of the first order are clearly not the principal stems of the verb-complexes. The „initial stems" may be verbs. If they are, the "secondary stems of the second order" are either suffixes or other verbs compounded with the „initial stems". That they are suffixes does not seem likely from the character of the examples given. If their number is substantially limited to those quoted, their suffix nature might be conceived of; but if their number is indefinitely large they cannot well be anything but true verb stems. The evidence of quantity, then, becomes as decisive on this point as that of quality; and this evidence must be awaited with interest from Dr. Michelson or some other authority competent to carry on Dr. Jones's analysis. Meanwhile the strong probability is that if the „initial stems" are truly verbal in nature, the normal Fox verb is a compound binary verb. [Why „binary"? or, in other words, what has become of the poor nsecondary stems of the first order" ?] If, on the other hand, the "initial stems" are essentially adverbial, prepositional, or modal in quality, they deserve only to be ranked as prefixes, even if some of them may have reached this condition by the way of once having been subsidiary verbs; and in that case Fox, and with it no doubt Algonkin in general, possesses verbs that are built up around a kernel of a normal verbal stem or root, as in most languages, and these stems or roots are the "secondary stems of the second order".

In my opinion we have now given sufficient space to Kroeber which, to be sure, he fully deserves on ac-
count of his careful and critical way of reasoning, and we now know his view. So we had better summarize at once what we have to find fault with in his conclusions and what inferences may be drawn on this head by an investigation of the Blackfoot material.

On p. 3 we already quoted Uhlenbeck when he deals with the marked predilection of Blackfoot for verbal constructions'. The words, e.g., which have an independent form in - ua are verbal: they have the function of a 3 prs. sg. (Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects. p. 9 and 16); nomina loci with prefixed it - and ending in-opi, and nomina instrumenti with prefix ixt-and ending in-opi are 1 prs. plur. inclusive; many personal names are verbal forms e.g.: suyéniki Kills-inwater etc. sub sui- PartII; an adjective used attributively is generally an inseparable verbal prefix (loc. cit. p. 18); used predicatively it is an intransitive verb. The majority of pronouns are often verbalized; the independent cardinals (animate and inanimate) occur with verbal endings just like different other series of numerals (e. g. to count days and nights, or one's age, the ordinalia). Pure verbal forms (and with these I mean those forms which, looked upon from the point of view of modern English, we should call verbal) possess, moreover, an almost unlimited capacity to absorb all sorts of elements. Local and instrumental case-suffixes and postpositions, e. g., do not exist in Blackfoot, but the language incorporates local and instrumental prefixes into the verb. Also noun-incorporation plays a rather important part (loc. cit. p. 58-59). With special regard to the compositional power of the Blackfoot verb, we may, starting like Kroeber from „the more conservative premises of prefix, stem, and suffix", state the following:
10. Prefixes have sometimes arisen because a verbal root was very often used as the first part of a compound (cf. Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects, p. 59, who also mentions some suffixes of similar origin). See in Part II e.g.: amat-, mat-, omat-, aumatap-, kot(s)-, $\alpha$ skak-, apam-, tap-, u $\chi \mathrm{s}^{-}$, etc. Also compare the results of Kroeber, p. 8 sub 2.
$2^{0}$. Many prefixes also occur as independent adverbs, although they have always a suffix $-0 \chi \mathrm{ts}$ (i), - a $x$ ts (i), -ists(i), in this function, e.g.: akimó $\chi$ ts, akó $\chi$ ts, amítoxts, anáuko $\chi$ ts, ap $\alpha$ mo $\chi$ ts, ap $\alpha$ tó $\chi$ tsik' spó $\chi$ ts, námists, ksistóxtsi, etc. (cf. the similar results of Kroeber, p. 7 sub 1).
$3^{0}$. Many prefixes may acquire verbal force (cf. p. 8 sub 4, where Kroeber draws the same conclusion) ; examples are to be found Part II passim.
$4^{0}$. The elements referred to sub $3^{0}$ may be turned into verbal forms by means of a verbal ending, occasionally preceded by a verbalizing suffix or incorporated noun.
$5^{0}$. Probably this verbalizing suffix does not even deserve that name and may also turn out to be a compositional element with a very vague general meaning. Cf. the notes of Kroeber on suffixes (loc. cit. p. 105106): "What at first appears to be the stem preceding this suffix is in most cases an element which itself is normally a prefix. Whether the "prefix" tcäbi- or the "suffix" -xa is the true verbal "stem" remains to be determined as in so many other cases".

As such apparent suffixes seem, e.g., to occur - ap (i) -, $\mathrm{ixk}-(-\mathrm{oxk}-)$, $-\mathrm{ixp}-(-\mathrm{oxp}-)$, $-\mathrm{ixt}-(-\mathrm{o} \chi \mathrm{t}-)$, though they also occur as so-called prefixes, sometimes even as independent verbs, e.g.: ixtó; see Part II ap-, $\mathbf{i x k}$-, ixp-, ixt-.
60. At first sight the last element to which the verbal ending is joined, looks very much like the verbal stem proper; but that they are by no means always original verbs and that, indeed, the verbal ending as such turns the whole combination into a verbal form is proved by forms like:
isksáxkuspinìu she had earth on her cheeks nbt. 73, 16 (cf. ksáxkum earth; motstsípina cheek; -iu is verbal ending).
ki mátopiu and he had also an arrow nbt. 46, 1 (matagain, also; cf. \&́pssi arrow).
iiksímiko the snow was deep nbt. 120,8 (ik(s)- very; imi- deep; kóni, rarely used for kóniskuyi snow).
aiksistsínikinau then she had done skimming (lit.: then she had completed the legbones-soup) nbt. 11, 16 (ai- see Part II; ksist- done, Part II; nitsínikinàni my soup of the legbones).
$7^{0}$. It surely follows from the Blackfoot material in Part II, that in every form every element has its "psychologically fixed place", but this place may be quite different in another combination and depends entirely on the order in which the elements of thought rise before the speaker's mind, owing to their greater or lesser importance or to the association of ideas. Thus the element, which in one case heads the compound and might be called a prefix, has in another combination changed places with what in the first case might have been called stem or even suffix.
$8^{0}$. Besides, owing to their vagueness, some elements may occur more than once in one complex e. g.: ai -, $\mathrm{it}(\mathrm{s})$-, $\mathrm{ixt}-$.

As we see (especially sub $1^{0}, 2^{0}, 3^{0}, 5^{0}$ ) there is indeed a remarkably great similarity between the facts in Ara-
paho and Blackfoot and also between Fox and Blackfoot, as became evident from the careful examination by Kroeber and ourselves of Dr. Jones's results. And if the present author draws a conclusion from these facts, which does somewhat differ from that of Jones and Kroeber, this is mainly due to some of the other characteristics, noted about Blackfoot verb-structure (sub $6^{0}$, $\left.7^{0}, 8^{0}\right)$. For on account of these, there can be no question in Blackfoot of the even for Fox very doctrinary stemclassification of Jones, the weak points of which are brought forward by the characteristics noted on p. 5-6.

Nor should I like to say with Kroeber (see above p. 12) that „the verbs are built up around a kernel of a normal verbal stem or root", nor speak of „compound binary verbs". And for this very reason I cannot agree with Kroeber when he says about holophrasis and polysynthesis: „these terms will essentially be only a convenient designation for the linguistic process which allows two verbs to consolidate into a single one." The less because we may get a quite different conception of holophrasis, as it is found in Blackfoot and perhaps in Algonkin in general, if we consider what Jespersen (A Modern English Grammar II Syntax I. p. 6) says on the verb in modern English, scil. that it is especially sentence-building. As we stated already, any word or group of words may be a verb in such languages; or, what is equally true, any word there can be sentence-building. So, we can not, as a rule, find a kernel (the verb) and other parts, but the whole sentence is a verb, cf. Jones's statement quoted on p. 4. E.g. : aukanáiksistsipuyìsi when they all stood in a circle nbt. 73, 13; itápaisauàkstsitsikiòp then we began
to put on other moccasins nbt. 29, 2; áitamauksoyìau they ate the raw entrails, being happy nbt. 166, 1 ; támanistsipitotsauxkyapiksiuaie then he suddenly threw up his head out of the water right in front nbt. 79, 33. But we must not lose sight of the fact that, supposing the present view, nowadays taken by grammarians of modern European languages, - starting from the sentence as being a unit, and the expression of one thought or feeling - to be the right one, the difference with Blackfoot c.s. is not great. It is really only a gradual difference, in so far that the unit of sentence in such ,,primitive" languages as the Algonquian is much stronger (in consequence of which, e. g., incorporated nouns are frequently as it were only represented by an index), and on the other hand it is more capricious and unsystematical and consequently more difficult, because the order of words, which in a language such as modern English has even made inflexion of forms superfluous, is much less fixed. Interesting on this point is also the following remark of Jespersen: "Very often such a verb form [in the old Arian (Indogermanic) languages, as well as in some languages belonging to other groups] was sufficient in itself to express a whole composite thought; what could not be incorporated into the verb form, stood more or less isolated, outside the sentence proper, in what may be called extraposition. In course of time, the tendenz has been to strip the verbal idea or root idea of these accessory notions; but though in a form like must there are no longer any indications of person, number, tense, or mood, most other verb forms still retain some or all of these accessory ideas..." (O. Jespersen. op. c. 1.44). Another student of Indo-European linguistics, therefore, who accepts for the ancient Indo-

European, as well as for some other languages a holophrasis differing somewhat from that meant by Kroeber. The more reason for me, not to consider myself bound down to the three interpretations of the Algonkin verb proposed by Kroeber, although he remarks in a somewhat prophetic tone: „until the science of language is revolutionized by entirely new methods of thinking about it, there seem to be only three possible descriptions of the Algonkin verb that have a usable meaning" (see above, p. 10). And I will make bold to propose a fourth interpretation which I consider documented and explained in the material dealt with in Part II:
"The Blackfoot verb and, - seeing the apparent agreement with the facts given by Jones and Kroeber the Algonkin verb in general, is a compound of various elements (verbal, adverbial, nominal, etc.) characterized as a verbal form by means of a verbal ending".

## PART II.

## List of prefixes.

## ai-, an-.

Monophthongizations of a i- (scil. a-, $\alpha_{-}$) are frequent immediately before -s- and perhaps also before -a- (cf. sai-) e. g.:
ixtástuyìmiu (the people) wintered with nbt. 8, 11. áaxkaiìn he was on his way home nbt. 77, 9. áaxkyapipiksiu (the war-party) ran home nbt. 18, 2.
In many other cases we have to do with a prothetic i- and not with a rest of a i- (as it seemed a moment to the present author) e. g.:
iskaxkósii they stretched their hands back (i. e. they went back to help) nbt. 24, 9.
isksáxkuspinìu she had earth on her cheek nbt. 73, 16. istáisòiau it was winter, when they went on the raid nbt. 23, 13.
iskunátaxkumiks those that shot hard nbt. 12, 14.
isikátoiiksistsikùyi Monday nbt. 231, 1.
ísikotuyìks Black-tails nbt. 7, 20.
As far as I can see, ai-, a u- is the only prefix that is also used as an infix in the strict sense of the word, e. g.:
kaiíxtsipiniki Spotted-kills (woman's name).
paióta Fly(ing) (woman's name).
káiiskstakipxpàukau he had had a beaver-dream nbt. 84, 10.
ámaie paiiskiua over there is somebody corralling nbt. 120, 19.
kaístoxsìnai he stuck himself nbt. 21, 21 (cf. ibidem: ixtsiksístoxsinai he stuck himself with). paiiskiua (the people) was corralling obt. 18, 1.
ánnook káiokùixk this night obt. 13, 11.
káikitotozpaipiìnai maiáii she just jumped to her robe nbt. 138, 17.
káukoztòkiaiks they had only their cars left nbt. 18, 1. áikaukoztxskuǹ̀kiuaie he just shot with it nbt. 183, 12. The prefix ai-, au- (just like it-) may be found more than once in the same form; e. g.:
áitsauaiposkaii oto kkémaiks his wives were the only ones that did not dance nbt. 73, 1.
ki áiaianikapimatsìuaie and he had him for a single man with him nbt. 84, 8.
itauáuakoàu we would chase nbt. $1,22$.
aiaiákapotsiu then he began to pack his meat (on a horse) nbt. 2, 8.
Syntactical.
$1^{0}$. ai-, au- has a durative force (in contrast to itthat expresses perfectivity as well as "being defined as to place or time or circumstances"). So ai-, a u- is frequent in forms of the temporal conjunctive, and of the indicative used as such, to express the unlimited space of time, out of which one special moment is pointed at by a form with it-.
Examples:
áipzskàii they were dancing nbt. 72, 1.
autúsi in spring (when it is spring) nbt. 76, 23.
In other words we can say that with ai-, a u-the situation of things is summarized, and that with itforms the narrative proceeds. E. g.:
áipixtsiu she was far away nbt. 125, 26.
áupokaxkaiimiuaie she went home with her nbt. 124, 12.
áuk $\alpha$ naiokaiau; omá kipitákeu itsipúau all of them slept; that old woman got up nbt. 128, 17.
$2^{0}$. ai-, au- is also iterative and then it may be rendered by the English verb „to use". E. g.:
kaiístozsìnai he stuck himself (scil. the father of each married man who was killed) nbt. 21, 21.
auánit say every time obt. 2, 2.
aipáskasi ... áuaksipuyìau when they danced ... they stood in a circle nbt. 72, 4.
itauákimau then (the people) chased the buffalo nbt. 3, 6.
áuauađkisixk they were shaking their heads (=dancing) nbt. 85, 4.
ánni nitáinausìnai that way he used to dress nbt. 73, 34. áistamsatapiksixp ótokoàuaists then they would throw out their kidneys nbt. 1, 29.
asótsimàni itaisínàkiauaists they made marks on the parfleches (N.B. it- is local) nbt. 2, 19.
itauámiaupiu $=($ the people $)$ began to get on their horses nbt. 3, 6.
aini- cf. sub in-below; down.
aip(i)stsik- $a$ little; scarcely; cf. sub ipistsik-.
aist(8)-, ast(s)-(cf. a i-, sai-) close, near to.
$1^{0}$. frequent as independent verbal stem, i. e. only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
aistsisi when they are close by nbt. 77, 3.
áiikaistsiu (the time) is getting very near nbt. 85, 24. aistsisi when it is close to the time (that ...) nbt.77, 6. itstsíxki áistòyi if some one comes nbt. 175, 19.
$2^{0}$. combined with the verbal stem $-\frac{\circ}{a} \nsim \mathrm{k}-,-o \not x \mathrm{k}-$ „to go, to come". E. g. :
áistoxkìma moyísts he got near the lodges nbt. 81, 5. máxkaistoxkòyis that he might get closer to him nbt. 80, 6.
otáistoxkokoaiauaie when she came near them nbt. 110, 6.
otsitsiíkaistokokoaiauaie she was very close to them nbt. 110,12 *).
áistoxkimiau omím otápimanoài they came near their lodge nbt. 24, 7.
áiistoxkoyìu omí únnasini she got near the men nbt. 168, 28.
áiistå $x k i x k$ when we were near to nbt. 7, 2.
áistoxkìm he got near it nbt. 79, 32.
akomátaistoxkixp we shall get close there nbt. 26, 27. $3^{0}$. combined with various prefixes:
 when they are close by) nbt. 17, 7.
mátsito kk nauaistomaxkàii they all ran again to him nbt. 208, 9.
$4^{0}$. aistsikai-Pek $\dot{\text { niua }}$ the Peigan tribe of not long ago (lit.: near-old) nbt. 203, 9.

[^3]$1^{0}$. ak(s)- nearly, about to, soon; cf. a utx m-akalmost, about to.
$1^{0}$. seldom as independent verbal stem :
nitáiak $I$ shall; cf. nitoxkót 1 can.
$2^{0}$. as a prefix with the meaning nearly, soon:
áksikoko it was nearly night obt. 58, 1.
itáksinetsiu he was nearly drowned obt. 64, 20.
áksimiu he was about io drink obt. 64, 10.
itákotoyiuaie he was nearly taking it nbt. 158, 22.
tautxmákotoàu $I$ am nearly taking it nbt. 158, 24.
ákanetòyiau they were going to separate nbt. 2, 30 .
ákapinàko towards morning nbt. 27, 8.
$3^{0}$. nearly as a tense-prefix of the future:
ákamistutsòp we shall move up nbt. 1, 15.
ákopakìop we shall move nbt. 1, 18.
ákitakàuop we shall make a circle (to chase the buffalo) nbt. 3, 1.
nitáksip $x$ sk $I$ shall dance nbt. 73, 8 .
mátakoxtotakixp 1 will not take from them nbt. 78, 2.
nitáksam $I$ shall hunt obt. 7, 9.
kitákàuatoxpinan we shall eat you obt. $8,26$.
$4^{0}$. The next future seems to be expressed by the combination ai-ak-; e.g.:
annóxk áiàksikòkuixk now this coming night nbt. 119, 6.
áiàksistuyiu it will be cold obt. $13,16$.
ánnoxkaie nitúkska aiáksipxskàu now it is (only) one (night more) they will dance obt. 21, 30.
kitaiákoxkot $I$ shall give it to you obt. 59, 8 .
$5^{0}$. In connection with the adverbial meaning of ak(s) - about to, almost, the sense of a future in
the past is rather intelligible. These forms often have nearly the same value as those with iterative ai(cf. ai- sub $2^{0}$ ):
ákanistsiuaie he would tell her nbt. 1, 33.
otsitákaxkaiixp when he was to go home nbt. 77, 26. áutòsaie ákitanistsiuaie when she came back, he would say to her nbt. 2, 1.
átàkskunakìnai he was going to shoot again obt. 30, 28.
ákstxmथsìkomoauaie it would be broken for him nbt. 2,3 .
ákitomonimaie the would roll (the marrow) in (the manifold) nbt. 2, 5.
ákitaip $\approx \mathrm{kstsimaie}$ he would burst it by chewing it nbt. 2, 6.
akáutsim they would take it nbt. 3,9 .
áis xmoyixk atáksamìixk after a long while he was going to hunt obt. 23, 6.
N.B. omí einíua túksk $\alpha m i$ áukảxtsènikiop we shall kill one of the buffalo nbt. 165, 32.
$2^{0} . \mathbf{a k}(\mathrm{s})$ - round. For different forms see: $\mathrm{u} \chi \mathrm{sok}-$, u $\chi$ so $\chi \mathrm{k}$-.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verbal stem, only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
t $\alpha$ mitap $\alpha$ ksinìnai then it circled around to nbt. 156, 25.
$2^{0}$. as a prefix in nominal forms:
akókatsists the circle-camp nbt. 18, 12.
Perhaps also in: amói akaxkó itóto he came to a round hill nbt. 198, 1, although this form may be regarded as verbal like:
itakítsoaskuyiu the Round-forest nbt. 14, 25.
$3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
ákakàuhop we shall go in a circle after buffalo nbt. 90, 23.
aitakópiiau they sat in a circle nbt. 45, 22.
áuaksipuyìau they stood in a circle nbt. 72, 4.
itauáksipuyimiauaie they were all standing around them nbt. 41, 11.
N.B. aukanáiksistsipuyisi when they all stood in a circle nbt. 73, 13. It is doubtful whether „in a circle" is expressed here by ak- (c.q. to be found in auk-) or by -ksist- (cf. $1^{10}$. ksist-sub $3^{0}$ ).

## ak(ai)-, ak(au)-, (i)kai-; cf. ik-.

These prefixes are to be divided in two rather different semantic groups with the meanings much, many and already. A similar expansion of use and sense is to be noted by the prefix amai- (omai-, umai-, imai-) which besides replaces akai- already after a negative, e.g. sauumai-, matomai-; cf. amai- and Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 49. Especially for this reason I did not hesitate to identify akaimuch with a kai- old, already.
So we have the following meanings:
$1^{0}$. many, much, plenty of.
a) as an element of nominal forms or as an indefinite pronoun, animate and inanimate (when used predicatively this is verbalized):
akáitapisko many people together ( $=$ town $)$.
akáitapì many people nbt. 121, 1.
íkakauoyi auáuaxsists there is plenty of food nbt 76, 19. skímiks akaiími many mares nbt. 224, 14.
mátakauòa istuyísts it was not many years nbt. 224, 16. Kyáiakàiimmi Many-bears (name of a Blood-Indian man).
akaiimmixki they were many obt. $3, \mathrm{n}^{0} .11$.
itakáiimi nótàsinàniks then were many our horses. nbt. 224, 17.
akauóyi many (were) nbt. 224, 38.
b) as an independent adverb ending in -oxts; sometimes used in a verbal form:
akó $\chi$ tsi apźtoxsoztsi very far north obt. 5, 1.
akå' $\not$ tsiaie otokà'ni far down was her hair nbt. 137, 6.
c) as a prefix of verbal forms:
itakáutotau he made plenty of fire (a big fire) nbt. 176, 8.
nimátaikautsipa $I$ do not often swim.
$2^{0}$. old, already. On account of the latter meaning we might call akai- a prefix of the perfect tense (,ein perfektisches Praefix", as German philologists would say), just like ksist- (N.B. they are often found together).
a) with substantives former, ancient:
ákai-Pek $\mathrm{d} n i u a$ the ancient Peigan tribe obt. 5, 1; nbt. 1, 1; nbt. 51, 6.
akái-tapiua the ancient people obt. 57, 1.
b) as a verbal prefix already:
ákauksikìnakìminai she had already turned into bones obt. 25, 12.
גkaitautsiksistopìn (the people) was already sitting nbt. 199, 11.
nikáumotsaiau I have killed (all those) obt. 48, 1. kikátoxkoxkemi you have got another wife obt. 38, 19. ákatoxkoxkeminai he has got another wife obt. 38, 16 . ákainim omík apáuk he had seen there a fint-lnife obt. 47, 18.
kikáu $\chi$ koi you have got something to eat obt. 37, 3.
íkaumatapakìmiu she was already hammering nbt. 11, 21.
nikáinitau $I$ have killed him obt. 46, 25.
ákaumitauàsiu he had turned into a dog obt. 11, 6. ákaitaiksistsauyiau they had already done eating obt. $3, \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{o}} .10$.
akáinauàsiu he has become a chief obt. 53, 28.
ákainim he had seen it obt. 46, 13.
ákaiokau he has fallen asleep obt. 22, 16. akainìi they were dead already obt. 46, 9. okainokai he was already secn by him obt. 20, 8. nikáinitànan we have killed her obt. 28, 16.
kikáipxxtsàn you have said wrong obt. 54, 27. áikaistapaipyàpsapinai he kept looking about farther away nbt. 143, 9.
кkais $\alpha$ momatò it is already a long time that she started obt. 39, 23.
ákais̀̀mo it is already a long time obt. 26, 22.
kaiiksípixtsiau they went already very far off nbt. 130, 1.
mínists $\alpha k a i t s i ̀ ~ t h e ~ b e r r i e s ~ a r e ~ r i p e ~ a l r e a d y ~ n b t . ~ 4, ~ 13 . ~ . ~$ kikáisksinok he already knows you nbt. 120, 20. íkaitsistapu he was going away already nbt. 73, 24. ákauomaxksimiau they had already got big obt. 25, 13.
c) From the meaning mentioned sub $b$ may be also derived the use of this prefix with the value of still which is found to be in some cases the best suitable translation for the combination ok-anist- (cf. man ist-sub $8^{\circ}$ ). The single variant ok-occurs: okáinokai he was already seen by him obt. 20,8 .
Here follow the places where okanist- has been met with:
okánistaiixtsixpiaiks they were still there obt. $64,14$. okánistsitaixtsixp they were still there nbt. 198, 17.
ki okánistaiaұkìtspiaie and it was still smoking obt. $19,15$.
okánistauamotsìixpi they still invite each other nbt. 54, 8.
okánistaixtsixpi they (inan.) are still there nbt. 54, 19.
okánistaixtsìx (his lodge) was still there nbt. 153, 26.
d) Remarkable is akai- with imperatives:
ákaitòpik sit down!
<́nni <́kaitaupit sit there for a moment! obt. 20, 12.
If we may recognize in these forms the perfectic akai-, we may compare constructions like Dutch ぃu flink doorgeloopen! nu den lofzang aangeheven!
(a)kap- often; from ak-(akai-) + ap-(cf. a p-). Compare for similar formation and meaning a map-. The form ikap - is also noted:
mátaksikàpoxtskùnakiòpa we will not often shoot with it nbt. 183, 5.
Examples: áikapisimianistutsimaie he does it often secretly.
áikapisimiitapaumixkau he is often fishing alone secretly.
nitsikákapanistutsixp $I$ often do it.
(a)kim- ikim- at (to) the upper end of the lodge.

The independent adverbial form is often met with:
akimóxts stźmitakaupiu at the upper end he sat down obt. 45, 1.
akimóxts at the upper end nbt. 22, 14.
akimóxtsim in the upper part of the lodge nbt. 156, 32.
$1^{10}$. as an independent verbal stem only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
itsikimau he went to the upper part of the lodge nbt. 124, 4.
támikimò he went to the upper end of the lodge nbt. 136, 15.
$2^{0}$. as a prefix:
nánauaikimàuoyinai he finally went to the upper part of the lodge nbt. 125, 3.
etsekímaixtsenea otséuanoàie their gambling-wheel was lying in the west-end of the lodge. de J. de J. bt. 43, 23. About this place Uhlenbeck, Philol. Notes *) p. 27 says: ${ }_{n}=$ itsikímaixtsinai('a). The prefix kim-refers to the upper end of the lodge". Indeed the interpretation of de J. de J. is supported by no other place.
$\mathbf{a} \chi \mathbf{k}$ - is untranslatable.
Etymologically $\mathrm{a} \chi \mathrm{k}$ - may be connected with the relative ending - $\mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{s})$, cf. sub II (-pi).
Syntactically a $x \mathrm{k}$ - is in the first place the characteristic of the final conjunctive. Moreover it is not only prefixed to a conjunctive, but also to indicative forms, and forms of the so-called false final conjunctive (cf. Uhlenbeck, Conjunctief-achtige Modi p. 17). Another false conjunctivus finalis is found with intransitive verbal stems in -a-; from these stems abstracta are formed with the suffix $-n i$ and to these $\mathrm{a} \not \subset \mathrm{k}-$, $\mathrm{na} \nsim \mathrm{k}$-, etc. is prefixed (ibid. p. 18). The

[^4]$\mathrm{a} \chi \mathrm{k}$ - forms are made negative by means of stai-, stau-, perhaps a phonetical secondary variant of sai-, sau- in the proximity of the $k$.
In a polysynthetic language like Blackfoot, where it is so difficult to distinguish between the syntactic and the morphologic, and where e.g. a firmly maintained characterization of modes and tenses hardly could be pointed out, it will prove best to consider a $\chi \mathrm{k}$ morphologically. a $\chi \mathrm{k}$ - stands in 3 morphologically different constructions and in eaoh of them it may perform various syntactic functions.
I. $a \not x \mathrm{k}$ - c. indicativo.
a) purely relative (to translate by our adjective clauses) : ánnik kokúyik nađksók when $I$ sleep during the nights obt. 67, $\mathrm{n}^{0}$. 6.
ómiaie kínnuna axkauánistsiu there is the one, our father told us about obt. 28, 22.
b) with final, sometimes consecutive meaning:
ákoxtsitokopstai (give them) that she might make soup with them obt. 38, 22.
axkítsoyiu (teli him) that he may eat obt. 32, 23.
pú s apuk, kitoøkémauaiks kaxkitsáipiauaii come here, that you take your wives out obt. 43, 28.
axkítsoatai (make haste) that we may eat it obt. 37, 9. kaxkótomoki (there will be none) that will take (this backfat) from you obt. 42, 16.
áxkitsipìm (tell her) that she should enter obt. 18, 23. N. B. The verbal abstracta in -ani may also find here a place:
káxkstatakàatskàni ( $I$ told you) that you should never have a partner obt. 53, 17.
maxkåxkúitskàni (he was always thinking) that he might have a fight obt. 4, $\mathrm{n}^{0} .19$.
kéka, náxkipitapaiakàni wait a moment, that I may have a chance to confess.
c) with potential meaning:
kázkstymoxtòki they might hear you obt. 44, 15.
áxautamàkotapòtsiu he might soon be here with the pieces of the carcase obt. 27, 3.
káxksistskòkixpinan (I am afraid) that you might make us dirty obt. 33, 19.
sàksíst káxksistskòki go out, you might make us dirty obt. 56, 21.
naxksínauayìsitoki he might hit me obt. $40,8$.
káxkoxtòki they might hear you obt. 41, 32.
axkítsitokiokìmoki (if it is a long time) he may get angry with us obt. 60, 11.
d) to indicate a great probability, a modestly expressed certainty (Eng. auxiliary, "must").
máxkaukoxtsok ửsi it must have been given to him by his son-in-law obt. 37, 4.
axkáumainitàyii they must be crazy obt. 46, 11.
áxksistsinikatakanistaistutoyìuatsiksaie it must be a
long time, that he has treated him that way obt. 40, 12.
e) as imperative (adhortative), cf. above sub $c$.
áxksikamàuopi let us walk fast obt. 62, 13.
kaxkitsápitsìm you had better fill your pipe obt. $45,14$.
káxkotaxkòspuaiixk (he would say:) you are wanted to stretch your hands out obt. 35, 10.
kaxkitápaiksìma you might go and touch! obt. 42, 3. à $\chi$ kaxkápiaiiks let us take them home obt. 53, 24. áxksikakòtoaii ki áxkitaxkaiop let us only take (the backfat) and let us go home obt. 44, 24.
à $x$ kstais $\alpha$ moyi let it not be a long time obt. 60, 8 .

The same may also be expressed by forms without a $\chi \mathrm{k}-$, but in the conjunctive:
iiksópoxsisà let it blow harder nbt. 176, 25.
$f$ ) some particular cases:
ksnník kitsím áxkstaiaiàpiua there is the door, does he not see? obt. $23,20$.
áxkakitsàkaiainitsìuaie he will never be able to kill it obt. $30,10$.
kaxkstáyinomòki there is nobody to prevent me from killing you (lit.: that you may not die for me) obt. 39, 34.
II. $\mathrm{a} \nless \mathrm{k}$ - c. indicativo with - pi.

To the relative idea expressed by - pi a $\chi \mathrm{k}$ - adds a modal one.
a) final and consecutive; also with only - pi:
àxkstaisámoyi áxkitàpistutsixpi let it not be a long time (before, that) we shall fix (these lodges) obt. 60, 9. máxksoatòxpiau (he would not give them) something that they might eat obt. $35,16$.
máxksoatà̀xpi (they were nearly all dead) for something that they might eat obt. 12, 16.
káxkakxosixpixk (these are) that you can boil obt. 38, 26.
Cf. the purely relative idea of -pi alone: itsínitaxpi (it is a long time) that she was killed obt. 26, 23.
nitsksínixpists (things) which I know nbt. 217, 29.
b) in the equivalents of our dependent questions:
kitákanik káxkitapòxpi she will tell you, where you can go obt. 51, 31.
nitáksksinixp káxkanistspùmmoxpi $I$ shall know the way I shall help you.
kázkanistsitapìixpi (he will tell you) how you can live obt. 52, 22.

Cf. without $\mathrm{a} \chi \mathrm{k}-$ : otsitó $\chi \mathrm{kot} \dot{\alpha} \chi \mathrm{pi}$ (she heard there some one singing) where (while) she cut wood obt. 12, 19. otsitaupixp (they would get to the place) where he used to sit obt. 35, 23.
III. $\mathrm{a} \not x \mathrm{k}$ - c. conjunctivo.
a) final; also without a $x \mathrm{k}=$ :
axkstáminak $\alpha$ taxs (why did our father say) that we should not roll it eastward? obt. 27, 12. maxkstátsaks that they must not go out obt. 10, 29. (may be also a false conjunctive, for the verbal root is $s \alpha \mathrm{ks}-$ ).
(o)máxksoyìs (they put by him) that he might eat obt. 44, 11.
naxkótakòaxsau (they told me) that I must give them a drink obt. 15, 11.
náxkotomoàipiksistaxs (she told me) that I should gather up (these pieces) obt. 42, 26.
máxksinisaie (they wanted to stop it) that it might die obt. 27, 15.
máxksksinoaxsaie (he is thinking) that he may know it obt. $38,15$.
Without a $\chi \mathrm{k}-$ :
otsúmmaxs (he tried hard) that he rubs obt. 54, 24.
anistsís ixtsískaxs tell him that he must make a sweatlodge obt. 56, 6.
anis(ts)ísau inítǎsauaie; akékoănàsisaie, máksitǎsauaie say to them, that they kill him; if it is a girl, that they wrap her up obt. 38, 1.
b) in cases, corresponding with our dependent clauses with „that", e. g., noun clauses, imperatives in the oratio indirecta, etc.:
máxksinitaxsàuaiks ki máxksitsòataxsàuaiks (they said) that they should kill and eat them obt. 10, 30.
káxkainoaxs (it is not good) that you see him obt.6,19. káxkitòmisi (it is all right) that you (fem.) get married. axksinità $\chi$ ( 1 don't think) that he is killed obt. 53, 20. áxksuyisksànoiàs (he says) that he wants curly arrows to be made for him obt. 30, 14; cf. suyisksánoiòkit make a curly arrow for we.
máxkitsipiksìstsis (she says) that she will show the people that I am a warrior nbt. 76, 1.
c) in sentences, which we would render by adjective clauses, with a potentialis:
ámom nínauyìs káxkitsipisi that one is a man's-lodge, where you ought to go in obt. 41, 24; cf. kipitáuyis omím otsítsipixp the lodge he went into was an old-woman's-lodge obt. 41, 23.
káxkitapotàkis (with some stick there) that you can look about for obt. 33, 30.
$\mathbf{a} \chi \mathbf{k}-$, a $\chi \mathbf{k y}-$, axkyap- home.
Probably a $x k y$ ap- is compounded with - ( t ) a p-, see tap-.
Once an independent adverbial form is noted:
omám axkyápoxtàm àkéuøm a woman over there at home nbt. 26, 10.
$1^{10}$. as an independent verbal stem followed by a verbalizing suffix:
aそkknaxkaiop let us go home nbt. 102, 19.
á k kita千kaiòp let us go home obt. 44, 25.
kitákitaxkài you will go back (scil. home) nbt. 76, 24. támaxkapiuaie then he took (his wife) home nbt. 150, 12.
ázkapiuaie he brought it home nbt. 186, 8.
N. B. In the last two forms we recognize the causative suffix -pi-.
$2^{0}$. as a prefix. Properly speaking the form a $\chi \mathrm{k}$ only once has been met with:
it $\chi$ ₹kénikatsimàu she ran home for help nbt. 145, 10.
itaxkyápukskasiu she ran home nbt. 90, 2.
itaxkyápasainikyàyayiu he ran home crying nbt. 126, 16. it $\chi$ kyápistsipatakayayiu she ran home fast nbt. 109, 30. itauáxkyapapòtsiu they (lit. he) would go home with the carcases nbt. 3, 10.
it $\alpha$ kyápautsimaiau then they went home to get their things nbt. 22, 34.
itauáxkyapå $x$ pummau then (the people) would go home after buying nbt. 14, 15.
á $x$ kiapapòtsinai he came home with the pieces of the carcase nbt. 124, 18.
nitsítaxkyàpistotspinan we moved over to our ranch nbt. 238, 17.
it $\alpha \chi$ kyápapotsiau they came back with the pieces of the carcases nbt. 113, 2.
a $\chi$ kun-; as to the form and the functions cf. a $\chi \mathrm{k}$ (I $c$ and $e$ ).
It stands always at the beginning of a word-complex, and the two initial sounds a $\chi$ - often are subject to aphaeresis.
$1^{10}$. adhortative in the 1 prs. plur. imperative (ef. a $\chi \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{e}}$ ):
ómixk áxkunaxtàpauàuaðkau let us travel that way obt. 53, 10.
axkúnåxpòkaxkaiop let us go home together obt. 6, 15. á $\not$ kunåzkitapàuop nínna let us go to my father obt. 9, 20.
a k ḱnistapàuop let us go away nbt. 1, 26.
kúnaxkaiòp let us go home nbt. 9, 17.
kunitapáuop let us go (to) nbt. 8, 34.
$2^{0}$. with potential meaning (cf. a $\chi \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{Ic}$ ):
a $\chi$ kúnauotòi he might come obt. $37,9$.
aiáu axkúnauaipokakiu annám nínau $\alpha \mathrm{m}$... mis$\mathrm{k} \alpha$ dtsàksist $^{\text {oh }}$, before that man wakes up (lit. he might wake up) ... you had better go out obt. 45, 3.
axtso- instead.
sotámaxtsoamitapaupinai then he just stayed around instead (of Round-cut-scabby-robe) nbt. 90, 35. áuaxtsauaikimmatàpsiu he became poor instead (of the ancient Peigans) nbt. 166, 16.
amai-, omai-, umai-, imai- very, much; as to functions cf. akai-.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verbal stem, i.e. only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
itótsòtau; ikumaitau it then began to rain; it (rained) hard nbt. 231, 34. It is not quite impossible that here we have to do with another stem for "to rain".
$2^{0}$. in substantives:
amáitsoàskuists thick forests nbt. 60, 13.
stómitotòiau, tsiskáie amáipitàkein then they came to that, which was a very old woman obt. 62, 15. $3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix.
a) very, in a high degree:
amáistuyìu it is very cold nbt. $23,23$.
imakúmaistuyis even if it was very cold nbt. $12,8$.
stamátamaiiksaiitsimau then she denied it hard nbt. 150, 6. imakomaiskunatàpsis even if it is a bad case (i.e. a bad wound) nbt. 57, 9.
maiáii t $\dot{\text { miamaxsin her }}$ hebe then was very fine nbt. 137, 7.
nitúmaistuyìs because $I$ am very much ashamed nbt. 73, 42.
nétomayåxtùyeuaie (nít-omai-oxtoyiuaie. Uhlenbeck, Philol. Notes p. 21) he plainly (entirely, very well) heard her (say so) de J. de J. bt. 34, 24. netúmaisoaskàkanika he energetically did not consent to say ( ${ }^{n} y e s^{")}$ to me de J. de J. bt. 31, 21. Uhlenbeck, Philol. Notes p. 19 says about this form : "= nitómaisau $\alpha$ skàkanika. The prefix nit-, which is the compositional form of nitukska one, emphasizes the strengthening value of -omai- (=amai- ${ }_{\text {n }}{ }^{n} a$ high degree")." Cf. sub nit-.
nítumiainoyìuaiks he saw them plainly nbt. 189, 14.
mikskúmaiikòtsisiu he smoked harder instead obt. 28, 11. b) as a verbal prefix still; cf. a k ai-(30):
imáitskamiu he is still fighting (him) obt. 49, 4.
ki ánnoxk anáukoðt áxkumitop $\neq m a p a i t a p i u ~ a ́ k a i-~$ Pekàni and now half of the ancient Peigans is still living about across obt. 5, 29.
imainanaitsiauaiks they still own those (things) nbt. 91, 15.
imáinixkåxtoyìauaie they still sing to (the moon) nbt. 92, 19.
c) as a characteristic prefix of the perfect, like ksistand akai-. Only combined with the negative prefixes mat- and sau-, which combinations are best of all rendered by not yet:
nimátomaisksinìpa auaxkáutsisists 1 do not know yet about wars nbt. 75, 26.
mátomapixtsiuaiks they had not gone far yet nbt. 200, 8.
mátomais $\alpha$ mòats it was not yet a long while obt. 46, 4. sauumáipuausi before (all the people) got up obt. $3, \mathrm{n}^{0} .10$.
sáuumaisòpuyìnakus before day-light obt. 13, 14 (lit. may-be: when there is yet no wind and day-light). sauumáisopuyìnakus before day-light obt. 39, 7.
(amap-) umap-always; a mai- + ap-; cf. akap-. isáists otáumàpipuxpù $\chi$ simatoxs as they were always melting fat obt. 1, 3.
amat- to try.
ámatoxtoxkònimat try to find (a piece) from it nbt. 138, 30.
(místaputamìnaso tsi ) amátsepitòtot try to get (down on the other side of $i t$ ) during the night obt. 52, 12.
amátå $\neq \mathrm{k} u$ sksinòs, omảxtákảðkuinixpì try to find out from her, what will cause her death nbt. 104, 35.
ami- up, on high.
$1^{0}$. up, on a horse:
anátamiàupik sit up again nbt. 31, 14.
itauámiaupiu then (the Peigan tribe) would sit up nbt, 3, 6. mátsitauamiàupiu then (the party) sat on their horses (lit. up) again nbt. 30, 31.
nitsítsitamiå $x$ pauau $I$ jumped on him (scil. on the horse) nbt. 219, 23.
$2^{0}$. up to the prairie, away from the river:
itapáuamiàksistutsìu (the Peigan tribe) turned back and moved up nbt. 14, 28.
t $\dot{\alpha}$ mamistutsiu then (the Peigan tribe) moved up nbt. 1, 16. ákamistutsòp we shall move up (away from the river) nbt. 1, 15.
tàmamísamiu then (the tribe) went up (to the prairie) to hunt nbt. 12, 5.
itauámistutsiu then (the tribe) moved up (on the prairie) nbt. 14, 16.
matsítskamistutsopa we moved back (towards the prairie) nbt. 5, 29.
$3^{0}$. in sight of (esp. of a camp); nearly always the combination ot-ami- was noted. Cf. ot-.
páuaxkùyi tótamiàupiu he sat in sight on a hill nbt. 83, 6.
ákotamiàtaiayìau they would come in sight of the camp in a circle nbt. 32, 8.
itamiátayayiu he came up going in a circle nbt. 126, 5. támotamiòpiu then (the people) sat in sight (of the enemy's camp) nbt. 79, 16.
N.B. otótomiomaxkàiau they came in sight of nbt. 79, 11.
N.B. N.B. atámipù $\chi$ saput come up (to my camp) after a while nbt. 226, 30. Perhaps we have to see in this form haplology for: at $\alpha \mathrm{m}-+$ ami-.
$4^{0}$. up in general.
itsitápamisòyinai she went up to them nbt. 110, 18.
ipisóaxs áutamiskapìu the morning-star was coming up nbt. 27, 9.
mískstamamiàpiksatsìuaie he jumped at him in spite (of his shooting) nbt. 17, 14.
amiskap- south. As to the derivation it is not clear, whether it contains the prefix ami- and the verbal stem skap - to pull; or perhaps the prefixes a mi-, skand ( t ) a p -. Cf. ómaxtapàutamiskapi where (the sun) rises obt. $51,2$.
ipisóaŋs áutamiskapìu the morning-star was coming up nbt. 27, 9.
It is taken down among the prefixes on account of
its meaning, entirely fitting in this list, though it has been only noted as independent verb and adverb:
amiskápoxtsk (they went) south obt. 48, 5. niták $\alpha$ mskàpo $I$ shall go south obt. $48,3$. amiskápoxtsi in the south nbt. 167, 17.
amit- $u p$ the river (especially used of the rivers east of the Rocky Mountains). Perhaps combination of ami+ it- up ... there (there means: that generally known place, the river). In the examples -it- has nowhere been found, which is an indication the more, that we have to see in the $t$ of amit-a form of -it-. Often amit- has been conceived as, and so may be rendered by, westward, cf. pinap- down the river, eastward.
The independent adverbial form is also noted:
amítoxts higher up the river obt. 44, 2.
amitoxtsk higher up the river obt. 41, 19.
amétåðtsk (up the river) westward de J. de J. bt. 44, 23. ákoxtamitapaukèkaup we shall camp about along the river nbt. 6, 23.
ákametsistutskixtsip we shall move up nbt. 6, 21.
nisót $\alpha$ må $\chi$ tamitomaxk 1 then went higher up (up the river or $u p$ the prairie?) nbt. 241, 10,
amotap- all over, all about.
Probably consisting of the elements: amai- + mot(ui)-+ap-; cf. motui-, motap-.
Three times the form omotap-was noted.
áistamamotapìpoxtoxpi that were all carried about nbt. 3, 38.
áist $\alpha$ mamotapìpiaii itapótsopiks the horses that had meat on them would be taken all over (the camp) nbt. 3, 36.
áikakitomantapauàukiu they moved about just a little nbt. 5, 18.
áitomotapåxpokyåxplinai while he was jumping about, the arrow was going in the same direction nbt. 182, 22. íkatomotapaiaksistapiksìu he began to be ready to jump about nbt. 182, 21.
ninó $\chi$ komotapaiàkstsip $I$ kept trying to steer the ears of the lodge about nbt. 138, 15.
an- now; cf. the demonstrative anno this one right here and the relative pronoun anno $\quad \mathrm{k}$, nearly always used as temporal adverb now (Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects of Blackfoot Morphology, p. 22-23). Only noted as first prefix at the beginning of imperative forms; variants to be found are $\alpha \mathrm{n}=$, an(n)i-, $\alpha \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{i}-, \mathrm{n}-$ :
$\alpha$ nsákapuzs let him come out obt. 53, 5.
ánnisaksist come out nbt. 104, 17.
ánikakitaupit just sit there obt. 39, 17.
anikípuøksikiakoki quickly make a trap for me obt. 31, 14.
annáitsinomòkit make me moccasins nbt. 22, 1. annopakít now move nbt. 165, 17. «̀nskót go back nbt. 180, 22.
ג̀nsámik go and hunt nbt. 23, 23.
$\alpha \mathrm{n}$ átsàmik go again and hunt nbt. 107, 24.
$\alpha$ nátxmiàupik get on your horses again nbt. 31, 14. $\alpha$ nisóminit now take off your clothes nbt. 47, 16. anínisau(o)k now get off! obt. $10,24$. annoxtótakitàu now take from them nbt. 77, 30. annápaiinimàt now begin to catch your horses nbt. 3, 5 . nápanisàu(o)t begin to get off about nbt. 31, 2. Sometimes anno $\quad \mathrm{k}$ - is found as a prefix:
annóxkanìt you must say now nbt. 111, 24.
anno孔kókit give me her now nbt. 147, 28.
annóxkoxpotòmitsit now you must take the lead nbt. 171, 14.
annóxkotaxkòsit go and get the carcase.
Perhaps also the form annauk-in:
náukiťxk $\grave{n}$ naisaksìau now they all came out (of their holes) nbt. 117, 15.
anauk- half; one (of a pair).
Independent adverbial forms:
anáukoxtsi tx́momyanistàinimiàu they cut one side in
different pieces nbt. 31, 13.
anáukoxtsi otokisi half of the hide nbt. 25, 10.
kanauánaukoztsi the half of it nbt. 80, 26.
As a prefix:
$1^{0}$. in substantives:
omí otánnaukotokèmi ánnimaie itotátsipòtsim she put (them) down on her half of a hide nbt. 11, 4.
20. in verbs:
noxtókisi tákauanaukùpistokià̀ S I will keep down one of my ears nbt. 141, 22.
áiảxkanaukùpistokiảxsiau they all kept one ear down nbt. 141, 24.
tákauanaukitòmikåxs $I$ will throw one of my legs out in front nbt. 141, 25.
áià $\chi \mathrm{k} \alpha$ naukitomikå $x$ siau they all throw one of their legs out in front nbt. 141, 26.
ánaukapinìu he was one-eyed nbt. 198, 13.
anau-, ani-.
Only noted in some names of months:
aniotsítaisisksemokuxpi when the grass grows $=$ April.
anauotsítaisaisuyòpokskoxpi when the leaves come out $=$ May.
anáuotsistsinistsotsitaiitspi when the strawberries get ripe $=$ June.
anáuitapoxkiakiopi when we are cutting hay $=$ September. anáuotsitauapipits $\chi$ koxpi when the leaves $d r y$ up $=$ October.
anist- cf. manist-.
anit(s)- separately, all separately.
$1^{0}$. as a verbal stem, i.e. only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
ákanètoyiau they were about to separate nbt. 173, 21.
itánetòiau they separated nbt. 232, 8.
Several times in a formula used by raconteurs to finish their stories:
ki ánetoyi imitáiks and the dogs have separaied (after having had their meal) nbt. 186, 32.
kénnyaie ánetoyi imitáiks and now the dogs have separated nbt. 41, 16.
$2^{0}$. as a prefix $=$ all separately with only a slight stress on "separately":
itánitaxkàiiu then all of them went home nbt. 73, 35.
áukanauanitotuìpstosiu all of them went to enter their holes nbt. 122, 19.
$3^{0}$. as a prefix to pieces:
anítsiniòtsika tear them up nbt. 49, 15.
mátakanitsiniotsìma he would not tear them nbt. 49, 16. otsit $\dot{n} n i t s i n i a u t o m o ̀ k ~ h e ~ t o r e ~ h i s ~ c l o t h e s ~ t o ~ p i e c e s ~ n b t . ~ . ~$ 43, 3.
áiak $\alpha$ naitsiniotakìi (read: áiak $\alpha$ nitsini...) they would tear them to pieces nbt. 48, 20.

Prof. Uhlenbeck himself supposes that he has misheard ai for i , but contamination in the Indian mind of kanai- with anit-is possible as well.
N. B. anáuko t tsi támomyanistàinimiàu they cut one side in different pieces nbt. 31, 13. One is led to think that here too anit- would fit much better than -anist-
$4^{0}$. separately; in different directions:
itćnetomaxkàiau and they ran away separately nbt. 173, 16.
itauánitsistutsiu then (the people) separated (by bands) nbt. 5, 23.
okóauaists itauánitsitapa $\chi$ kaiìau they separated going home to their lodges nbt. 32, 18.
itánitsaumaxkàiaiks then they ran out separately nbt. 192, 23.
sotámanitsipiksiu then it (the buffalo-herd) ran all in different directions nbt. 142, 17.
$5^{0}$. always.
anitsipótapozkistau he would always lay one of them pointing to (the moon) nbt. 77, 25.
ap- about, round.
Once at the end of a substantive:
máksiniapì the carcases about nbt. 4, 4.
The combination itap- which occurs rather frequently seems to mean to begin, and so it is to be analysed as $\mathrm{it}-\mathrm{tap}(0)$, cf. tap-. Indeed there are many cases in which it is difficult to decide, whether we have to do with ap-or tap-.
$1^{1}$. about:
áistamitsinapapaukunàiiu there (the people) would be camped about nbt. 7, 26.
kitáp $\alpha$ sòmmok he is looking about for you nbt. 76, 26. támoxtsapàpauauaxkàiinai walking about, he then followed (the road) nbt. 143, 4.
ápasàtsimiau they began to look about nbt. 30, 5. ákoxtamitapaukèkaup we shall camp about along the river nbt. 6, 23.
ázSa kímåztapauàuaxkaup what are you travelling about for? nbt. 75, 23.
ápaistaukàtskàt go about to get lodge-pins! nbt. 1, 15. itápaisaistoyiàu they went crying about the camp nbt. 1, 14.
támatapàisaisto then again he cried about the camp nbt. 2, 23.
sótamapsàmmokaie then he looked about for him nbt. 73, 36.
támoxtapauàuaxkau he then walked about nbt. 79, 8. $2^{0}$. In many cases ap- apparently has lost its literal meaning referring to space and has become a colourless suffix to other prefixes, cf. akap-, a $\chi \mathrm{kyap}$ -(tap-?), ksisap-, ksistap-, manistap-, nitap-, pinap-, satap-, etc. E.g.: mánatå $\chi$ kàpinausiu those that had a new way of dressing nbt. 73, 14.
einí ómåxtapautsatsixp where the buffalo would come the nearest nbt. 7, 27.
N.B. ómaie apáipuyiuàie over there is ( $a$ man) standing $u p$ nbt. 79, 24.
apaiksimatsìmmotseiauaie they were happy to meet one another nbt. 77, 2.
itápåxpatskòtsiu (the people) made a rush (crowding one another) nbt. 81, 14.
apam- across (the river, the water).

After other elements we find in compounds also:

- opam-, - upam-.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, that is to say only immediately followed by verbalizing suffixes:
áup $x$ mo he crossed obt. 5, 11.
áupamò she crossed nbt. 129, 29.
noxkop $\dot{m i p i p i o k i n a n ~ t a k e ~ u s ~ a c r o s s ~ n b t . ~ 129, ~} 8$.
amóm psóaupamipiok why does not this one take me across? nbt. 129, 31.
$2^{0}$. as a prefix:
á $\neq$ kumitop $\alpha$ mapaitapiu is still living about across obt. 5, 29.
aut $\alpha$ mákop $\alpha$ mistàiiua he was nearly diving across nbt. 88, 16.
aiísop $\alpha$ motototàiau they went on ahexd across the river to build a fire nbt. 23, 7.
$3^{0}$. The adverb a $\mathrm{p} \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{m} 0 \chi \mathrm{t}$ (si) is rather frequent. N.B. tápopomå $\chi t s i$ towards the other side of the river nbt. 88, 14.
ap $\alpha$ t- behind; back.
$1^{10}$. as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
nínitapàtau I was the last one nbt. 232, 22.
$2^{0}$. in substantives:
otap $\alpha$ to $\chi$ katsists his hindlegs.
$3^{0}$. as a prefix of verbal forms:
nisót $\alpha$ mitapataupi 1 sat behind it nbt. 229, 26.
itapátskapìnai then he pulled back nbt. 168, 23.
minapátsapìt do not look back nbt. 207, 12.
Combined with $-\mathrm{u} \chi \mathrm{s}-(-\mathrm{a} \nsim \mathrm{s}-,-\mathrm{o} \chi \mathrm{s}-)$ back (cf. sub u $\chi$ s-) apat- occurs several times with the meaning (to the) north, e.g.:
ápztozsais $\alpha$ piu he was looking north nbt. 66, 19. ómaxkauk ápxtoxsò they went north over that way nbt. 167, 33.
The adverbial form of this combination is ap drto $^{\text {sontsi }}$ (it is over north nbt. 187, 3), not to be confounded with the adverbial form of apat- scil. ap $\alpha$ tó $\chi$ tsik (long ago obt. 1, $\mathrm{n}^{0}$. 2) which is often verbalized: paxtsikápatoxtsikàukin he was just a little behind her obt. 19, 18.
apatóxtsikàukinai he was coming behind obt. 43, 9. paxtsikápatå̌tsikàukinai (his partner) was right behind him nbt. 82, 1.
apokap- reversed.
Cf. makap- bad, ikokap- very bad.
apókapitapìu he is a person with whom everything is reversed nbt. 49, 24.
ixtapókapinixkatau he was called the reverse of it nbt. 67, 14.
otápokapinixkakoaie (people) reversed their name (lit. called them reversed) obt. $1, \mathrm{n}^{0} .2$.
istoxkanáuapokapitapiu he is the person with whom everything is most reversed nbt. 49, 25.
asi- $j u s t$.
Usually noted with an imperative and often combined with -kip-just, for a moment (cf. sub kip-).
k ásikipsketso $I$ just scare you for a while obt. 40, 15.
sisíkit (from: asi-síkit) just break it nbt. 2, 3.
N. B. asópàtsis chair (lit. a thing to sit upon for a moment). Perhaps asi- may be connected with as- little, young, which has only been noticed in substantive forms
(see: C. C. Uhlenbeck, Het identificeerend karakter der possessieve flexie in talen van Noord-Amerika, p. 18) *).
aso- covering (?)
soksistsíkui cloud; cf. ksistsikúi day. sokásimi shirt. asókaiis trunk, cf. káiis dried meat.
askak(s)- consent, will, can.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb:
nitóskak I consent.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix, most times, but not always, with a negation:
d́skàkaniu he consents.
mátaskàksipuauats she would not get up nbt. 103, 29.
mátaskaksapiksiàua (the buffaloes) would not run in obt. 13, 25.
mátaskàksauatòm he refused to eat them obt. 6, 29. mát( $\alpha$ )skàkspummoyìuaiksauaie they did not want to help him nbt. 213, 24.
mátaskaksoòa she did not consent to go in nbt. 151, 2.
$\alpha \mathbf{s k} \chi$ s- always.
In the forms recorded it is always the first element of the compound, at least if we leave out of account the personal prefixes and the prefix mat- not by which it is preceded.

[^5]ánnaxkaie ask ${ }^{\text {sáipiskiu those were the people (that) }}$ were always corralling nbt. 37, 19.
ásk $\chi$ saiiksinòksiu he had always plenty of food to eat with delight nbt. 200, 15.
ásk $x$ saikoanimiuaie she is always playing with him nbt. 102, 23.
ásk $\chi$ s $\alpha$ staiinai he was always thinking obt. $4, \mathrm{n}^{0} .19$. ask $\chi$ kspaumóksàkiuae always he had it under his arm obt. 11, 7.
ásk $\chi$ sauàsainiu he always cried nbt. $74,11$.
asok- about, across one another.
I have only noted it in combination with a u-:
áistamauasokòyiau they would go about nbt. 3, 15; nbt. 3, 39.
itauásokòmaұkaup we began to run across one another nbt. 18, 16.
$1^{0} \cdot \operatorname{at}(\mathrm{~s})-$, cf. $2^{0} . \operatorname{mat}(\mathrm{s})-\operatorname{again}$.
$2^{0}$. at(s)- around, in a circle.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, scil. only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
áuautsiau they made a circle nbt. 16, 24.
$2^{0}$. as a prefix:
 round his neck nbt. 79, 29.
támatsistoxkinatsiuaie he then wore him round his neck nbt. 79, 4.
itatsótopatòm (the people) sat all around it nbt. 119, 8. itótamiatàyaiiua (the war-party) came in a circle in sight of the camp nbt. 89, 22.
ákotamiàtaiayìu they would come in sight of the camp in a circle nbt. 32, 8.

## anmatap-, omatap-.

A compound of 2 verb-stems, scil. a umat (o)- and t a p (o)- with the respective meanings of to start and to go ; cf. $3^{0}$. mat-, and tap-.
$1^{10}$. as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
áist $x$ momatapoiau they then went obt. 34, 20.
st $\alpha$ msepiòmatapoiau then they started in the night obt. 39, 9.
ikiómatapòyinai they all were going on a raid nbt. 79, 7.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix to begin:
itáumatapasàiniu he began to cry nbt. $75,6$.
áumatapiitsimàu (the people) began to skin nbt. $3,8$.
mátsitomatapìksisàu (the buffalo-herd) had started again to run obt. 15, 24.
otáumatapsekotsisàuaists when they began to gather them up obt. $26,15$.
stámatomatapiposkau then (the buffalo-herd) began to dance again obt. 21, 10.
itomátapìtaxsiu and then (the Peigan tribe) began to have the scalp-dance nbt. 82, 24.
áumatapòtsim he started to swim nbt. 79, 30.
A peculiar form has omatap-in:
nitsítomatapioyì 1 began to eat nbt. 226, 8 .
totamátapinòkit begin holding me obt. 38, 30.
N.B. aumatap-, omatap-may not be mistaken for amotap-; see there.
aut-, cf. ot-, otami-, otsat-, otak -.
a(a)t $\alpha \mathbf{m}$ - after a while. It is most times found in imperatives; in other forms it nearly always occurs in combination with futural ak(s)-(cf. aut $\alpha \mathrm{mak}-$ ); but we find also:
itáutaministutsiu (the people) moved down after a while nbt. 14, 24.
$1^{0}$. with the obvious meaning after a while:
atámipù $\chi$ saput come up (to my camp) after a while nbt. 226, 30 (possibly haplology for at $\alpha \mathrm{mi}-\mathrm{ami} \cdot$, cf. a mi-).
atóminoksatòk after a while you must own them (inan.) obt. 44, 27.
atóminoksatot after a while be owner of them (inan.) obt. 41, 4.
$2^{0}$. This meaning is weakened to then: atámoxtakaiìt then you must go from obt. 48, 31. atómanistsisau say then (to your pets) obt. 19, 26. atámikstsìnixtsit noxtókisi bite then the end of my ear ! nbt. 128, 16.

## aut $\alpha$ m-ak-.

$1^{0}$. on the very verge of, on the point of, about :
autamákixtàtsikaiksistsiku it was about noon nbt. 231, 1.
nitaut $\alpha$ máksinìsi $I$ was about to fall off nbt. 232, 25. áutamatàksåxpi(u) (the rope) was just about to come off nbt. 228, 7.
$2^{0}$. soon.
aut $\alpha$ mákotò she will soon be here obt. 33, 14.
aut $\alpha$ mákomàtapò he will soon go obt. 52, 26.
$3^{0}$. nearly.
kitáut $\alpha$ msauatàksino 1 nearly don't see you any more obt. 29, 8. Cf. kitátamisauatsina (= kit-áut $x$ mi-sau-ats-
ino; Uhlenbeck, Philol. Notes p. 29) I nearly do not see you any more now de J. de J. bt. 47, 10. autxmáksikoxpatsiuaie he nearly felled it obt. 17, 15. aut $x$ máksèniua (the people) nearly died (for something to eat) nbt. 131, 16.
autxmáksàkutsiu (the pot) is nearly boiling over obt. 26, 30 . autxmákåxk $\alpha$ nàiniu (the people) were nearly all dead obt. 12,15 .
aut $x$ mákop $\alpha$ mistàiiua he was nearly diving across nbt. 88, 16. áutxmàksikoko it was nearly night obt. 57, 16.
áutamaksiksistsip $\alpha$ skàup we have nearly done dancing nbt. 192, 20.
áitamakitsuyinipitsiau they were nearly frozen in the water nbt. 23, 12.
annóxk autxmáksapanìstsoyi nitótokånimists now I have pretty near enough of my scalps nbt. 108, 13.
taùtxmáksipuxsòixpinan we are nearly smothered from smoke obt. 28, 3.
N.B. moksíks nitákotamoztsèni $I$ can only die by awls (cf. nbt. 106, 14: I would die by awls) nbt. 106, 5.
$\mathrm{ik}(\mathrm{s})-$ very.
ik(s)-, which may be cognate with ak-much, occurs in different combinations, e.g.: ikskai. (from: iks-ikai-), iik(s) - („Zerdehnung" as German philologists would say, ergo this form is not a "combination") and even: ikskaiik(s) -.
ikakauoyi auaúaxsaists there are very many foods nbt. 76, 19.
ikakauoiau mínists there are very many berries nbt. 5, 3. iikátosatom he became a great medicine-man obt. 63, 9. áiiksiksìstuyiu it got very hot obt. 37, 11. áiiksis $\alpha$ mò after a very long time obt. 15, 17.
$1^{0}$. ik $\alpha \mathbf{m}$ - quick.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
ikámsin he was fast nbt. 189, 2.
iksíkamo he is very fast.
ikamó get up! (talking to horses).
$2^{0}$. as a prefix:
itsikamistutsiu then (the people) moved fast nbt. 7, 5.
iták $\alpha$ moxkanaupaistutòaii imoiániks then they all began quickly to make robes nbt. 13, 4.
$3^{0}$. as a prefix with a somewhat different, though undoubtedly, derived meaning, scil. eventually, perhaps.
a) c. subjunctivo:
kamóxkitstsixki there might be some one nbt. 73, 5.
b) c. conjunctivo :
$\mathrm{ik} \alpha$ mázsitakisàie if he is pleased obt. 6, 9. $\mathrm{k} \propto$ mítatsàpsis if she was foolish nbt. 33, 23. ik $\alpha$ mítsaiisinias if he does not hit it nbt. 242, 27.
ik $\alpha$ mitakiasi if he hits (the ball) nbt. 242, 10.
ik $\alpha$ mípixtsii if (the buffalo-herd) is far away nbt. 68, 29.
c) with $\mathrm{a} \chi \mathrm{k}-$ :
 á $\chi \mathrm{ksik} \alpha$ matsinii some more may die yet nbt. $114,7$. á k sik $\alpha$ màipiau just about ten nbt. 236, 37; cf. á $\chi$ kaistokàmi nitomíxkàniks 1 catch about two fishes nbt. 236, 27.
d) with ak- (futurum conjunctivi, see Uhlenbeck, Conjunctief-achtige Modi p. 19):
káksik $\alpha$ mitotànik he will perhaps go and tell you obt. 6, 10. náksikxmoxkoàimau I shall perhaps have use of him obt. 6, 13.
$e$. with the irrealis, see Uhlenbeck, Conjunctief-achtige Modi p. 27.
$2^{0}$. $\mathbf{i k} \alpha \mathrm{m}$ - straight, right.
Perhaps cognate with $1^{0}$. ik $\alpha \mathrm{m}-$, although it has secondary forms, which never occur with the meaning of $1^{0}$. ik $\alpha \mathrm{m}-$, scil. -okam- and mokam(cf. itom-, otom-, matom-). The prefix mokam - always and ikam- nearly always take the first place in a word-complex; -okam-never except in:
okámipuyisaàmists the war-bonnets standing straight up nbt. 19, 23.
Examples:
mokámanàukoxt right half (of the buffalo-herd) nbt. 139, 23.
stźmaukamòtspuxpàipiiixk he just jumped straight up obt. 46, 17.
stókamotspuxpiis if it goes straight up in the air nbt. 242, 28.
áukamipàpiksistaiau they were put straight up nbt. 1, 25.
sotג́mokamòtaitapò then he went straight (to) obt. 11, 25.
ikit- over, across.
ponokå'mitaiks itáikitatsìmaiau they (the dead) were laid across on horses nbt. 21, 4.
(i)kin- $1^{0}$. soft, in substantives:
ikináuksisakùi soft meat nbt. 145, 1.
$2^{0}$. slowly, as a verbal prefix:
kináutamisò he went slowly up nbt. 180, 1.
nisótamikinauaqkaxpinan we then went slowly nbt. 231, 35.
$3^{0}$. easy, gently, as a verbal prefix:
ikináikaiinimiàu they opened it easy nbt. 204, 5.
otsitsikinanåxkimokàie she gently laid his head down nbt. 197, 9.
átsikikinautamiò $\chi$ kiàkiu then he again very gently looked over the hill at him obt. 63, 19.
ikiu- all (together).
ikiómatapdyinai (the Peigan tribe) were all going on a raid nbt. 79, 7.
ikiuókunaiiu (the people) was all camping together nbt. 112, 1; 126, 11.
ikiómatapò (the Peigan tribe) all went obt. 57, 2.
N.B. itáukiotåxpummàu (the people) all went on to buy nbt. 14, 1.
ikyai- finally.
ikyáiainitsiuaie (the Peigan tribe) killed him after a hard fight nbt. 103, 3.
nitsíkyaiaiskotaұkaiixpinan we finally got back home nbt. 231, 36.
ikyáiaupitsisoò he had a hard time to get out of the water nbt. 170, 34.
itsikyaiaiksistauaxkautsèiau then they finally stopped fighting nbt. 213, 3.
ikyáiå $\chi$ kòinimìu he finally found (the enemy) nbt. 83, 18. ikyáiå $x$ konoyiuaie she finally found him nbt. 159, 7.
$1^{0}$. ixk- becomes -oxk- in ito $x \mathrm{k}-$; cf. moxk-. See as for this change: -ixp-, and -ixt-, also: ápekoxkùminimàu „Round-cut-scabby-robe", and ixkuminitsiuaie she had cut it around nbt. 73, 20; ixkotsiuaie she gave him those nbt. 82, 23 and kítoxkot I give it to you. Cf. also Uhlenbeck, Flexion of substantives in Blackfoot p. 29.

It is best translated by: on, on top of; e.g.:
áito रkitòpiuaie she sat on top of it nbt. 202, 31.
ixkitópisoò (the party) went to war on horseback nbt. 16, 13.
tsánistsinami kitákixkitopixp and what color (of horse) will you ride on? obt. $1,17$.
ixksksinoyiu he knows about them nbt. 43, 22.
$2^{0}$. ixk- gone, ended.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i.e. only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
áketsinixkaiaiks they were nearly all gone nbt. 172, 7. itsitsinixkauaie then it was all gone nbt. 178, 28.
mátakètsinixkàua all of them will not be gone nbt. 208, 28.
$2^{0}$. as a prefix:
annyauk ixkixtsíu there was the end of the smoke nbt. 157, 12.
kennimaie ixkakatsiu and now the boiling is ended not. 92,21 (one of the many formulas used by storytellers to conclude their narrative).
ixksinóyiua otákai it was the last he saw of his partner.
ixp-. Sometimes . o $\chi$ p-, e. g.: mátoxpitomatapoxkokakiniàpiks(iu) he began to buck with me again nbt. 233, 4; cf. -ixk-, -ixt- and moxp-.
The general meaning of ixp- is: with, accompanied by. ixpitsinapistàiiua he dived with him down the river nbt. 89, 15.
ixpítaxkayiuaie she went home with it nbt. 103, 13.
ixpaxkànauazkaiìu they went home with them all obt. 58, 13.
ixpitsùiotsimaie then he swam in the river with him nbt. 80, 17.
ixpisustuyimiau they were four years with it.
 to his partner he threw his head up out of the water with him nbt. 80, 24.
N.B. the very real meaning of ixp - in:
kixpitómatapòyinai (= ki ixpitómatapòyinai) and then (the wolf) started off (scil. with the magic trick) nbt. $170,18$.
ixt. cf. moxt-.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
ixtó he went along nbt. 182, 1.
$2^{0}$. as a prefix with the meaning: from. In some cases it may be translated by along. Often it is used to emphasize another local prefix:
anná támisokixtsisò there was one that came forward nbt. 73, 16.
itaixtsisàuyi then they came forward nbt. 73, 13.
ixtsítsxpauaniauaie then they flew along after (him) nbt. 190, 21.
àkéyi ixtsóyinai he was walking along on the water nbt. 74, 15.
ixtsitámisò he came up from nbt. 172, 1.
ixtsístapitstsiu (this it is) it started from nbt. 101, 15. ixtsínapapauàuaxkaiixk he was walking about along down the river obt. 63, 12.
$3^{0}$. as a prefix for, for the sake of. ixtoxkúmiu he makes noise for nbt. 175, 24. ixtápaiokaiixk he therefore slept about, (that he might have a dream) nbt. 85, 7.
nistoyi ixtsóyiua $I$ cause him to eat (lit. he eats because of me).
$4^{0}$. as an instrumental prefix:
ixtsinauàsiua he became a chief by it nbt. 122, 18.
ixtáupimiskàupiau it was made into ropes nbt. 6, 7.
ixtáisokìnakii they doctored with it nbt. 53, 9.

## imak- even.

$1^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
imaksfkapseks even hard-seed-berries nbt. 7, 16.
imaksínakåxtsi átsinaiiu even a small piece of fat obt. 13, 4.
imaksinakstsimiks even the young ones obt. 2, $\mathrm{n}^{0} .7$.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
imakétokuyitoxkònimàsau notoka'ni if they find only one hair of my head obt. 19, 27.
imaksinokapis even when they had supplies obt. $1,4$. imakumaistuyis even if it was very cold nbt. $12,8$.
imat- nearly.
imátå $x$ kanainèpitsiu (the people) nearly all froze nbt. 76, 10.
áumatsipuàuyaiks they nearly got up nbt. 111, 8. imátåxkanaisòpokokàpsiau they were nearly all bad obt. $3, \mathrm{n}^{0} .11$.
nitsímato tàukitsk $I$ nearly vomit from you obt. 33, 18. otsímatayìsitokaie he was nearly hit by him obt. 40, 7. nitsf́matoxtsinèts I was nearly drowned obt. 65, 4.
in- down, off.
Now and then the forms ini-, aini-, $\propto \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{i})$ - are found.
$1^{0}$. in the combination in-is- to go down, to get off.
ítsksinisò then she went back down nbt. 168, 25.
áikaksinisàu he only got off nbt. 33, 17.
páxtsikå $\chi$ kokinisàu (the war-party) all got from their horses just for a moment nbt. 30, 30.
táminisò then he went down nbt. 163, 6. nápanisau(o)t begin to get off about nbt. 31, 2.
ki áinisiixk and he got thrown off nbt. 241, 2.
stsíkiks áinisii some of them fell off nbt. 209, 13.
anisáu(o)t come down nbt. 158, 23.
noxkátsisinìsoiauanìu he also jumped off in front nbt. 17, 12.
itánisoauaniàiks they jumped off their horses nbt. 17, 11.
$2^{0}$. as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by a verbalizing suffix:
$\mathrm{k} \propto$ náinipiòk bring them all down nbt. 169, 5.
stáminièpiuaie okóaists then she took him down to their lodges nbt. 168, 33.
$3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
stáminoxpatsistuyiuaie he then shot her down nbt. 110, 30.
kennaie itsitánnoyiu from there (the people) shot down nbt. 40, 5.
innásinai she was stuck (in the snow) nbt. 135, 1. otsítsinisokotakaie it spat down on him nbt. 186, 18. tsitsináp $\alpha$ sàpiu he looked down all round obt. 57, 13. åx'é inamátsuiepuyìu he stood down in the water nbt. 146, 7.
máxksinistàts that they moved down nbt. 7, 25. nisoóyi otsinixtanists four were the things he put in the pot nbt. 155, 13 (?)
itáutaministutsiu (the people) moved down nbt. 14, 24. itśnnaukimiuàie she put his head down nbt. 125, 23.
inui-, ino- long.
$1^{0}$. as predicative adjective; see: Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 19.
$2^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
inúiskinètsimàni long sacks nbt. 2, 20.
Inokímists Long-lakes nbt. 5, 25.
inoksiaists they were long sticks nbt. 34, 20.
Inóyisoxtsani Long-ass-John (nickname).
Inyókakinyå̌xtso Long-back-Joe (nickname).
$3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
mátsinoaipuyòatsiks (i. e. mátsinoaipuyìuatsiks) he did not say anything for a long time de J. de J. bt. 20, 7.

## in(i)- ?

nitsinåxkåxkokaie he has already given it to me nbt. 187, 20.
inátsitomàtsoo then he went on another raid nbt. $83,17$. nitsíno $\chi$ kokaie he has already given it to me nbt. 188, 5. ómaxkskimiks inå k òtoxpiau what has been given to big rocks nbt. 188, 12.
kimauksiniokaxks why do you sleep? obt. 45, 13.
itsiniánistutoyiuaiks he did the same thing to them nbt. 115, 4.
inipit- $1^{0}$. as an independent verb to be cold, freeze: minipitsiàu they could stand much cold (lit. they were strong being cold) nbt. 12, 17. auotásaininipitsiu the (people) cried for cold nbt. 12, 20. imátå $\chi$ kanainèpitsiu (the people) nearly all froze.
$2^{0}$. in composition with other verbs, and then it is sometimes almost a prefix:
itomátapenepìtå $\chi$ kumiua he then started to cry as if he were cold.
ip-. The form -ipi- also occurs (cf. ap-, $\mathrm{t} \alpha \mathrm{m}-$ ), fortuitously just in cases where the first i- has disappeared, because of which some compounds are more or less difficult to analyse, e.g.:
aitspyómaxkàs when he ran into the camp nbt. 19, 2. ítspìuxtòm ápskotok he put a white stone among them nbt. 162, 28.
einí itźmsokitspiàupiiau they suddenly sat among the buffaloes obt. 14, 20.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i.e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes to enter.
pík come in! nbt. 156, 32.
áupitsipimaie she entered with it nbt. 165, 26.
áupipimaie she entered with it nbt. 160, 9.
mátsitsitsipimats she did not go in.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix in(to), to.
itsípinixtsiuaie he put him in (the pot).
ixtsitsipsapomaxkau she followed nbt. 110, 4.
aitspyómaxkàs when he ran into the camp nbt. 19, 2. noxkítspistutsisau ákai-Pekàni when they moved to the ancient Peigans obt. 3, $\mathrm{n}^{0} .12$.
$3^{0}$. in combination with other prefixes:
a) very often with -ist-, e.g.: ipist-, ipst-, cf. ist-; nearly always with the meaning: in(to), within: anátsipstòmaxkàt run in again obt. 35, 25.
áipstomaxkàii they then would start for a run nbt. 41, 12.
áipstsokapistutsixp it was fixed up inside nbt. 53, 28.
aipstsiskapiau eini they would lead out the buffalo nbt. 41, 13.
nitsítsipstauptakòmaxk $I$ was running around inside obt. 19, 1.
Here has to be mentioned the adverb pistóxts (ip-ist-o $\chi \mathrm{ts}$ ):
pistóxts ki saua'xts on the inside and the outside obt. 59, 9.
pistóxtsi inside nbt. 167, 12.
b) ip-combined with-ot-:
ipotóxtsim near the door nbt. 160, 9.
itsípotapiksistsiuaie she threw him towards the door nbt. 108, 4.
ipotóxtsiks those standing in the lower part of the circle nbt. 46, 4. Cf. akimóztsiks those at the upper end nbt. 45, 24.
? misksippotapòiau they went in the opposite direction nbt. 213, 21.
c) ip-combined with-ixk; nearly always adverb: píxkoxts in front of (the first lodge) obt. 59, 18. ásk $\chi \mathrm{ksaitsitaipuyiu} \mathrm{píxkå} \mathrm{\chi tsim} \mathrm{nápioyis} \mathrm{he} \mathrm{is} \mathrm{always}$ standing alone before the house.
pixkoxtsim tómitsoxkoxpinai it fell down near the door, just in front of it nbt. 156, 26.
itsipixkaipuyiu nápioyis $=$ itsåakáipuyiu nápioyis he is standing before the house. Cf. itso $x \mathrm{k}$..
ipistsik- a little, scarcely; cf. aipistsik-(with the durative prefix ai-).
áipstsikàinoau it is scarcely seen nbt. 111, 36.
áipstsikais $\alpha$ mspìkaii (the people) would rub them a little (scil. their knives) nbt. 1, 26.
áksipstsikapauàkiuaie she would hit it (the tree) lightly nbt. 10, 5.
nisótamìpistsikitàupi $I$ stayed there a while nbt. 230, 37 . oápsp áipistsikasapìnai he looked a little out of one of his eyes nbt. 181, 15.
The combination with $-\mathrm{s} \alpha \mathrm{m}$ - is too frequent to need many examples:
aipstsíksis $\alpha$ mòs after a short while nbt. 29, 16.
$\mathbf{i p}(\mathbf{u})$ - standing, up; see: nipu-.
is- ahead, in front.
$1^{10}$. as an independent verb, i. $\epsilon$. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
itsisóiau they went ahead obt. 58, 19.
itáixtsisàuyi then they came forward inside (of the circle) nbt. 73, 13.
itsiso he went ahead obt. 53, 25.
itsíniso he went down to nbt. 79, 18.
itápauasainisòiau they began to go about crying nbt. 15, 16. $2^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
otsisoxkàtsists his front-legs.
$3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
itsísanistsiu he said ahead obt. 37, 2.
áiisapàsapii they went ahead to look about nbt. 16, 16. itsísomaxkàiau they went ahead nbt. 79, 10. otsítsis $\alpha$ skunak $\dot{k} \mathrm{k}$ he was shot at from a distance by him obt. 40, 6.
isátsit look ahead nbt. 75, 11.
otsítaiisipuyìmokaie then she would stand in front of him nbt. 198, 8.
N.B. the adverb isóztsik in the future nbt. 51, 24;

44, 8; 215, 12.
40. is - combined with-so-(sui-) near the shore: ómim áxkunitapisoàuop let us go over there near the shore nbt. 103, 19.
Also as an independent adverb, e. g.: isoóztsi near the edge of the water nbt. 74, 8.
N.B. potáni isoóxtsim right near the fire nbt. 153, 1; cf. the two meanings of sui-.

## $1^{0}$. ist- in $(t o)$.

matáistokitoàuats he would not be shot through the body nbt. 57, 22.
autómitsistaniàipiksim otsists (the people) first stuck their hands in (the snow) nbt. 12, 10.
itsístàpiksiu he ran into (a hole) nbt. 189, 9.
áistaxkapiàiks they crawled in nbt. 195, 16.
itsistzxkapiixk he then went in there (in a hole) nbt. 195, 8. Cf. however st (a $\quad$ t) -
amóm atsiuáskui aitå $\chi \mathbf{k}$ d́naistsokèkàm all of them were camping in the forest there nbt. 186, 2.
istsinoxtotàua put them in (your beaver-rolls) nbt. 99, 2; cf. however it(s) -
A special meaning of ist(s) - is in(to) the forest, the brushes; perhaps we had better speak of a second prefix ist(s) -. The examples are numerous (as well of the independent as of the prefixed form):
itsistso she went into the forest nbt. 103, 15.
àitsistsó he entered (a forest) nbt. 195, 20.
otáistsìpiokaie he was taken into the forest by her nbt. 196, 27.
itsistsàpiksiau they fled into the brush nbt. 211, 13. itsistsàupiu he is sitting in (this bunch of timber) nbt. 102, 28.
istsíppiksiu fled into the brushes nbt. 213, 23.
$2^{0}$. ist- two. The independent numeral is: n atoka. nits $\alpha$ mistsitapiau they were only two nbt. 79, 9. Cf. noxk $\alpha$ tsistòk $x \mathrm{mi}$ also two (horses) nbt. 30, 17. Properly we have to do with the compositional form of nist-; see there.
$3^{0}$. ist-. A prefix to denote the superlative; usually combined with - kanai- (-kanau-), which standing by itself has the same function.
istómaxksim $=$ kanáumaxksim is the biggest (oldest) of all.
In general, prefixed to $-\mathrm{kanai-:}$ isto $k$ kanakapsiu he is the worst of all. spóxtsim istoxkanáitapiua all the people on high obt. $68, \mathrm{n}^{0} .9$.
See: Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 19.
it(s)-, see: ai-, a u-; and: Uhlenbeck, Vormen van het Blackfoot p. 35; Some General Aspects p. 49.
The meaning of it(s)- is: in a certain place, at a certain moment, under certain circumstances. So it often has a perfective character; e.g.:
Nápi itáiokau, niétょxtai itáiokau Napi was sleeping, near a river he was sleeping ${ }^{*}$ ).
áutòsaie ákitanistsiuaie when she came back, he would say to her nbt. 2, 1.
ki itstuyiu and then it was cold nbt. 30, 22.
See also: nbt. 21, 6-26.

[^6]In the 2 prs. sg. and plur. of the imperative it (s) is changed into ist(s) - :
istsínoxtotàua put them in (your beaver-rolls) nbt. 99, 2 ; cf. however $1^{0}$. ist (s) -. annó stáupit stay right here! nbt. 79, 26. ánistaupit sit there obt. 15, 5. istsipit then enter nbt. 75, 18.
Also twice in the conjunctive:
istáupisi, saiitáupisi (you will know) if she is there or if she is not there nbt. 139, 28.
stókamotspuxpiis if it goes straight up in the air nbt. 242, 28.
Remarkable is it- in verbal forms of the 1 prs. plur. inclusive to define the place where:
itsiputsimaup the Battle-coulee nbt. 1, 6.
Cf. also: itsitáutòaie then (the war-party) came near to nbt. 17, 1.
otáitotoxsi'a when he came to it nbt. 97, 13.
In combination with other prefixes it(s) - often has only an emphatic force. Hence one can find it more than once in one form: itsítoxkitopiuaie okakíni he lighted down on her back nbt. 100, 8.
Combinations as itsit-, itsin-, itso $\chi k$-, ito $\chi k-$, itap-, itam-, itsip-, a.o. are frequent:
máxkitsitakaikamotàniaiks that many of them might have escaped him nbt. 180, 29.
ítskitapò he then went back to nbt. 198, 15. nikáitaiksitoxkitsok aksín $I$ have slept on a bed.
$\mathbf{i t} \alpha \mathbf{m}_{-}$, cf. $\mathrm{t} \alpha \mathrm{m}-, \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{o}) \mathrm{t} \alpha \mathrm{m}-$, aut $\alpha \mathrm{m} \cdot$.
itoxk- $=\mathrm{it}-+\mathrm{ixk}-$ on ( (op); cf. $\mathrm{ixk}-\mathrm{C}$
itoxkitaupiu he sat on it obt. 55, 23.
itoxkítauaiaki Strikes-on-top (name of a woman). itoxkítoxsinàmaxka Takes-good-gun-on-top (name of a man).
ito千kítsipuyiauaie they stood on it obt. 63, 6.
itom- $=$ it- + om-; cf. aumatap-, omatap-. $1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
itomo he went first obt. 5, 9. omá itamóa that leader nbt. 23, 22. $2^{0}$. as a prefix:
ítomaipiksiu he struck first nbt. 4, 23. ítomauaiàki Strikes-first (name of a woman). ítòmipùyiu the first runner nbt. 242, 7. itomápauàuaरkau he was on the lead nbt. 200, 7. ítomainitsiuaie he kills him first. itom-always takes the first place in verbal forms, in contrast with two cognate prefixes: -ot-om-, and -at-om- (twice -ot $\alpha \mathrm{m}-$ ):
atot $\alpha$ makutsisau when they once start to boil nbt. 25,33 . atot $\dot{m}$ mokoxpotàsi when it snowed first in the fall nbt. 7, 24.
matómautstuyiu in the beginning of the winter nbt. 7, 31.
matómsotsikat you must slide first obt. 47, 9. áist $\alpha$ matòmipiksiu he takes the first strike nbt. 242, 5. moyísts mátotomoxkùsksinim he also first found out the lodges nbt. 81, 7.
áistomotomapauauaniu he would be ahead and fly about nbt. 96, 15.
áutomoauàkoaiau they were chased first nbt. 1, 23.
stámotomitàpu p paipiu he first jumped to obt. $43,11$. nitákotòmapiksistau $I$ shall throw it first obt. 47, 28.

The question is, how these forms -otom-, -atomand a third matom-(cf. $3^{0} . \mathrm{mat}-$ ) are related to each other. Are the first two only compositional forms of matom- or stands - otom-by itself as one of the numerous combinations of prefixes with the element-ot-? See sub ot-.

## $1^{0}$. itsin- all.

áketsinixkaiaiks they were nearly all gone nbt. 172, 7. itsítsinixkauaie then (his earth) was all gone nbt. 178, 28.

## $2^{0}$. itsin- among.

támitsinàpauàuaxkau otápi'sìsin he then travelled about among the wolves nbt. 117, 17.
nimátaitsinspaiksau $I$ am not with them nbt. 175, 8. tsá kanist $\dot{p}$ pitsinapauàuaxkaxpa api'siks how did you come to travel about among the wolves? nbt. 119, 24. ótsitsinauàuaxkàni anník matápìin (they saw) a person walking with (the wolves) nbt. 119, 11.
itsistoxk-; serves to indicate that the subject of the verb is in a lying position; e. g.:
nitsítsistoxkàipuyi I talk while lying. See: Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 59.
N.B. nitákitsts $I$ shall lie nbt. 154, 22.
itso $\chi \mathbf{k}$-, itså $\chi \mathbf{k}$ - before, by. itsitså'xkipuyiau they stood in front of it nbt. 22, 30. kemáuketså xkapuixpuàuaisks $==$ kimáukitsoxkaipuixpuauisks why are you standing before (the hole)? de J. de J. bt. 28, 15. itsóxkopìu he sat by (a creek) obt. 20, 4.
itsk-. Perhaps $=\mathrm{it}-\mathrm{sk}$ - (cf. sk-back).
$1^{10}$. as an independent verb, i, e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
nitsi'tsko $I$ went on the prairie (scil. from the river back) nbt. 218, 6.
áipitskòiau they had got far on the prairie nbt. 187,8 . àitskó he went on the prairie nbt. 196, 18. támoxtsitskòaie he just went past them nbt. 180, 13. autsitskóaie he went past them nbt. 180, 12. $2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
a) with the meaning on the prairie:
axkitsíkoxpitskitau we shall leave (our game) out on the prairie nbt. 109, 6.
itauámistutsiu; áitsksistutsiu then (the people) moved up on the prairie; (the people) had moved on the prairie nbt. $14,16$.
b) combined with ixt-(-o $\chi \mathrm{t}-)$ past: támoxtsitskoxsokuyìnai her tracks went past them nbt. 147, 16.
ákoxtsitskixpìu he will dance by nbt. 141, 19.
ixtsitsitskokskasiuaiks then he ran past them nbt. 189, 13. sotámoxtsitskokskasiuaie he then just ran past him nbt. $173,17$.
t $\dot{\alpha}$ må $\chi \mathrm{k} \alpha$ no $\chi$ taitskokskasinai then they all ran past him nbt. 207, 30.
c) forming the comparative :
itsítskaxsiu it was better (lit. it was good past it) obt. $33,3$.
There is also a form utsitsk-.
kak- just, only; ai-(a u-) is often infixed:
kakatósi star (lit. just a sun?).
káksistomiu he was just naked (lit. he just had a body) nbt. $45,6$.
kákitsinim he just saw it obt. 61, 22.
kaáuyi kaksáixtsis that you are sticking out with your mouth only obt. 52, 16.
kakókasatòminai he just picked it up obt. 9, 1.
kakoxpomòksakin he just pressed it under his arm obt. 9, 2.
kákitaixtsii were just there obt. 49, 20.
áikakauoyiu (the people) only ate nbt. 5, 17.
káukoxtòkiaiks they hud only their cars left nbt. 18, 1. áikaukoxtxskunàkiuaie he just shot with it nbt. 183, 12.
$\mathbf{k} \alpha \mathbf{m}$-, see $\mathrm{ik} \propto \mathrm{m}-\left(1^{0}\right.$. and $2^{0}$.).
kanai-, kan(au)- all.
$1^{0}$. as an element of substantives:
kanáunistaरs all the calves obt. 20, 5.
spóxtsim istoxkanáitapìua all the people on high obt. $68, \mathrm{n}^{0} .9$.
With the help of kanai - each, all distributive numerals are formed from the animate and the inanimate genders; their value is one to each, two to each, etc. (Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 32).
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix :
áukanaipìmiau they all came in obt. 13, 1.
kanáisaièpitsiu all of them tell lies obt. $67, \mathrm{n}^{0} .8$.
k дnistapiaùtomo he was far ahead of the others nbt. 81, 5.
aukanáiksistsipuyìsi when all of them stood in a circle nbt. 73, 13.
Often kan(ai)-is preceded by $i x-(i x k-?)$ at
the beginning, by $-\mathrm{o} x-(-\mathrm{o} x \mathrm{k}-$ ?) in the interior of a compound:
kítoxkanò $k$ kot $I$ give you all obt. 11, 3.
otå $\chi \mathbf{k}$ xnanik all of them said to him obt. 30, 21. ixtsítå.ckanauyiauaie all of them ate obt. 8, 1.
ki ámoistsi itx $\mathrm{k} \alpha$ 'naisìnikiu and on these raids he each time counted a coup nbt. 90, 14.
itå' $\chi \mathrm{k} \propto$ naitsòyå $\chi$ satau all of them were yelled at nbt. 72, 9.
Frequently k anai- is affixed to several words of the sentence for the sake of congruence:
kanáipim kanáiniua all of the buffalo went in nbt. 164, 23.
kanáitapìua $\mathrm{t} \dot{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{må} \chi \mathrm{k} \alpha$ naisksinìm then all the people knew it. $\mathrm{k} \propto$ náuxsoxtsi nită' $\chi \mathrm{k} \propto$ naipuyìau they were all standing behind nbt. 72, 6.
About $\mathrm{k} \propto \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{ai})-$ denoting a superlative, see $3^{\circ}$. ist-.

## $1^{0}$. kat(ai)-

a) a negative prefix:
katáiimiks Not-laughers (name of a clan) obt. 1, $\mathrm{n}^{0} .2$. kik $\alpha$ taukitskatå $\chi$ pists these that you did not vomit up obt. 8, 11.
omá katáukemìua the unmarried man.
kátaisokàsimi No-coat (name of a man).
kx́taukyàio No-bear (name of a man).
katáisepisto No-owl (name of a woman).
$\mathrm{k} \alpha$ táiatazsi (properly katáiitazsi) No-really-good (name of a man).
b) an interrogative prefix:
kikátainokixpa did you see me? obt. 59, 15.
kikátaitaiàpixpa don't you see something? obt. 58, 26.
kik $\alpha$ taikimmoka who does not pity you? obt. $68, \mathrm{n}^{0} .11$. k $\dot{t}$ tauanìuats is he used to tell? obt. 17, 10.
$2^{0}$. kat(ai)- that is why; e. g.:
kátaisàmiàua that's why they wear the war-bonnet nbt. 91, 8.
katsauáuiau that's why they don't eat nbt. 174, 9.
ki autoiátsis k $\alpha$ toxtautoanisòyi'a and that is why they use the forked stick as a cane nbt. 91, 9.
kátaisàikimii that's why they are short-furred nbt. 191, 15. kátautsinaiau that's why they are fat nbt. 174, 1.
kixkixt- now and then, in different places. áikixkixtòpiu (the war-party) would sit down now and then nbt. 27, 5.
sotámitsìkixkixtaukunàiiu then (the people) camped in different places nbt. 7, 30.
itsíkixkixtaukunàiiu amó Pek $\dot{n} n i u a$, this Peigantribe camped along in different places nbt. 32, 5.
akåxtsíxkixtsiksìsau (the buffalo) were running far nbt. 166, 15.
itáikixkixtsòkau then he would sleep at times nbt. 192, 18.
kip-. $1^{0}$. for a moment:
kipínisau(o)t get off for a while obt. 5, 16.
áxkipitoxpòksotsikau(o)p let us slide together for a while obt. 47, 2.
kipipúzsaput come here for a while obt. 47, 24.
túkskau ákipoxpokòtsisop only one time we shall smoke together for a while nbt. 157, 3.
kipotónaukit look for a while on my head for lice nbt. 149, 22.
ákipsimiop we will have a drink for a moment nbt. 35, 21.
áxkunikìpstsimotsèiop let us wrestle for a while obt. 47, 17.
23. within a moment, soon:
náxksikipinòaxs that I may sec him soon nbt. 202, 19. kipánistsi in a hurry! (adverb belonging to the verb ánistsiu he does).
kipátsàmit soon hunt! obt. 7, 16.
kipó $\chi \mathrm{ksistàuatomòkit} \mathrm{quickly} \mathrm{raise} \mathrm{him} \mathrm{for} \mathrm{me} \mathrm{obt} 25,$.5 . anikípu kssìkiakòki quickly make a trap for me obt. 31, 14. 3. falsely, by accident:
támikìpaniu then he falsely said nbt. 145, 30.
aikípanistau she was told it just for fun nbt. 106, 10. káxkipaðto $\chi$ puau 1 might shoot you by accident nbt. 111, 4.
kitáikipan you are fooling nbt. 221, 16.
sá, kimátaikipanixpa no, 1 don't fool nbt. 221, 17.
N. B. kixpitsítakotòyinai he was just about to get to obt. 40,9 ; perhaps we must analyse: ki ixp....
kot- can.
$1^{10}$. as an independent verb, i.e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
nitoxkót $I$ can.
$2^{2}$. as a prefix:
mátatoxkòtskitsipìmats (the buffalo) could not go back into obt. 11, 20.
kimátoxkotsinikixpuaua you can never kill me. mátoxkotså xpauaniuatsiks he could not get out of the water.

## ksik.

10. away, out:
áuksiksisò he hud just gone out of sight nbt. 196, 6.
sótxmiksikskàakaie she then walked away from him nbt. 168, 30.
otáistamiksikskàakaiks they would just walk away from him nbt. 169, 7.
itsáiksikåxpaipiìnai then she jumped out nbt. 138, 18. ákoxtaksiksaumaxkàiinai it would run out by (him) nbt. 166, 14.
$2^{0}$. ksik-sk-(with infixed-ai- becomes kaiiksk-)
on one side. On account of this form with the infix, it is evident that ksiksk - is assibilated from kiksk-; cf. ksist- and kaiist-.
kaiíkskàtsiuaie he went on one side of them nbt. 180, 11. ksíkskoұt $\mathbf{k} \varepsilon$ nnauk itáiiskixtsiu there on one side he hid himself lying low nbt. 145, 32.
Cf. otsípsksistoxtsi on one side (of them) nbt. 79, 8.
ksisap- down, to the river; from: ksis - $+(\mathrm{t})$ a $\mathrm{p}-$ -
ákitsiksisapistutsop we shall move down over on (Little Creek) nbt. 2, 25.
itáiksisapistutsiu (the people) used to move down (to the river) nbt. 37, 26.
Twice found as an independent verb:
áiksisapòiau they went down alongside the river nbt. 190, 1.
amóia einíua omoxtáiksisapòxp where the buffalo would come down (to drink at the creek) nbt. 140, 2.
ksiskan- early:
ksisk $\alpha$ népuaus when they got up carly obt. $3, \mathrm{n}^{0} .10$. itapinako ksisk«náutunì then it was early in the morning nbt. 27, 12.

[^7]$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
itáiksistsiaiks then they were completed nbt. 9, 6.
otsózsoaists áikảðkanaiksistsìi their food would all be ready nbt. 107, 15.
$2^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
tátsiksistokuyi midnight; cf. kokúyi night.
N.B. tátsikaiksistsikúyi noon; cf. ksistsikúyi day.
$3^{0}$. as the usual prefix of the perfect tense, often combined with akai-; see there.
ákatsitaiksistòpiu he was already sitting down again nbt. 185, 5.
áitsiksistapauyiuaie'a he had done eating nbt. 98, 30.
áksistaiksistòtsisop we shall quit smoking nbt. 157, 19.
itáiksistsipoxksiaiks they had done shedding their hair nbt. 1, 11.
áiksistanistsiaua they had done this nbt. 97, 16.
sotámiksistsipuyiàiks then they stood in their places nbt. 181, 2.
aukanáiksistsipuyìsi when all of them stood in a circle nbt. 73, 13.
áiksistapaiksistoxsoiau they had done warming themselves nbt. 23, 15.
itáiksistauaxkautseiau then (the people) quit fighting nbt. 21, 2.
t $\dot{\text { miksistsìp }}$ skau then the people had done dancing nbt. 73, 34.
áiksistapìmau he had done making a lodge nbt. 172, 14. aiksistsínikinau she had done skimming nbt. 11, 16; cf. nbt. 11, 21: nitsinikinàni my soup of the leg-bones.
20. ksist-, ksistap- out of: ksist- + (t) a p-; with infixed -ai-, kaiist(ap)-.
10. as an independent verb:
nitsítsiksistapo then 1 lost my way nbt. 218, 18.
$2^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms wild, false, of no account.
ksistómita wild dog. ksístoxpu's wild cat (púsa cat).
ksistápiapikoan Dutchman (lit. false, or not real, whiteman). mátsiksistapitapiuats he is not a person of no account obt. $30,17$.
30. as a verbal prefix:
a) for nothing, without purpose:
ponokå'mita kaiístuitapuyiu the horse is standing without use.
nimátatsiksistoxkokinànats they did not give us any more for nothing nbt. 225, 5.
Cf. the adverb: ksistóxtsi for nothing.
b) false(ly):
máukaiksistàpanistsìuaie why does he tell him something false? nbt. 133, 10.
kitáiksistàpauàniksi that you falsely said to me nbt. 100, 18.
c) to an unknown place:
tákstxmiksistapanistapu $I$ shall go to an unknown place nbt. 73, 41.
áksiksistàpanistapauop we shall go somewhere to an unknown place obt. 34, 9.
ksistak- over, across:
itsiksístakåxpaipìu (the horse) jumped over him nbt. 205, 16. áistamiksistakoxpaipiìnai he would just jump over (the fire) nbt. 176, 14.
ksistzkápiksistsis pokúni niétaxtai throw the ball across the river.
man-, manisk(s)- young, new(ly).
Frequent as an element of nominal forms:
mánisksist $\alpha$ miki young buffalo-bulls nbt. 189, 11.
manótapòtsists the new pieces obt. 7, 29.
ománokoauaiima their new home.
manókini New-breast (name of a man of the Fat_ melter clan).
manáke New-woman nbt. 234, 36.
ománnòmi her new husband nbt. 94, 13.
manáisto New-crow (name of a man).
manákitapiua the new-grown-up people.
But also in verbal forms:
mánatå $x k a ̀ p i n a u s i k s ~(t h o s e) ~ t h a t ~ h a d ~ a ~ n e w ~ w a y ~ o f ~ d r e s s-~$ ing nbt. 73, 14.
mánokìmiua he has a new lodge nbt. 42, 28.
omá túksk $x$ m manáukin mánoto there was a young wolf (lit. " new-breast) (that) had just come nbt. 117, 19.
man(ist-), according to, in proportion to, how.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
matsikióaists nitúyi anistźpii their moccasins were of the same nbt. 167, 7.
$\alpha$ nistópsin amóksisk mokákiapakèin she was such as these (that are) wise women nbt. 216, 27.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix; together with the interrogative particles tsá (a), etc. it is often found in questions: tsá tákanistsipixpa how shall I enter? nbt. $75,16$.
tsá kanistápxpauàuaxkaxp what do you travel about for? obt. 51, 26 ; cf. áxsa kímoxtàpauàuaxkaxp what do you walk for? obt. 51, 4.
tsáa kanist $\alpha$ papauànixpa what are you talking about? obt. 18, 26.
tsá kanistápapaiàkstsimaxpa how did you steer the ears of the lodge about? nbt. 138, 14.
tsáxtau tákanistò xpaxtau how shall 1 be able to go there? nbt. 74, 16.
N.B. tsá áxkanistapsòp what shall we be? nbt. 111, 13. $3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix.
a) after demonstratives:
kénnyaie kanístoxkot that way 1 give it to you obt. 59, 12.
ánni nå'xkaniståxtsimàtau that way 1 heard about it nbt. $84,12$.
ki ánnyaie kanistoxkòtoxpinan and then this is all we have to give you obt. 55, 10.
ánnyaie anist $\dot{p i t a p i a u ~ t h a t ~ k i n d ~ o f ~ p e o p l e ~ t h e y ~ w e r e ~ a l l ~}$ nbt. 49, 26.
 ánnaxkàie nimoxtáksini such kind of woman 1 was living with, that $I$ shall die through her obt. $61,31$.
b) after nit(uyi)- the same:
nitúyi matánistàutsim in the same way he stuck arrows nbt. 154, 9.

nitúyi ákanistaxsii they were just as good nbt. 51, 18. nitúyi ixk $\alpha n \alpha$ nistaikimato $\begin{gathered}\text { koyìmi they all suffered in }\end{gathered}$ the same way nbt. 21, 26.
 according to their sizes) they sat nbt. 110, 13.
N.B. imaksínakstsimiks anistátsokìnakii even the young ones doctored obt. $2, \mathrm{n}^{0} .7$.
c) to state a duration:
nanistsís $\alpha$ mitòpixpi ( 3 months) I stayed there.
$4^{0}$. as a relative verbal prefix to form distributive
numerals, which, in the same way as the ordinal numbers, have the ending -pi in the animate, and $-\chi \mathrm{pi}$ in the inanimate gender (Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 32); also with nouns:
ánnistxpinàkuists mánisto $k$ kòkaie every morning he would give him nbt. 78, 5.
anistsíkokuists every night nbt. 107, 19.
anistsíksistsikuists áisamìixk he hunted every day obt. 23, 5.
$5^{0}$. as a correlative prefix:
manistsínomàtapuđs támanistsìppiautomò when they had started down, he was far ahead of the others nbt. 81, 16.
manistápikauaұkùskoxpi, ixtáuanistàpauàuaxkaiau where the coulees were about, they went that way nbt. $16,17$.
manistótaspinan, ánni nanistáitaskimaxpinan as we owned horses scparately, so we drove them separately nbt. 223, 26.
$6^{0}$. prefixed to verbal forms ending in -pi, to form relative clauses.
manist $\dot{p}$ pakanàpixpi where they were hidden from view nbt. 16, 14.
annóm Pek $\dot{n} n i u a ~ m a ́ t s i t s t s i x p p ~ a ́ \chi s s i ~ m a n i s t a ́ \chi s p ~ O k o s ́ s a u ~$ of these Peigans there was none as good as Belly-fat obt. 33, 2.
$\mathrm{k} \alpha$ tánistàiaxsp àké there was no such fine-looking woman nbt. 168, 27.
anistainauspi the way he dressed nbt. 85, 6.
manistáuauaxkautsiixp how they fought in war nbt. $1,4$.
maníststokimixp because the water was so cold nbt. 23, 12.
manistápisxmistuyixp as it was far in the winter nbt. $13,9$.
$7^{0}$. with the conjunctive:
manístsapsi when he looked nbt. 87, 11.
omá Mékyăksiua anístå $\chi$ kiàpiksatòs amóm mistsís $\alpha \mathrm{m}$ every time Red-scar butted that tree obt. 17, 13. manistsínomàtapuxs when they had started down nbt. 81, 15.
$8^{0}$. to emphasize other prefixes, e.g. $\mathrm{kak}-$, mox t -, nit-, nit-oxk-, ok- (cf. akai-), etc.:
okánistauamotsiixpi they still invite each other nbt. 54, 18.
okánistaixtsixpi they are still there nbt. 54, 19.
okánistaia孔kìtspiaie it was still smoking obt. 19, 15.
okánistaixtsixp (his lodge) was still there nbt. 153, 26. okánistaiixtsixpiaiks they were still there obt. $64,14$. áitanistutsinaiau they were fat like (dog-ribs) nbt. 6, 27. mátsikakanistapoұkyakanapiua akópists one never turned his head away from the soup nbt. 7, 15. aiisoísts kákanistauaiitsistàinim he just cut the meat down to the ends of the boss-ribs nbt. 25, 22.
nímoztanistàpauàua $\chi \mathrm{k}$ therefore 1 am travelling nbt. 76, 22.
Ponákiksi ánnyaie nitó $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ anisto千taikàkimau it is Cutbank river, where they always cut lodge-poles from nbt. 7, 7.
$1^{0} . \operatorname{mat}(\mathrm{s})$ - is a negative prefix, which in certain cases is replaced by sau-(sai-) and k $\alpha$ tai- (see: Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 38-41). It always stands in the first place, only the personal prefixes precede.
màtsis $\alpha$ móa after a short while nbt. 73, 10.
matsipiotoisiuaiks they did not go far for picking berrie nbt. 6, 15.
mátoxkusksinoàuats he was not known nbt. 74, 2.
$2^{0}$. mat(s)- again, another, too.
$1^{10}$. as an element of nominal and pronominal forms omá mátstsiki that is another one.
kókit matoxkós = matoxkókit kós give me another cup. $2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix again, also:
mátsitoxkanitàutaipiu all the people also ran up to him nbt. 81, 1.
matótsiminai he took it also nbt. 80, 27.
mátaisaiplin he too went stretching obt. 43, 9.
nitúyi mátanistsiu he did it the same obt. 16, 1.
mátåxkanàukapsiixkiau they were also all bad obt. 3, $\mathrm{n}^{0} .11$.
itд́msokàtsinoyiu he suddenly saw again (a person) obt. 47, 15.
átsis $\propto$ mò again after a long while obt. 15, 27.
mátsipiskiop they had another buffalo-corralling obt. 18, 11.
otátanikaie he was again told by him nbt. 75, 7.
t $\dot{m}$ matsòkau then he slept again nbt. $74,18$.
átomatapàpaskunakataiau they commenced again to be shot nbt. 6, 26.
motúiinaiks matåxtsínixkàsimiau they got All-chiefs as another name obt. $2, \mathrm{n}^{0} .7$.
kikátoxkoxkemi you got another wife obt. 38, 19.
ákatoxkoxkemìnai he has got another wife obt. 38, 16. sotámato $\chi$ kuikaxtsiau then they had another game nbt. 35,1. matsiskót go back again obt. 56, 5.
N.B. The combination of this m at- with a negative prefix is best rendered by not any more or no more: mátatsitapiuasiuatsinai he was not living any more nbt. 79, 4.
mátatsikopumats; mátatoxkòtskitsipìmats he was not afraid any more; (the buffalo) could not go back into (the lake) any more obt. 11, 24 and 20.
$3^{0}$. In many cases we might neglect mat(s) - in the translation, its meaning being very much weakened: ánnistsiaie matoztáinauàsiu from those (coups) (too) he became a chief nbt. 83, 22.
áxkoxkatsitsìnoau that we can see them (too) nbt. $73,11$. mánatå xkàpinausiks (those) that had (also) a new way of dressing nbt. 73, 14.
no $k$ k'toxskunakatsiuaie he (too) shot him with it nbt. 80, 14.
atáksamiixk he was going to hunt again obt. 23, 6.
mátatapistutsiuaiks they did not move about (any more) nbt. 5, 16.
mátatoxkapitsixtàuats then there was nothing to think about (any more) nbt. 9, 7.
Especially in connection with sk-back:
nitáistzmatsksinisåxpinan we go right back down nbt. 240, 9.
matskśxpoxpiik fall back in the same place!
mátsitskòmaxkaiau they ran back again nbt. 79, 12.
In the same way:
omátsitotoxsaie when he came back to her (cf. u $\chi$ sback).
30. mat- = omat-; cf. a umat ap-.
$1^{10}$. as an independent verb, i.e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
otsítàkomatoxp that they will start nbt. 77, 6.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix. The form m at- only occurs at the beginning of imperative forms.
itomátsàmiu then (the people) went on a hunt nbt. 3, 5.
mátsekakomatoxpatskoyìuats he could not fell it by kicking it nbt. 101, 1.
stamomatauaniu he then started to fly away. matánistsis go and tell! nbt. 100, 33. matásiststot take and wash it! nbt. 2, 1. matótakos go and give him a drink obt. 33, 16. matsáiakstsit go out and steer! obt. 19, 14.
matótos go and take him! nbt. 9, 22.
mátoxkotatsistatos go and persuade him nbt. 9, 7. N.B. matúis $\alpha$ mis go and see it (an.) obt. 37, 38.
mank- why?
The forms of the 2 prs . end in $-\mathrm{s},-\mathrm{sks},-(\chi) \mathrm{ks}$; those of the first and third persons have no particular ending.
kimáuksesc̀ps why did you look out? nbt. 132, 10.
kimaukanisks why did you say (that)? obt. 42, 12.
kímauksipisks why did you come in? obt. $45,4$.
kimauksiniòkaxks why do you sleep? obt. 45, 13.
kimáuk $\alpha$ sk $\chi$ sàipisks why do you always come in? obt. 56, 24.
kímauksàuoxtauisks why don't you eat from? obt. 7, 3.
kimáuksotamauànisks why do you say that? obt. $14,11$.
kimáukitspiaistamiskòkixpuàiisks ̀̀kssís why do you give me then to eat (meat) with round fat (fat of the guts) ebt. 41, 29; obt. 44, 12.
kímaukst $\alpha$ mitàutsipuyìsks anníksi osákiks why do you just stand by those pieces of back-fat? obt. 42, 24. kimáukauasàinisks why do you weep? obt. 50, 15.
kimáuksauxkaip $\alpha$ skaxks why don't you go and dance?
nbt. 73, 4.
kímauk $̀$ toxkiskataisks natséks why do you have my leggings for pillow?
kimaukstómitokyàuapimaxks what is the reason you are making one shelter after another? máukaniu why did he say? obt. $27,12$. nimauksauanists why did not 1 do it thus?
N. B. kimánmais $\alpha$ mitapinakuyiks why were you seen about during such a long time? obt. 36, 25.
kimáumaisoxkanisks why do you say (that) aloud? obt. 41, 31.
mi- strong(ly).
$1^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
myápakèks careful (lit. hard) women nbt. 7, 18.
míkozponìks ótàsiks their long-winded (lit. hard-winded) horses nbt. 16, 11.
miikítapì brave men nbt. 16, 15.
$2^{0}$. as an independent verb:
otáiisau because they were hard nbt. 223, 33.
$3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
mínipitsiàu they could stand much cold (lit. they were strong being cold) nbt. 12, 17.
mískapiu he is strong.
miauánsakit cook them hard! nbt. 133, 30.
mátoxtaiopimiskàupiau they were also made into hard ropes nbt. 6, 9.
misk(s)-, mi(s)ksk-, mikskaxtsiu-, -ksk- instead, notwithstanding, opposite.
$1^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
míkskapayini biscuit (lit. instead of bread, napayíni). $2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
miskskitomato then (the war-party) went instead (of going in day-time) nbt. 27, 4.
miskaistamopakiau they moved notwithstanding (the cold) nbt. 12, 20.
mikskúmaiikòtsisiu he smoked harder obt. 28, 11.
sot $\dot{m}$ isketàkaupiiau then instead of running off they sat down nbt. 214, 25.
misksippotapòiau they went in the opposite direction nbt. 213, 21.
miskátsàksist you had better go out obt. 45, 6.
mískstumamiàpiksatsiuaie he jumped at him in spite (of his shooting) nbt. 17, 14.
mikskítsinisokotàiixk nevertheless she spat at him obt. 16, 15.
miskítskunakatsiua he shot it (an.) in spite of (his partner who forbade him) nbt. 158, 17.
miksk $\alpha \chi$ tsíuaumatò he went instead.
nitákskoxpùmmatop $I$ shall buy it instead.
min- see: pin-.
(m)ist(ap)- away. The simple form (m) ist(s)- also occurs, so we have here a compound of misttap (o) -.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i.e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
tamistapoiau then they went away nbt. 79, 22.
axkúnistapàuop let us go away nbt. 113, 8.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix away, on one side; cf. pa $\chi \mathrm{k}$ - (4b): áutsistapskàpiu it was afternoon nbt. 146, 19.
anistápapiksit throw it on one side.
itsístsipuxtuyiuaie otoxkemaniaii he ran away with that other's wife obt. 1, 11.
itsistapukskàsiau they ran away nbt. 213, 16.
íkaistapotoyìnai he had already taken away nbt. 161, 8. ixtsístapinok åmitaisko they are from those horses nbt. 209, 19.
st́́mistapomazkàiinai he then ran off obt. 20, 13.
áiistapuxpàpiksinai then he was jumping away from him nbt. 80, 13.
$3^{0}$. almost without a sharply distinguished meaning it serves to emphasize other prefixes; especially often it is combined with saksiu to go out:
st $\alpha$ mistapsaksiu then she went out obt. $6,20$.
místxpsaksist go outside obt. 6, 18.
místapsàksik go out obt. 43, 21.
nisótamoxtsistapamitoxpinan then we went higher up nbt. 229, 32.
místapukitò $x$ ts on the other side of a hill (or a mountain) nbt. 234, 7 (adverb).
místxpamitoxts higher up nbt. 231, 12 (adverb).
mistaputaminasoxtsi on the other side of a hill (or a mountain) obt. 51, 29 ; obt. 52, 12 (adverb).
mistapupamoxtsi on the other side (of a water) nbt. 218, 17 (adverb).
There are some questionable forms, which I will place here:
kóniskuyì ákoxkanistapainokatòm (the war-party) would clear the snow nbt. 27, 10.
tamatáuatomaists; tómistsistamaists then he ate them; then he ate them up nbt. 178, 15.
itsístsapomàxk it would not go straight nbt. 227, 22.
mokam-, see: $2^{0}$. ik $\propto m$-.
mokak- wise, careful.
mokákit be careful nbt. 28, 1.
itáiikokakìu then (the people) was very careful nbt. 15, 11.
mokákiàke the wise woman nbt. 101, 5.
Enlisted for the sake of analogy though for the moment I have no examples of its use as a verbal prefix.
moxk-, cf. ixk-.
Rarely noted in this full form:
nímoxksksìnoau I know of (Belly-fat) obt. 34, 11.
Cf. ixksksínoyiu he knows about them nbt. 43, 22.
moxp.. Properly speaking moxp-is a hypothetical form; only $-0 \chi p$ - is taken down, so we can not decide whether we have to do with a compositional form of ixp- or of $\mathrm{moxp-:}$
támoxpsàksiuaiks then he went out with them (scil. those hoofs) nbt. 207, 2.
tsánistapi kitákoxpakitopixpa what is it you will ride with? obt. 1, 4.
N.B. áupipimaie she entered with it nbt. 160, 9 (perhaps a secondary form without $\chi$ ).

$1^{0}$. with a local meaning along, from, of:
ákoxtamitapaukèkaup we shall camp about along the river nbt. 6, 23.
sákiåxtauàuaxkau he was still walking along (that river) nbt. 170, 5.
ákaxtaszmiuàie (the war-party) would look at him from (where they sat) nbt. 29, 20.
it $\dot{m}$ sokoxtàsaininai something suddenly cried from (the water) obt. 37, 12.
ákoxtsikàkimaup we shall cut our lodge-poles from (Cut-bank river) nbt. 7, 1.
stamoxtsoyiu then he ate of (the black alcali) obt. 6, 26. matoxtsoyiauaists they did not eat of them obt. 7, 29. nitåxtáuyiauaists they ate of them alone obt. 7, 28.
mátoxtsitsisoyiu he gave them again to eat of (those last pieces) obt. 8, 3.
kímoxtotàm $I$ invited you to take one of them nbt. 76, 8.
 annózk kímåxtsinixp nokóai now that you have seen my lodge obt. 59, 7 (here the prefix has a temporal meaning).
áist $\alpha$ moxtoto $\mathrm{k} \alpha$ nàinotataiau they were all skinned from the back down nbt. 1, 28.
amók nimoxtóto over that way I came nbt. 81, 13.
sótamoxtapauàuaxkau he went travelling along about nbt. 98, 26.
$2^{0}$. for, on account of:
ámoksaxks nitsímatoxtsinèts for these $I$ was neariy drowned obt. 65, 4.
ánnistsiaie matoxtáinauàsiu from those (coups) too he became a chief nbt. 83, 22.
må'xtstuyisop we are ashamed of him obt. 9, 9.
nimoxtstuyis $I$ am ashamed of (what that woman said) obt. 50, 16.
nimoxtsipi $I$ entered on account of obt. 18, 20.
áxsa kimoxtàpauàuaxkaxp what do you walk for? obt. 51, 4.
ánnixkaie nimoxtsisòms that's why $I$ stayed a long time obt. 36, 29.
nimoxtápo $I$ am going for ( $m y$ scar) obt. 51, 6. áxsa kimåxtáiimixpuai why are you laughing? obt. 66, 1.
nimåxtáiimixpinan we are laughing at (him) obt. 66, 3. nímoxtaistunnòanàni we are afraid of (wolverines) obt. 61, 34.
nímoxtanistàpauàuaxk 1 am travelling for (my poverty) nbt. 76, 22.
$3^{0}$. denoting instrumentality:
ákoxtsitapiop we shall live by means of (him) obt. 37, 15. anni ákak $\chi$ tsinitsiuaiks he would just kill them with that nbt. 56, 17.
nimoxtáksini $I$ shall die through (her) obt. 61, 32. nåðtsìpx_pákixpa with (which) shall I brush? obt. 33, 28.
ákoxtaiiniu (the buffalo) will die by (fints) obt. 12, 1. ákoxtaiìtau (the buffalo) will be skinned with (fints) obt. 12, 2.
kimoxtsitsiksiskaxkòki you then touched me with (your arrow) obt. 19, 2.

## (m)ot(ui)-.

$1^{10}$. as an element of nominal forms:
motaia' $x \mathrm{ke}$ ocean (lit. all-over-water).
motómaxksikimi everywhere-lakes; lakes-all-over.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix.
a) all, every :
motúiekàkimàk try hard, all of you nbt. 210, 3 .
áumotapàistutsiminai she did everything she could obt. $19,12$.
sotxmótèpuyiu then all of them stood about nbt. 207, 5.
nimótsipitàkeixpinan we are all old women obt. 41, 25 .
b) very, in all respects, every bit; especially combined with -sap- to express the idea of imitation: nistói nitotúisapinausiu she dressed like me nbt. 75, 25.
itaitotùisapinàusiau they dressed like (their lovers) nbt. 72, 2.
stotuisapinàusòkiau that they were imitated in dressing (by the women) nbt. 72, 8.
maxkáitotuisapinàus that they must dress like (their lovers) nbt. 72, 23.
t $\propto$ mótuik $\propto$ mikùnamaiau then they began to make roasts in a hurry nbt. 24, 15.
c) all over; cf. a motap-:
mótapomaxkàii they were running all over nbt. 226, 1. mótuixtsii máksiniks the carcases were scattered all over nbt. 3, 7.
imitáiks mótuiatoii the dogs howled all over nbt. 132, 6. aistamótosikskiu he blacked his face all over nbt. 18, 11.
10. nam- east(ward).
pináminakatòk do not roll it eastward obt. 27, 10.
axkstáminakàtaxs that we should not roll it eastward obt. 27, 12.
aiámistsipatakayayìn he was running east nbt. 66, 6. Adverb: námists on the eastside.
$2^{0}$. nam- only, just. See the numerals (Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 33).
namitsitapiau they were just lonely people obt. 23, 4. nits $\alpha$ mistsitaplau they were only two nbt. 79, 9. namó kitàisaièpitsit you can just tell lies obt. $67, \mathrm{n}^{0} .8$. namóxkitàipuyit you just can talk obt. $67, \mathrm{n}^{0} .8$. námipaupinai then she sat just up obt. 27, 1. námapikauaniàiks they just shook their legs nbt. 111, 5.
nano-, nanau-, nanai- finally:
nánayitautòyinai he got there finally obt. 23, 16.
ainoåzkonoyiu einí (the people) finally found the buffalo nbt. $15,1$.
nánauaiksistotsisiua he ended his smoking. nánauauanikaie finally he was told by (him) nbt. 78, 8. nánauaitapòaie he went finally obt. 47, 8.
nánauauàtsistotoyiuaie he finally persuaded him obt. 26, 7.
nánoaikòko finally it was night nbt. 30, 28.
otáipxxksìmisaie, nánoatoxtàinixkataiau because they smelt bad, (from that) they were finally called (Skunks) obt. 3, 23.
nánoainitsiu he finally killed her obt. 28, 12.
nap. see nipu -.
$1^{10}$. nats- last, farthest.
Also used as ordinal numeral, scil. nátsauxts the last. As a prefix:
nátsàupiu támoxkotauaists they were given to the last one (the man sitting on the end) nbt. 26, 5.
As an adverb: ki omí nátsauxts and over there on the farthest end nbt. 181, 14.
$2^{0}$. nat(s)- just:
ninátasau $I$ am just wiping him nbt. 129, 18.
nátåðtanists (Uhlenbeck, Philol. Notes p. 14 interprets: (ni)nátoztanists(i)) therefore $I$ am just doing it de J. de J. bt. 22, 7.
kenátå $\neq k a n i s t ~(U h l e n b e c k, ~ P h i l o l . ~ N o t e s ~ p . ~ 14: ~ k i-n a ́ t-~$ o $\chi \mathrm{k}$-anist) I will just tell you de J. de J. bt. 22, 1. kenátåxkànästo (Uhlenbeck, ibid. p. 32: ki-nát-o $\mathrm{ck}^{\mathrm{k}}$ anisto) I will just tell you de J. de J. bt. 53, 21.
nim-west:
itsímsxpiu he looked west nbt. 66, 5.
As an independent adverb: nímists.
nipu- standing, up; see: ipu-, and n a $\mathrm{p}-$.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i.e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
áipuau she got up nbt. 100, 29.
itsipuau he stood up nbt. 83, 7.
itáipuyiu he stands there.
nipuáut get up!
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix, sometimes modified by the old Algonquian „change" and by reduplication (see: Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 59 sqq.):
itsipúsapiu then he looked up nbt. 74, 15.
aipókoyis when his lodge was finished (lit. was standing up) nbt. 42, 25.
nipúisoyàuanit jump up! nbt. 156, 3.
nipúpaipiit jump up! obt. 40, 9.
áitsipàipuyiu he was standing up alone nbt. 169, 10.
áksipuyimikiå` $\chi$ sataiau we shall push the grass up nbt. 127, 4.
ixtsitáipuimikiàkiua he pushed the grass up with (the lodge-pole) nbt. 127, 7.
itsipúisuiàuaniu he jumped up (from his bed) nbt. 199, 22.
nitapáipuxpàipiiau they jumped up at the same time nbt. 39, 21.
nápaipuyiu he talks while standing.
nápopuyisimiu he drinks white standing.
nitsipáisìnaki $I$ write while standing.
nist- two ; see: - ist-.
nit(s)-, nitap-, nitui-, nito- one; cf. the cardinal numeral nitukska. It is not difficult to understand the rather differentiated functions of $\mathrm{nit}(\mathrm{s})$ - etc. out of this fundamental meaning.
$1^{10}$. alone, only:
annóxk d́skxsaitsitàupop now we are always living here alone nbt. 106, 2.
nitoxkémiu he had one wife obt. 13, 23.
ki áitsitapainauàsiu and he became the only chief nbt. 84, 9.
nitainitsiu (the people) only killed (those) nbt. 40, 8. nitå xtáuyiauaists they ate of them alone obt. 7, 28. áistamitsitapaukunaiixk he camped about alone nbt. 123, 2.
nitsísoyìsoau he is fed with only four things nbt. 2, 14. nitsámistsitapiau they were only two nbt. 79, 9.
aitsauaip $\alpha$ skaii they were the only ones that did not dance nbt. 73, 1.
kitáitsauàipask you are the only one that does not dance nbt. 73, 7.
imakétokuyito $k$ kònimàsau if they find only one hair obt. 19, 27.
$2^{0}$. Semantically most akin to the meaning one is that of the same, alike. The independent adverb nitúyi is met with:
nitúyi nitázsii (their things) were fine the same nbt. 167, 14.
nitúyi nitáixtsau she is laid down in the same way nbt. 54, 3.
Often nit(s), etc. is combined with (m) anist(which in many cases has a similar function) or with (m)ot-. See manist- and mot-.
áitanistsinatsiaists they looked like nbt. 20, 5; cf. ánni
nitoápiksimàists this way they threw them nbt. 34, 12. itsitótosapinausìnai she dressed like him nbt. 85, 6. itáitotùisapinàusiau they dressed alike nbt. 72, 2. nitúianistypsi they are the same nbt. 53, 21. áitanistutsinaiau they were fat like (dog-ribs) nbt. 6, 27. áitanistsiàiks źnni àipoxpokúyi they would be like as if their hair were brushed nbt. 8, 12.
nítanistsinatsiau ánni áskàk $\chi k u y i$ they looked like a short-back butte nbt. 24, 17.
The meaning at the same time belongs here:
nítoxpiksistaұkùmiuaie he shot at the same time with him obt. 30, 30.
ki nitapáipuxpàipiiau and they jumped up at the same time nbt. 39, 21.
$3^{0}$. Derived from the meaning sub $1^{0}$. only, alone is that of real:
nitápskinetsimàni the real sacks nbt. 2, 20.
áitapsuiinisì when it was real warm weather nbt. 12, 22.
nínitsàpixpinan we really saw nbt. 28, 17.
nitápoauàpozsiau (their horses) were really fat nbt . 1, 10.
nitápipitàkèsin the state of being a real old woman.
kanáitsitapìua all Indians (lit. all the real people) obt. $67, \mathrm{n}^{0} .8$.
$4^{0}$. always, still:
kinétoxkanistoxkot I still give you nbt. 28, 3.
kinétoxkanistaiàkàinoàu you will always see him obt. $67, \mathrm{n}^{0} .8$.
גnnyaie nitóxkanistoxtaikàkimau there it is they always cut lodge-poles from nbt. 7, 7.
áistomitapotsìminai he would always swim to (the beaverden) nbt. 75, 4.

## 95

For the combination nit-oxk-anist-cf. akai(2 c).
$5^{0}$. emphasizing; best rendered by self:
áitsitsòyåzsiu all of them yelled nbt. 79, 32.
áita $\quad$ pùiimiau their bodies were oily nbt. 1, 24.
nitsitótòaie he walked right up to him nbt. 80,13 .
nistóa nitákotomitàpamiso $I$ shall go up there first nbt. $168,14$.
nítaxtsakoàumaxkau he was the last one running obt. 16, 11.
nítsis $\alpha$ mauàksiksisau (the buffalo-herd) had made a long run around obt. 16, 19.
nínitapàtau 1 was the last one nbt. 232, 22.
noxketsítotoiau they came at last there nbt. 173, 21.
$\mathbf{n a ̊} \chi \mathbf{k}$-, no $\mathbf{n} \chi \mathbf{k}$ - to be sure, it is true, though.
$1^{10}$. prefixed to imperative forms, to mitigate the command:
noxkátsimàt give an invitation! nbt. 2, 16. nå $\chi$ ksísokik give me to eat! obt. 38, 34 .
no kssikímmokit pity me! obt. $68, \mathrm{n}^{0} .10$.
noxkóxtokit hear me! obt. $68, \mathrm{n}^{0} .10$.
noxkspámmokit help me! obt. $68, \mathrm{n}^{0} .10$.
nå $\not$ ksíkiakiatskòkit make me a trap! nbt. 161, 30.
$2^{0}$. after interrogative particles:
tsá kinå'xkanistapsp which kind of being are you? obt. 7, 1.
tsimá kinå' $\chi$ kitapaiitapiixp where are you roaming about? obt. 8, 18.
nåxkátoxtàpoyiskòa (which way) are there any more lodges? obt. 44, 1.
kinå'xkakimmok (which of these your children) pities you? obt. 41, 7.
nåxkáitapòaxtau (where) is she going to? obt. 62, 17. no kx́toyisko (where) are there more lodges? obt. 46, 28. noxkoxtápitapiskoa (which way) are there any people? obt. 41, 17.
$3^{0}$. Combined with prefixes, mitigating their strict meaning :
a) with nit- only:
noxkitáutsinaiau (there) is the only place they have fat nbt. 174, 8.
b) with (m)anist-:
ninå'xkaniståxtsimàtaxpi the way $I$ heard about them nbt. 1, 6.
ninå' $x$ kanistsksìnoau what 1 know about them nbt. 169, 19. ninå' $x$ kanistsksinoaiau (that is all) I know about them nbt. 111, 39.
c) with (m) at-also, the same:
nook $\tan ^{\text {ta }} \chi \mathbf{k} \alpha$ nàisoo they came also all to the shore of the river nbt. 79, 20.
nåukútakapinausiu whoever has a new way of dressing nbt. 73, 11.
áxkoxkatsitsinoau that we can see them nbt. 73, 11.
noxkátoxskunakatsìuaie he shot him with it nbt. 80, 14. noxkátsistokami he had also two.
nåxkátanistsinokètakiu he the same was very glad nbt. 144, 14.
d) after demonstratives; cf. sub $b$ :
ánni nå'xkanistå $\chi$ tsimàtau that way $I$ heard about it nbt. $84,12$.
kénniaie nå'xkokamàatsimaie that one was her lover nbt. 73, 33.
ćnniaie kinó $k$ kopàu 1 give her to you in payment nbt. 82, 28.
e) with kanai- (see kanai- $2^{0}$.).
$4^{0}$. in general as an expression of doubt and caution: kamóxkitstsixki there might be some one nbt. 73, 4. ánnoxka páskàuki, nitákoxksipàsk now when we have a dance, I shall dance nbt. 73, 9.
ninà' $x$ kainoki they can see me obt. $66, \mathrm{n}^{0} .4$. mátåxksipiòats he did not go far nbt. 185, 3.
nenå' $\chi$ ksopapàuk it is true, I had a dream de J. de J. bt. 44, 1 (Uhlenbeck, Philol. Notes p. 27 : „ninóxksipapauk. The prefix no $\quad \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{s})$ (i) - is often concessive and then it might be rendered in German by "freilich").
kenátå $\not$ kanist $I$ will just tell you de J. de J. bt. 22, 1 . (Uhlenbeck, Philol. Notes p. 14: The value of $\cdot \mathrm{o} x \mathrm{k}-$ ( $=\mathrm{n} \circ \not 0 \mathrm{k}-$ ) in this form is concessive, wherefore I rendered it by "will").
$\mathbf{n o} \chi \mathbf{k e t s ( i )}$-, no $\quad$ kits(i)- different.

1) in nominal forms:
noxkétsitapì the enemy nbt. 79, 20.
2) as a verbal prefix:
noxkétsipuyìau they stood separately nbt. 181, 7. noxketsítotoiau they came there at last nbt. 173, 21.
omat-, see $3^{0}$. mat-
omoi- together:
itomoóyi Mátokeks the Women-society gathered nbt. 19, 6 (independent verb).
kákskapaumoàikinau he just went back gathering them up nbt. 179, 28.
áumoiiskapàtsiu he pulled them together nbt. 111, 1.
omoxt-, see: moxt-. Omoxt- is formed by means
of the personal prefix o- about which Uhlenbeck, (Some General Aspects p. 50) says: „The prefix o-(ot-, otox-, ots-, otsi-) is used to indicate the 4 prs. in centripetal forms, but in the conjunctive it also often denotes the subject of the 3 prs."
Examples:
 obt. 13, 21.
ómåztàkoxkùienixpì what he will die with obt. $17,10$. ómaxtauàuaxkaxp where they used to go obt. 25, 18. ómaxtaksisapsimixpi where (the buffalo) used to drink obt. 20, 3.
omaxtapitsikìmaxpi the direction she was in obt. 9, 14.
ómåxtoxpi the way they went obt. 11, 18.
ómåxtsipàskàni that she danced for nbt. 73, 31.
ómaxtapàutamiskapi where he rises obt. 51, 2.
åxkéyi omoxtautsisaie that he was swimming in the water nbt. 75, 2.
omå atáuyospists the things they cooked with nbt. 1, 2. ómåxtapakièpi where there were many (buffalo) nbt. $15,3$.

## opit-, apit-.

$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i.e. followed only by verbalizing suffixes or incorporated nouns $=$ to loose: áika ${ }^{\text {kapitsiuaie }}$ he cut (the horse) loose nbt. 30, 12.
otápitotoksksàuaiks they peeled the bark from them nbt. 10, 17.
ákitaupitotoksksiuaie she would knock off the bark of it nbt. 10, 4.
manistsík $\alpha \chi$ kapitakixpiau how they cut loose the horses nbt. $32,25$.

## 99

$2^{0}$. as a modifying prefix, usually combined with other prefixes:
aupitsískapataxs when he was pulled ashore nbt. 192, 36.
a) combined with -so-ashore, from the fire; see sui-, so-:
ákitopitsosimàie then she would pull it from the fire nbt. 11, 11.
áupitsòtsimiau they pulled it ashore nbt. 23, 13.
itopitsotoyiuaiks he pulled them from the fire nbt. 175, 15.
b) with is-(s) o-:
sót $\alpha$ mapitsisò $I$ then went ashore nbt. 219, 12.
sótxmopitsisoo then she went ashore nbt. 94, 11.
itopítsisoò then he came out of the water nbt. 190, 29. pinápoxts nåxtápopitsisòàxsi'a that I will come out of the water below nbt. 88, 12.
ostóyi ikyáiaupitsisoò he himself had a hard time to get out of the water nbt. 197, 21. ixtopítsasoo he came out of the water nbt. 210, 19.
c) with sai-, sat-:
kákopitsaipiksistsiuaie she just pulled it ashore nbt. 145, 21.
akaitopitsaipuyiuà he was standing out of the water (on the bank) nbt. 87, 12.
máxkopitså ppaù̀nisaie that she might jump out (of the snow) nbt. 135, 3.
itáupitsatapiksistsèiaiks then they threw each other out (of the ashes) nbt. 174, 26.
d) some forms cannot be analysed sufficiently:
itopitsiaxkìmiau they pulled (a charcoal) from the fire nbt. 29, 5.
noxksístapopitsikaniksisinai she (the crow) started for the shore with her wings spread nbt. 151, 8.
opítsauå $\chi \mathbf{k s i n} \mathrm{k}$ a he was seen (by the Snake Indians) (that he dived in) nbt. 88, 14.
ot-, ant-; certainly cognate with oto to come to, cf.: ki ómaxkauk autćkiakiu and over there he went to trap nbt. 161, 3.
$1^{0}$. combined with stuyi winter with the meaning to approach, to begin:
itótstuyiu then the winter came on nbt. 164, 25.
áitapòtstuyis when they had real winter nbt. 10, 24.
ákotstuyiua it was near winter nbt. 94, 4.
átotstuyìu it was winter again nbt. 12, 5.
matomautstuyiu in the beginning of the winter nbt 7, 31.
itstsitstsáutstuyiu in the beginning of the winter nbt. 8, 14.
$2^{0}$. near, to, close by:
itáutàupiuaie (the war-party) sat near by them nbt. 17, 2. támitotoxsokuyìnai her tracks went up to him nbt. 147, 16.
itsitótauaniau they flew to him nbt. 124, 19.
t́́mitotsòkauaie then he slept near her nbt. 208, 16.
itsitotátsimiuaiks she met them nbt. 104, 23.
itótauaniu he flew to (where there were many elks) nbt. 100, 5.
támitotsipuyìuaie he stood by (the buffalo-head) nbt. 116, 12.
itótsisàtsiuaie then he went in front of her nbt. 168, 31.
itótauanii they came flying home nbt. 190, 10.
tótokèkasaie when he was camped near nbt. 114, 16.
t $\dot{m}$ mitotòpiuaie he then sat by him nbt. 136, 15.
káxkitotsàpinakumis that you may be close by in the morning nbt. 205, 6.
nitáutskoaxsàu when 1 had driven them back to camp nbt. 230, 13.
$3^{0}$. ot- often occurs in regular combination with certain prefixes:
a) with ak-, scil. otak-, autak- nearly always with the meaning in a circle, around:
aitotakixpisau when they are dancing in a circle nbt. 141, 18.
stx́mautakàtominai then he went around it obt. 23, 17. nisoóyi otótakoxsists four times he went around (lit. four were his goings-around) nbt. 152, 6.
itáutakanìau they went round saying nbt. 1, 18.
itsitáutzkauaniua he flew around nbt. 96, 26.
itótzksoyauanìn he jumped around obt. 64, 2.
itáutakomaxkau then he would run around nbt. 16, 3. ixtáutaksaistoiauaie they went through the camp crying nbt. 34, 3.
áutakatsiuaiks he began to go around them nbt. 117, 9. támitotautakòyinai then he was going around nbt. 152, 5 (independent verb).
ómaxksikimists otakóxtsi around the lakes nbt. 37, 22 (adverb).
Here belong also:
itótakaupiuaie he sat by him obt. 18, 15.
mátsitotakaupìnai he sat down by him again nbt. 136, 24.
áitotakaupiu he sat by (his partner) obt. 59, 14.
b) with -ami-; the meaning will appear from the examples:
itótamiàupiau they were sitting on the edge (of the cliff) nbt. 135, 8.
itáutamianiu he said from where he was on high nbt. 39, 10.
itáutamiataiàii they came up in sight in a circle nbt. $32,11$.
ipisóaxs áutamiskapiu the morning-star was coming up nbt. 27, 9.
ómaxtapàutamiskapi where (the sun) rises obt. 51, 2. páuaxkùyi tótamiàupiu he sat in sight on a hill nbt. 83, 6.
tamótamiòpiu then (the war-party) sat in sight (of the enemy's camp) nbt. 79, 16.
otótamisooxsau when they came in sight (of the place where the lodges had been) nbt. 127, 26.
ákotamiatàiaiop we shall have a circle in sight nbt. 18, 13.
kináutamisò he went slowly up (to a lake) nbt. 180, 1.
c) with -sat-; meaning to be near, to come near:
áiikotsatò he is very close obt. 40, 2.
itótstsiu he came nbt. 191, 6.
áutsatoxkìm moyísts (the war-party) came near the camp nbt. $18,5$.
ámom otsátåðtsim here, close by nbt. 156, 17 (adverb). áutsatstsisaiks when they were close by nbt. 17, 8. einí ómåxtapautsatsìxp where the buffalo would come the nearest nbt. 7, 27.
pan- during the night, before day-light; often with infixed - ai-, scil. paian-:
paiánnauapiksiu he made his flight all night nbt. 30, 26. panáuamisòk go before day-light on high obt. 42, 1. paiánnauatòiau they travelled all night nbt. 213, 5. paiónnauapiksiau they were running all the night nbt. 146, 15.
N.B. áipannixtsì they laid (the bullberries) over night (they kept them through winter) nbt. 10, 23.
paxt(s)-, paxk-. As for the different meanings is to be compared $2^{0}$. ksist(a p) -.
$1^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
paxtsópisठ̀azs False-morning-star obt. 54, 29.
pa千kå'xkeyi Bad water (a lake) nbt. 3, 1.
paxtsíkakaxtànai false-roots nbt. 10, 11.
$\mathrm{p} x$ tsíksistsikùmi false-thunder nbt. 210, 6.
$2^{\circ}$. as a verbal prefix wrong, bad;
omá paұkå' xsinikai $a$ bad death to her nbt. 103, 6.
kikáip $x$ tsàn you said wrong obt. 54, 27.
kénnyaie mátanistsì $\alpha \chi$ tsàpsiu and that was another mistake he made nbt. 170, 35.
mátsitsipxztsistotòzsiu he had made again a mistake for himself nbt. 183, 17.
nitsípaxtsoxtò xpinan we put (one bone) wrong obt. 27, 8.
$3^{0}$. as a prefix by accident (the independent adverb is páxtsapi):
paztsísaisksinisàie if he happened to forget nbt. 35, 23. páztuyiuaie he shot him by accident.
$4^{0}$. combined with other prefixes:
a) with -ik, not much, a little, for a while:
paxtsikápatoxtsikàukin he was just a little behind her obt. 19, 18.
páxtsikåxkokinisàu all of them got off their horses for a while nbt. 30, 30.
páxtsikå k òm $\alpha \chi$ kò it was a little bigger nbt. 143, 23. p $\dot{\chi} x$ tsikaminakatsiau by little and little they rolled it eastward nbt. 156, 23.
páxtsikåxkakiskìminai she just touched it nbt. 151, 8. paxtsikápatåxtsikàukinai he was right behind him nbt. 82, 1.
ómamauk páxtsikaitapiu there he is hardly living.
b) with (m)ist- it means: aside, cf. mistap(sub 2):
omí mistsísi itsitsíp $\alpha \chi$ ksistspìniuaiks he hit them with that stick alongside of their necks nbt. 181, 18. ponokå'mitaiks pázksistsepuyiau the horses are standing aside.
pi(0)- far (away).
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb:
piíxtsis einiua when the buffalo-herd was far nbt. 1, 15.
akáipixtsìi they were far already nbt. 110, 3.
mátsipiòats he did not go far nbt. 83, 2.
axkáipiuòiau they must be fur away nbt. 108, 7.
aipstsíksipiuòs when she had gone a little way nbt. 109, 17.
maxksípixtsis that he might get far away nbt. 30, 28. ki áipiuò and he went far away nbt. 83, 18.
mátsiksipiòats it was not far nbt. 74, 5.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
$\mathrm{k} \alpha$ nistsíppiotòmipuyìu she was standing far ahead nbt. 82, 18.
taistźmitapipìnapo I then go long ways down nbt. 235, 30. aipístosisì when (the people) have moved far nbt. 131, 9.
nató'siua áipispskapìu the sun was rising high nbt. 31, 1.
pyómaxkau he went far nbt. 4, 12.
t $\dot{\alpha}$ manistsìppiautomò then he was fur ahead of the others nbt. 81, 16.
N.B. aipiixtsisoiau they came later than others nbt. 72, 12.
The independent adverb is: pioó $\chi$ t.
pin-; negative prefix of the 2 and 3 prs. imperative, often replaced by m in-:
pinś́mis don't look at him obt. 23, 12.
annám ksikunístau $\alpha \mathrm{m}$ pinápanàs (take care) that that white buffalo-calf may have no blood on it obt. 32, 25. minstúnnit don't be afraid of (arrows) nbt. 55, 10.
(p)inap- down, down-stream, east(ward); cf. a mi-up (the river) the fundamental meaning of which occurs much more frequently than that of pinap-(down). Properly it is only once noted:
kyotsítsinäpotokai (= ki-ots-íts-inap-otokaie) and he was let down by him de J. de J. bt. 60, 21.
$1^{0}$. down-stream:
tákåxpitsinàpistai $I$ will dive down-stream with him nbt. 88, 10.
ixtsínapapauàuaxkàiixk he was walking about down the river obt. 63, 12.
áistamitsinapapaukunàiiu there (the pcople) would be camped about down on the river nbt. 7, 26.
ixtsínapautsimma he is swimming alony down the river de J. de J. bt. 6, 22.
nitáksikaupinikipainapistai 1 shall feign to dive downstream with him nbt. 79, 27.
nisótamatsksinapoxpinan then we went down (afoot) nbt. 229, 34.
The independent adverb:
pinápoxts below (= down-stream) nbt. 88, 12.
piinapoxts far down (the river) nbt. 210, 19.
$2^{0}$. east(ward), scil. down-stream the rivers east of the
Rocky Mountains; e. g.:
Pinápitsaikatoyis Eastern Sweetgrass hills nbt. 214, 16.
ánnamaie Itomaipinapo he was the first that went east nbt. 218, 2.
Adverb: pinápuztsk eastward de J. de J. bt. 43, 4 (Uhlenbeck, Philol. Notes p. 27: „the proper meaning of pinápoxtsi is "down the river". Nevertheless Dr. de Jong is right in translating pinápuxtsk= pinápoxts-k by „eastward". The prefixed form of pinápoxtsi is pinap-, but after another prefix the initial p is lost").
N.B. itå $\mathrm{k} \alpha{ }^{n}$ nainàipsaipiu they all charged down the river nbt. 80, 22.
pok- right after, with.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb:
itoxpókiuaie he followed her obt. 61, 18.
stámoxpokòmiuaie then he went together with him nbt. 96, 8.
á x kunoxpokisòp let us be together nbt. 123, 14.
N.B. otoxpóksimiks his companions nbt. 83, 22; nbt. 21, 25.
$2^{0}$. as a modifying prefix; the forms pak - and poki- are also met with:
ki itoxpókistsoaie and she entered the forest after her nbt. 102, 11.
itå' xpokyapauauatutsiu then (the people) moved about that way (where the buffalo were many) nbt. $15,3$.
tsánistapi kitákoxpakitopixpa what is it you will ride with obt. $1, \mathrm{n}^{0} .3$.
áitoxpokiapàipuyit you must repeat right after him obt. 39, 32.
matoxpókèpuyit talk back to him obt. 40, 4.
ixpókiuàuaxkàiinai then he walked after him nbt. 80, 8. ákoxpokotsisìmau we shall smoke with (her) obt. 27, 24.
itoxpóksokaminaie then he slept with her. istuyí máxkoxpokàupimaxs that he should stay with him during the winter nbt. 76, 14.
ánniaie tákoxpok $\alpha$ रkaiìmmau with him 1 will go home nbt. 78, 3.
áxkitoxpoksistapausi'a so that I might go away with you.
pot- in the direction of, towards, together:
sotámiamipotàupiu then (the war-party) just sat there facing the other party nbt. 79, 21.
anitsipótapoxkistau he would always lay one of them pointing to (the moon) nbt. 77, 25.
itáipotoxtomiauaists they put them together nbt. 35, 8. imakóxksipotàuotsinikaiks even if they were shooting back at him nbt. 56, 14.
Sóàtsixpotamiso Came-up-over-the-hill-with-the-cagle-tailfeathers (name of a man).
itsipótanistsiuaie he said back to him obt. 39, 35.
pum- good, strong :
áksipummòaiau they would be initiated nbt. 43, 4. mátsipummàpi it is not good nbt. 76,12 . matsipúmapiu it is not good nbt. 210, 8. katáipumotàsiua those that had not good horses nbt. 13, 18.
puzs. hither. Perhaps we may see in this prefix a combination of an element p-(cf. Fox pyä hither) with the prefix uxs-back. Often puxs- is combined with -(t) a p -. Examples:
áist $x$ mipu $\chi$ sapistutsiu then (the tribe) would move this way nbt. 5, 23. támatsipu $\chi$ saput then come here again nbt. 77, 7.
itapaipuұsapistutsiu then (the tribe) was moving this way nbt. 14, 29.
kénni nisótxmipu $\begin{gathered}\text { sapaia } \\ \text { saupixpinan and then we lived }\end{gathered}$ together allright till now nbt. 222, 13.
nitsipúzsotasàm I come here to see you obt. 15, 4. mátsipuұsapakauò ksistsikuísts a few days later nbt. 212, 31 (N.B. in the past, ergo: nearer to the speaker). ixkanáipuxsòpsaksiau they all came out to me nbt, 216, 24.
$1^{0}$. sai. out. Other forms are: $\mathrm{s} \alpha-$ (cf. ai-) before s , $\mathrm{s} \varepsilon$ also before s; s-. E.g.:
pins $\alpha$ ss $x$ mis don't look out at him obt. 23, 10.
itsésapiau they looked out obt. 13, 18.
áitàsakutsiu it boiled over nbt. 25, 28.
itsáskapatsiuàie he pulled him out nbt. 117, 13.
ixtsás $\alpha$ miauaie axkánnixkàie they looked out at him through a hole in the lodge nbt. 116, 10.
pin $\alpha$ tses $\alpha$ pìt; mats $\alpha$ pèniki don't look out; if you look out again nbt. 132, 12.
otáukanaisàuma k àni when all of them ran out obt. 11, 19. nítsautòkiau they pulled me out nbt. 119, 28.
ákstamsautoyiu otoд́n then he would take out his knife nbt. 29, 25.
sáxpàipit jump out! nbt. 154, 11.
itáisaikimiskàiau then (the water-bngs) leuked nbt. 46, 11. saiínikiu he killed (one) out (of the enemies) nbt. 89, 26. N.B. itsástaxkapiu he crawled out from the lodge nbt. 108, 21 ; cf. sáiista $\chi$ kapìn one crawled out obt. $45,21$. The independent adverb is to be found in: amói saà'xtai the people on the outside nbt. 138, 20. saå' $\chi$ tsim outside nbt. 121, 2.'
The prefix sai- has only one meaning, scil. out.

Some remarkable cases however are to be mentioned: $1^{0}$. doubling of sai-:
ki itå'zkinaisaisaipiau and then all the people ran out on a charge to them nbt. 32, 14.
$2^{0}$. Several forms wherein sai . seems to function as an independent verb, only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
itáumatapsaixpiu then (the people) made a rush out nbt. 18, 14.
kaxkitsáipiauaii that you tuke them out obt. $45,25$.
$2^{0}$. sai-, see sau-.
sak- out; perhaps $=$ sai- +ak .
At all events sai- and sak- are related to eachother.
$1^{1}$. as an independent verb with the suffix -s -.
stámsaksiua he then went out nbt. 99, 5.
otsáksai that he went out nbt. 85, 26.
itoxkánaisxksii'a then they all went out nbt. 86, 1. akitsisaisaksìu then he will go out nbt. 59, 11.
sepisaksisi when he goes out in the night nbt. 59, 4.
sàksíst go out! nbt. 76, 26.
ánnisaksìst come out! nbt. 104, 17.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
áisakayayì they ran out fast nbt. 20, 11.
omáxkanistapsakapomàzkaniaii that one of them might run out on the prairie nbt. 17, 2.
áisaksistutsop then we had moved away (from the river) nbt. 6, 24.
a) Besides sak-also a form sok-occurs:
áisokatanitsiuaie each time he would cut out a piece of it nbt. 190, 25.
itomátapsokoxsayiu it boiled over nbt. 155, 20. b) Frequently sak- is connected with (t) a p-: $\alpha$ nsákapuxs noxkóa let my son come out obt. 53, 5. mátsitsksakapo she came back out of the forest nbt. 102, 13.
t 'msàkapò then he went out on the prairie nbt. 77, 1. sákapòt go out on the prairie! nbt. 76, 26.
sákapùs when she came out nbt. 101, 26.
sat- out; cf. sai- and sak-.
itsátapiksimaie then he pulled it out nbt. 80, 12.
ixtáisatsikataiau with them they cut their backs open nbt. 1, 27.
áist $\alpha$ msatapiksixp ótokoàuaists then (the people) would throw out their kidneys nbt. 1, 29.
The adverb: satóxtai (the people) on the other side of the mountains nbt. 113, 22.
saki- still:
sákiàupii they still sat there obt. $43,20$.
sákiaitapìi they were still alive obt. 46, 10.
mátsakiaistuyìu it is cold no more.
sakiáupisi when he was still at home nbt. 3, 40.
sákiaiokau he is still sleeping obt. 31, 33.
sákiauàuaxkau (the war-party) was still travelling nbt. 28, 9.
sákiitautstsiu $\alpha$ pssii the arrow is still there nbt. 199, 24.
otsákiaiokaǹ while he was asleep nbt. 74, 12.
sákiautsisiiau they were still smoking obt. $18,12$.
sako- last.
$1^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
Sakóàke Last-woman (name given to Mrs. Uhlenbeck).
sakóapòtsists the last pieces obt. 8, 2.
$2^{0}$. as a modifying prefix:
sákoiipìm he came in the last nbt. 125, 2.
nitaxtsakoàumaxkau (that) was the last one running obt. 16, 11.
sákoapå`xumi Last-howls-about (name of a Blood Indian man).
The independent adverb:
sakóă $\chi$ tsim otáuaksini later on his corralling (i.e. now comes the story of his corralling) nbt. 162, 17.
Cf. sakóoxtsists the latter (pieces) obt. 8, 6.
$\mathbf{s} \alpha \mathbf{m}-a$ long time.
$1^{0}$. often as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:

$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
kitáksisamainoki you will see me a long time obt. 67, $\mathrm{n}^{0}$. 8 .
áis $x$ mikòko it was late in the night nbt. 199, 8.
áis $\alpha$ mòtsisiau they had smoked a long time obt. 27, 27. áis $\alpha$ mip $x$ skàu (the buffalo) danced a long time obt. 21, 11. áis $\alpha$ mitàupiu he would sit a long time obt. 19, 30. áis $\alpha$ motonàiuaie a long time she was looking for lice on his head obt. 15, 13.
itáis $\propto$ maukunàiiau they camped a long time nbt. 4, 4. manistápis $\alpha$ mistuyixp as it was far in the winter nbt. 13, 9.
Very often it is combined with aip(i)stsik-a little; e.g. : aipstsíksis $\alpha$ mòs after a short while nbt. 29, 16.
$1^{0}$. sap- into; in the tracks; following; like.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb:
ánnixkaie áutsapò he followed it (the trail) nbt. 143, 11.
moxsokúyi tsapók follow my trail! nbt. 193, 19.
sotámoxtsapoiiau they followed it (the trail) nbt. 127, 30. támoxtsapòaie then he followed him nbt. 153, 9.
its xpau áinakasi he got into the waggon.
$2^{0}$. as a modifying prefix:
a) in(to) (the fire, the boat, a pot, etc.):
tı́mitsapà kim he put it in the pot nbt. $25,25$.
nikáitaisapìnitau $I$ have killed him in there nbt. 195, 14. matsksג́pèpumaki back-in, spring-bird! nbt. 195, 25. stáisapiksikaiit step in it! (one of my steps) nbt. 75, 11. oxtókisi itsitsx́pstaixp she drove it into her ear nbt. 149, 28.
itáisapoxtomiàuaists they put them in nbt. 6, 17.
itsítsapuxpaipiìuaie he jumped in into it obt. 46, 7. åxkéyi itsísapasoyìnai he poured water (on the hide) nbt. 25, 21.
sotámsapotoksinìuaie she stuck her hands in near (her elder sister's) kidneys nbt. 104, 2.
stámitsapòpiuaie then he sat down in (the boat) de J. de J. bt. 66/67.
b) on the trail; after; following:
tsítsxpòmaxkàt then follow up nbt. 131, 10.
moxsokúyik áutsapòmaxkau he was going back on the trail nbt. 199, 14.
t $\dot{\text { moxtsapàpauauaxkàiinai walking about, he then fol- }}$ lowed (the road) nbt. 143, 4.
ixtå $\chi k a ́ n a i s a p u ̀ k s k a ̀ s i u ~ a l l ~ t h e ~ p e o p l e ~ f o l l o w e d ~(t h a t ~ w a y) ~$ running obt. 11, 18.
ixtsítsips xpomaqkau she followed nbt. 110, 4.
mátoxtsitaisapokskasìnai then she would run after them nbt. 146, 18.
c) (to follow) to act like a person, only taken down with the verb -inausiu to dress:
nistoi nitotaisapinausiu she dressed like me nbt. 75, 25.
onå' $\chi$ kitotuisapinàus whom she dressed like nbt. 73, 29. itáitotùisapinàusiau they dressed like nbt. 72, 2.
$2^{0}$. sap- on each side; complete; right.
$1^{1}$. One example of that meaning which seems to be the fundamental one: nistói sapánisì let (buffalo) fall down on each side of me nbt. 184, 8; cf. : ki einii omí áitsistotanisinai and buffalo fell down on each side of him nbt. 184, 9 (mist- $2^{0}+(\mathrm{m}) \circ \mathrm{ot}-$ ?).
$2^{0}$. completely:
sáisap $\alpha$ nnistsiiks they did not do it completely nbt. 174, 13.
áisapanistsoiaists the nights were complete $=$ this was the last night nbt. 174, 13.
ki sápanistsau and (now) it is completed $=$ the story is at an end obt. 60, 18.
aisapánnistsèpiksis if he has completed his strikes nbt. 242, 32.
sapistútoaxk if he was satisfied nbt. 55, 20.
 nbt. 46, 7.
ánnauk sapanístsim there he (i. e. his body) was completed nbt. 143, 26.
áisapanìstsiuaie he had completed it nbt. 183, 16.
áisap $\alpha$ nnìstsimi natósiks all the moons were in nbt.
77, 27.
ki áisapanistsoyi otsinixksoaists and (when) their four songs were finished nbt. 232, 7.
ákoxtsapanistsotokåniiu she will complete her scalp-robe with (our scalps) nbt. 109, 4.
$3^{0}$. right:
mátaisapistutsìmatsaie she could not fix it (the lodge) nbt. 138, 8.
sap $\alpha$ nístsìtsinàiniki if you catch him right nbt. 141, 3.
sau-, sai- not. Besides mat(s) -, stai-, $\mathrm{k} \alpha \mathrm{tai}=$, in certain cases sai- (sau-) is used as a negative prefix:
$1^{0}$. always in the subjunctive:
saiókainiki if you don't sleep obt. 21/22.
aisauáuotòieniki if $I$ do not come (back) obt. 19, 25. saiitáiapìniki if you do not see anything nbt. 26, 19. $2^{0}$. in conjunctive forms, except when these are preceded by a $x \mathrm{k}$ - which is always followed in the negative by -stai- (-stau-):
saiépixtsis when they were not far nbt. 1, 20.
$\mathrm{p} \times \chi$ tsisaisksinisàie if he happened to forget nbt. 35, 23.
sauumáipuausi before (the people) got up obt. 3, $\mathrm{n}^{0} .10$.
aisauátsinakùs when it is seen no more obt. 50, 17. otáisauòtoxs when she did not come obt. 14, 21. otsauásaks when she would not come out obt. $24,20$. $3^{0}$. in the negative irrealis of the main clause; see: Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 47-48; Con-junctief-achtige Modi p. 25-27.
$4^{0}$. in the negative imperative 1 prs. pl. incl. after a $x \mathrm{kun}$, and in the forms of this mood which properly belong to the conjunctive system; see: Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 42-44.
$5^{0}$. in some indicative forms after certain modifying prefixes:
kimauksàuoxtauisks why don't you eat (from these bark, etc.) obt. 7, 3.
kimáuksauøkàip $\alpha$ skaxks why don't you go and dance nbt. 73, 4.
máuksaietapiskoiau why are there no people about them nbt. 104, 25.
itsáuatstunnoyiàuaiks then they were not afraid of them any more nbt. 72, 20.
stámsauatå $x k e ̀ u a s i u ~(a n d ~ t h i s ~ l a k e) ~ w a s ~ t h e n ~ n o ~ w a t e r ~$ any more obt. 49, 18.
itsáuataxsiau they (inan.) were not good nbt. 13, 11. itsáiitapiu he became not a person = he became sick obt. 61, 28.
aitsáitapisoxkòaiau no one went ahead of them nbt. 4, 2.
stamátamaiiksaiitsimau then she denied it hard nbt. $150,7$.
kitáutamsauatàksino I nearly do not see you any more obt. $29,8$.
áistamsoksaitoxkonoyiuaie he would not find her nbt. 96, 20.
itsáu $\alpha$ skaksaiiua (the smoke) will never clear out. annóxk nimoxtsaunakiotoxpi now, why $I$ did not come soon nbt. 172, 3.
áikaitsauapotoyì (the people) would not turn them loose nbt. $15,8$.
akaisauainakuyi they (inan.) have disappeared (lit. they already not have been seen) nbt. 127, 15.
sank-, see sok-.
sekun- continually. Properly never met with but combined with - (m) at-again $+-a k-i n$ the future or with - ak-alone. Examples: sekunátàkaniu he kept on saying nbt. 196, 14.
otsékunaksekak he went on kicking him obt. 22, 12. sekunákoxkumsoyiau they kept on squealing nbt. 175, 13. sekunátàkoøkuminai (his anus) kept on making noise nbt. 175, 24.
sekunákstatsiauaie they continually wanted to stop it obt. 27, 14.
sepi-, sipi- in the night.
$1^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
sépisto owl (pistó night-hawk).
$2^{0}$. in personal names:
sépisisoiàke Cuts-in-the-night-woman.
sépinamaxka Takes-gun-at-night (name of a man).
sépioto Comes-in-the-night (name of a man).
sépainixki Sings-in-the-night (name of a Blood Indian man).
sepísepistå'xkumi Owl-yells-in-the-night (idem).
sepiómotstàke Night-massacre-woman (Blood).
$3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
itsípiotoisimiu he went in the night to get a drink nbt. 199, 10.
áisepiomatòiau they started during the night nbt. 23, 1 .
amátsepitòtot try to get (down on the other side) during the night obt. 52, 13.
kokuyi... sépiapainixkiuòiau in the night they were going about singing nbt. 203, 10.
annó $k$ kokús áksepiauàuaøkaup this night we shall travel on during the night nbt. 27, 1.
sik- to stop; done.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb:
áisikò he stopped running nbt. 189, 22.
$2^{0}$. as a modifying prefix:
áisamo itsíksopu after a long while the wind stopped blowing nbt. 177, 1. Cf. sikatбiksistsikùi Monday (lit. holy-day-past).
sik $\boldsymbol{k} \mathbf{k s}$ - separate(ly); cf. ksik-sk- $\left(2^{0}\right)$ on one side: áisik $\chi \mathrm{ksauyiau}$ they ate by themselves obt. 3, 15.
simi- secretly, on the sly:
otsisimyánik he was secretly told by him obt. 21, 9. sotámisimioxkotsiu he just gave secretly (the dog to his father) obt. 11, 8.
itsiksíminixkatau he was secretly called obt. 65, 10. tamítaiisimiksisatsiua she was secretly jealous of her nbt. 149, 17.

## sini-.

siniksístsauylks Eat-before-others obt. 3, n ${ }^{0}$. 10 .
sisapok- through:
stámsisapòksistaxkapiu he then crawled through the hole nbt. 194, 32.
ixtápsisxpoksaixtsiua (his leg) went clear through nbt. 97, 15. otáisis $x$ pokoxpìsi that (his food) fell through nbt. 152, 29. sesipakaukskauaie (the pole) came out elsewhere de J. de J. bt. 28, 10 (cf. Uhlenbeck, Philol. Notes p. 18). stámo $\begin{gathered}\text { sisapòks } \alpha k s o a ̀ i e a ~(=~ s t ~ \\ \text { mo msisapòks } \alpha k s i u a i e ' a) ~\end{gathered}$ he passed through out de J. de J. bt. 28, 11.
sk- back.
$1^{n}$. as an independent verb, i.e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
itomátapsko then they started back nbt. 82, 17.
axkitskóyi that he must come back nbt. 99, 12.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
matsítskamistutsopa we moved back (towards the prairie) nbt. 5, 29.
stámitskitau then he was left there obt. 9, 10.
itsksipim she came back into the lodge obt. 38, 3.
tákskitoto $I$ shall come back here nbt. $88,9$.
iskaxkósii they stretched their hands back (i. e.: they went back to help) nbt. 24, 9.
itáskomaxkàiau then they ran back nbt. 16, 19.
matsksápèpum $\alpha$ ki back-in, spring-bird! nbt. 195, 25.
itáskauaniu the he would fly back nbt. 96, 16.
nimátakatskitapò $\chi$ p $I$ shall not go back obt. 12, 8 .
ómàpists matsítsksapokekaxs let them come back and camp again in their old camp-grounds nbt. 133, 17.
soat- cf. sui-, so-.
Once noted:
nitsitsoátåxpinan we crossed it (scil. a creek) nbt. 219, 8.
sokap- good, fine, well.
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb:
sokápsiu (an.), sokápiu (inan.) is good, is fine.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix well:
sokápiitaxsaie that he may skin it well obt. 32, 10.
áksokapsàtsim (the people) would carefully look nbt. 7, 29.
áipstsokapistutsixp it was fixed up inside nbt. 53, 28.
sok-, sauk- straight; suddenly; aloud.
a) The original meaning is perhaps to be found in: itsáukokskàsiu she ran straight on nbt. 104, 14.
b) suddenly:
sóksinìm he suddenly saw nbt. 75, 20.
támsokitotòyin then suddenly (one) came to him nbt. 74, 12.
tźmsokàtoxtoyiu he then suddenly heard him obt. 25, 1. itámsokomatàpiksisàu then (the buffalo) suddenly started to run obt. 32, 16.
it $\dot{m}$ sòkå $\chi$ tsaksiau then they suddenly came out (of the lake) obt. 9, 23.
it $\alpha$ msoksinim then (the people) suddenly saw obt. 5, 7. it $\alpha$ msoksinoyiu then he suddenly saw him nbt. 77, 1. áistamsoksaitoxkonoyiuaie and he would suddenly not find her nbt. 96, 20.
áisokotsàksiu she would go out ever and again nbt. 138, 9.
anná tómisokixtsisò there was one that came forward nbt. 73, 16.
einí itámsokitspiàupiiau they suddenly sat among the buffalo obt. 14, 20.
it'́msoksinisiin then he suddenly fell obt. 30, 31. t $\dot{m}$ sokoxtsimiu then she suddenly heard obt. $23,14$. otáutymsòksinokòaiau they were suddenly seen by them obt. $3, \mathrm{n}^{0} .10$
c) aloud; specially with the verb ani- to say:
áisokaniu he would say nbt. 8, 1.
áisokanistsiu he always said to him obt. 34, 18.
áisokanistsìuaie he aluays said to him obt. 22, 10.
áisokanì they would say nbt. $3,42$.
àisokaníau they would say nbt. 35, 20.
áistxmsokanìau then they would say nbt. 3, 4.
áisokatanistsiuaie he ever and again said to her obt. 19, 13.
N.B. itså' $\chi$ kàuasainiu he then wept aloud.
kimáumaisoxkanisks why do you say (that) aloud? obt. 41, 31.
sot $\alpha \mathbf{m}-$, st $\alpha \mathbf{m}-$; see: Uhlenbeck, Conjunctief-achtige Modi van het Blackfoot p. 3-4.
$1^{0}$. after a little while; just; cf. aut $\alpha \mathrm{m}-$, which is also found with imperative forms:
sotámitaupit just stay obt. 48, 23.
ókì sot $\dot{m}$ mipì now, come right in! nbt. 75, 17.
sot $\alpha ́ m a u y i t ~ j u s t ~ e a t!~ o b t . ~ 39, ~ 28 . ~ . ~$
sot $\alpha$ mikakòtsit just take it obt. 41, 3 .
tákstamiksistapanistapu $I$ shall go to an unknown place nbt. 73, 41.
$2^{0}$. then. Uhlenbeck l. c. says: „althans wanneer men het verloop beschrijft van regelmatig terugkeerende gebeurtenissen." E. g. :
àutakúsi táistamoxtò... nitáist $x$ moxpoxtàua in the afternoon I am going (I take my traps and a cow-head) I carry them along nbt. 236, 1-3.
Cf. the description of base-ball: nbt. 242.
$3^{0}$. then (in the past), especially frequent in narratives told by youthful, unskilled raconteurs:
nisót $\alpha$ moxtsinapò, nisót $\alpha$ moxtsoau å $\chi$ ké ; nisót $x$ mitoto nokúnan; nisótamapòs $\alpha$ mau ponokå'mitaiks I then went down, $I$ then went through the water; 1 then went to our ranch; I then was looking for the horses nbt. 243, 11 sqq.; also nbt. 227 ; nbt. 225 (all boys' experiences told by themselves).
sot $\dot{m o t a p o ̀ t s i u ~ t h e n ~ h e ~ c a m e ~ h o m e ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ m e a t ~ n b t . ~}$ 2, 9 .
áist $\alpha$ mamotapìpiaii itapótsopiks then the horses that had meat on them would be taken all over (the camp) nbt. 3, 36 .
sotámokòsimiuaie then he had him for a child nbt. 33, 11.
st $\alpha$ minisau(0) then she got off obt. 5, 17.
áistomaniau then they would say nbt. 1, 14.
st $\dot{\text { manakàsiu then he picked up obt. 8, } 31 . ~ . ~ . ~}$
stámitskitau then he was left obt. 9, 10.
stámitoto then he came nbt. 79, 6.
osótamoxkokaie he was then given to him by nbt. 76, 13.
sotámsùyistùtsiu he then moved on (on the ice) obt. 5, 10.
$4^{0}$. presently, just now:
nisót $x$ mst, axkspumáuos $I$ think just now, that we should go up.
kimáuksotamauanisks why do you say that just now?
Cf. sotáminoyìau they suddenly saw obt. $10,25$.
sp- high, above, up.
$1^{10}$. as an independent verb, specially as a predicative adjective :
spítau is high, is tall (of persons).
spímiu is high (of animals).
spiksím (an.), spiksíu (inan.) is high (of trees).
spíu is high (of things that are considered as inanimate). Cf. Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 19.
$2^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
itáspskunakatsiua then he shot up at it nbt. 161, 24.
támispiuàuaxkau then he went in deeper nbt. 80, 8;
cf. lat. mare altum.
itspániu he said upwards obt. 56, 28.
itspsápiu he looked up obt. 16, 17.
manístspsàps when he looked up obt. 65, 3.
t $\dot{m}$ mitspìniuaie then he lifted him up nbt. 153, 12.
N.B. nitsistsispi $I$ have head-ache.

Adverbial forms are:
spóxts(i) on high obt. 57, 13.
spóxtxk above obt. $66, \mathrm{n}^{0} .3$.
spóxtsim on high obt. $68, \mathrm{n}^{0} .9$.
spóxtm on high obt. $67, \mathrm{n}^{0} .7$.
st(axt)- under (especially: under the water):
Perhaps we have the kernel of this prefix in the verb-stem for "to dive"; e. g.:
itsúistaiìu he dived in (the water) obt. 64, 12.
itsístaiiu he dived under the water nbt. 79, 33.
Otherwise these forms may be compounds with istin. Probably also the following forms contain an element akin to staxt-:
stźmatsistaұkapìinai he crawled in again nbt. 108, 5.
itsástaxkapiu he crawled out from the lodge.
áistoxkàpiu the sun went down nbt. 27, 4.
aistzxkapiàiks they crawled in nbt. 195, 16.
stámsisapòksistaqkapiu he then crawled through the hole nbt. 194, 32.
itsístaxkapiu he crept in among it nbt. 107, 28.
Examples:
istáxtsikokùto it (the creek) was frozen under (the surface) nbt. 219, 8.
åxké itzstáxtaupiu he stays under water obt. $10,15$.
itźstapiniuaie she pulled (the crow's) head under the water nbt. 151, 19.
The adverbial form in:
istáxtsim åxké under the water nbt. 93, 22.
stan-, stai- not. It seems to be a secondary form of sau-, sai-, e.g., after a $x \mathrm{k}-$, produced by phonetical influences.
sui-, so- in(to), close by (the water, the fire).
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i.e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
itå $x$ k $\dot{n}$ naisoòiau they all went in (to the water) nbt. 23, 9.
niétaxtaii támisoo then he went into the river nbt. 79, 25.
$2^{0}$. as an element of nominal forms:
annísk suiétapiisk that person of the water obt. 49, 5 . suiést $\alpha$ mik water-bull obt. 8, 24; obt. 10, 12.
suyáiksini Hog-in-water (name of a woman).
$3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix; frequently as the last member of combinations, e. g. -opits-is-(s)o-, -opit-so-, and -is-(s)o-; cf. opit(s)-2a and $2 b$; and is - 4:
itsuiapàutsim she swam about in the water nbt. 151, 2. itsitápsuià $\chi$ pàipiuaie he jumped into the water towards him nbt. 80, 2.
óxkotokì ákaitaisuyixtakiòp they have already a stone in the fire nbt. 59, 22.
noxkítsuièpotòkit you must put me into the water obt. 12, 11.
otsáiaukskàsau when they ran into the water obt. 10, 23. suiśsapiu he looked into the water obt. 58, 24.
itsóyiàxkimaie he paddled them (the logs which were tied together) in obt. 57, 10.
itsáistaiiu he dived in obt. 64, 12.
ixpitsùiotsimaie then he swam in the water with him nbt. 80, 17.
omátsuyisaps when he looked in again into the water obt. 64, 14.
itsúyå $\neq$ kumi Yells-in-the-water (name of a man).
itsúinokåxkumi Elk-yells-in-the-water (name of a woman).
suyéniki Kills-in-the-water (name of a woman).
sotámsùyistùtsiu he then moved on (across the frozen water) obt. 5, 10.
itáutsuistùtsiuaie they used to move to the water obt. 5,4 . ánnimaie itsúitomo there was a butte right close to the creek nbt. 214, 17.
Adverb: potáni isoóxtsim right near the fire nbt. 153, 1 ; cf. however the adverbial form of is -: isóxtsik in the future nbt. 51,$24 ; 44,8 ; 215,12$.
$\mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{m}-$, cf. a ut $\alpha \mathrm{m}-, \quad \mathrm{s}(0) \mathrm{t} \propto \mathrm{m}-$.
$1^{1}$. after a while, later on, with imperatives:
támanikit tell me later on nbt. 73, 25.
támapsàmmokit look for me later on nbt. 74, 3.
$2^{0}$. after that; then:
otámisksinòkaie then she was known by him nbt. 73, 29.
támitaupiu then he stayed nbt. 76, 24.
t $\alpha$ mitoto then they got to nbt. 3, 5.
t $\alpha$ 'maxkàiiu then he went home nbt. 79, 2.
támipim then he entered nbt. 77, 10.
tómiksistsip $\alpha$ skau then (the women) had done dancing nbt. 73, 34.
tamótapaxkaiiau then they started home obt. $1, \mathrm{n}^{0} .3$. t $\dot{\text { mimitapò then he went nbt. 77, } 9 .}$
támsàkapò then he went out on the prairie nbt. 77, 1. Often $\mathrm{t} \alpha \mathrm{m}$ - is combined with sok- (see there sub $b$ for examples).
$1^{1}$. tap- to go; to(wards).
$1^{10}$. as an independent verb, e.g.: áitapo he starts; cf. a umatap- sub $1^{0}$.
$2^{\circ}$. as a verbal prefix (N.B. in most of the following examples it is not easy to make out whether we have to do with (t) a p-or with ap-):
itsitápsuiåxpàipiuaie he jumped into the water towards nbt. $80,2$.
itápaisumistsim he began to lick (his arrow) nbt. 110, 27. ámoxk amítoxts tápipiksik run that way higher up nbt. 201, 7.
tápopomåxtsi towards the other side of the river nbt. 88, 14.
itsitápsooi he went into the water towards him nbt. 88, 19.
itsitápsuyistàii then he dived into the water (towards) nbt. 88, 13.
itápoxpatskòtsiu (the people) then began to rush nbt. 79, 17.
itápaisauàkstsitsikiòp then we began to put on other moccasins nbt. 29, 2.
tápaisinikimatsiuaie then (the people) began to make coups on him nbt. 81, 2.
matsit $\dot{p}$ pskomaxkàt run back again nbt. 187/188.
anápàutsimàt take quickly nbt. 146, 10.
anápaiàkòt be prepared to go quick nbt. 122, 15.
annápaiàkitapiit now prepare yourself nbt. 140, 4.
annápaiinimàt now begin to catch (your horses) nbt. 3 , 5 .
$2^{0}$. tap-. Only once noted in an adverbial form:
ki amóksi tapó $\chi$ tsik otsinánoaii and (the stick) of those on the other side nbt. $34,26$.
tatsik- in, to the middle; between:
áupixtatsikiotsimaie he swam to the middle (of the river) nbt. 80, 20.
nítstxtsikistòkioko $I$ am hit between the ears nbt. 184, 13.
osáutatsiksiketan his saddle-blanket nbt. 36, 9.
The independent adverb is rather frequent:
tátsikaztsim in the centre nbt. 181, 8.
omím óm $\alpha \chi$ ksikimìu tátsikaxtsim there in the middie of a lake nbt. 74, 7.
uxs-, å $\mathrm{s}^{-}$- back(wards), behind (perhaps akin to (m)oxsoyís tail).
$1^{0}$. as an independent verb, i. e. only followed by verbalizing suffixes:
itå'zso they moved back (to the north) nbt. 8, 17.
otótoxsaii when they came back nbt. $49,5$.
$2^{0}$. in adverbial forms:
$\mathrm{k} \propto$ náu uso tsi behind nbt. 72, 6 .
åzsókapòztsi on the back-side nbt. 35, 4.
$3^{0}$. as a verbal prefix:
a) in the combination $0 \chi \operatorname{so}(\chi) \mathrm{k}$ - (cf. 20 . ak(s)round):
nisót $\not$ miksàs, nitsítozsoko, nitáut $\alpha$ mixs $\alpha m m a u 1$ then hid myself, I went around him (being out of sight), I looked up at him nbt. 216, 15.
matsítoxsoxkatokskàsimiuaie he ran again around after him, being out of sight nbt. 178, 10.
mátsitoxsoxkatàtsiuaie he again went around to him, being out of sight nbt. 179, 1.
b) combined with apat-back:
áputoxsàisxpiu he was looking north nbt. 66, 19; see apat- sub $3^{0}$.
c) See $\mathrm{pu} \chi \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{ap})$-.

## ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA.

P. 2, 1. 7 from beneath. Read: I had better say, (instead of: I had better, say).
P. 3, l. 4. The full stop is to be cancelled.
P. 11, l. 4 from beneath. Read: „secon- (instead of: "secon).
P. 14, l. 11. Read: ap $x$ tó $x$ tsik, (instead of: ap $\alpha$ tó $\chi$ tsik').
P. 14, l. 5 sq. from beneath. These lines are to be cancelled. There are many verbal forms ending in $-\mathbf{i x k}$, but there we have the relative suffix $-x k$ in its palatalized form. The forms ending in -ixp (i) and $-0 \chi p(i)$ are compounded with the relative suffix - pi.
P. 36. Before axtso- ought to have been mentioned the nominal and verbal prefix axs good, well, belonging to áxsi good. Examples:
kénni nisótxmipuұsapaiaxsaupixpinan and then we lived together all right till now nbt. 222, 13. ázsapistùtsitau take good care of (my partner's clothes) nbt. 159, 11. á sauàuaksisàt run well! obt. $14,4$.
P. 36, 1. 12. Read: -omai-, -umai- (instead of: omai-, umai-). Reference ought to have been made here to

Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 19, as to the use of this prefix in adjectives.
P. 40, 1. 23. The words „or up the prairie?" are to be cancelled.
P. 44, two last lines. The correct translation of the form is: there down the river (the people) would then be camped about.
P. $45,1.7$. Read: kímåxtapauàuaxkaxp (instead of: kímå $\chi$ tapauàuaұkaup).
P. 52, 1. 11-7 from beneath. Read: ik(s)-, or iik(s)(with "Zerdehnung", as German philologists would say), is also found in the combinations ikskai(from iks-ikai-), and ikskaiik(s)-. Perhaps $\mathrm{ik}(\mathrm{s})$ - is cognate with ak-many, much. Cf. for its use in adjectives: Uhlenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 19.
P. 53, l. 20. The form ikamípixtsii is morphologically an indicative. It might be called a "false" conjunctive, like the indicative-forms with a $x \mathrm{k}-$.
P. 54 sq. The articles ikit- and (i)kin- ought to change places.
P. 55, 1. 6 from beneath. The comma after -ixp - is to be cancelled.
P. 61, l. 6 from beneath. Read: as (instead of: e.g.).
P. 62, 1. 17 sq. This form does not belong here (cf. pot-).
P. 63, l. 17. The form itsiniso belongs together with those mentioned sub in- $1^{0}$ (p. 59). The opposite of in-is- is am-is-(i.e. ami- +is-), e. g. áuàmiso he is going up, itsitápamisòyinai she went up to them nbt. 110,18 (cf. p. 39, 1. 20).
P. 66. Before it $\alpha$ m- ought to have been mentioned the verbal prefix itam- happy, belonging to the verb itamítakiu, e. g.:
áitàmiksistsikùi it was a fine day nbt. $25,6$. áitàmauauaxkàiiau they went happy about nbt. 25, 7.
P. 71, 1. 12 from beneath. Read: k $\alpha$ táiimiks (instead of: katáiimiks).
P. 73, l. 5 from beneath. Read: he could not jump out (instead of: he could not get out of the water).
P. 75, l. 8. Read: tátsikaiksistsikùyi (instead of: tátsikaiksistsikùyi).
P. 75, 1. 7 from beneath. Read: then (the people) had done dancing (instead of: then the people had done dancing).
P. 77, 1. 3. Besides the example given here there are many other nominal compounds with manisk(s), e.g. manisksínokåmita young horse, mániskomađkstoki young mule, mániskòmità young dog, maniskáiksini young hog, mániskoxkyàio young bear, maniskéini young buffalo, etc.
P. 77, l. 13 from beneath. Add: An extended form of manist- (anist-) is manist $\alpha$ p- (anist $\alpha$ p-).
P. 78, 1. 5. tákanistò $\chi$ paxtau is a dependent interrogative form from the verbal stem anisto, and so it belongs sub $1^{0}$ (p. 77).
P. 78, l. 16. anist $\alpha$ pitapiau is a verbalized nominal form.
P. 81, l. 1. Read: berries (instead of: berrie).
P. 81, l. 5. Read: forms: (instead of: forms).
P. 85, 1. 5. The translation ought to be: he smoked harder instead.
P. 89, l. 4 from beneath. nimótsipitàkeixpinan is, of course, a verbalized nominal form.
P. 91, l. 3. The correct translation is: he finally ended his smoking.
P. 93, l. 4. Reference ought to have been made here to Ublenbeck, Some General Aspects p. 19, as to the use of nit(s) - in adjectives.
P. 94, l. 4 from beneath. Read: (the people) (instead of they).
P. 99, 1. 5. Read: combined with -so- it has the meaning ashore, from the fire (instead of: combined with -so- ashore, from the fire).
P. 104, l. 18. Read: very far (instad of: far).

## ABBREVIATIONS, ETC.

obt. $=$ C. C. Uhlenbeck, Original Blackfoot Texts. Verhandelingen Kon. Akad. van Wetensch., Amsterdam ; Afd. Letterk. N. R. Deel XII nº. 1. 1911.
nbt. $=$ C. C. Uhlenbeck, A New Series of Blackfoot Texts. Verhandelingen Kon. Akad. van Wetensch., Amsterdam; Afd. Letterk. N. R. Deel XIII n ${ }^{0}$. 1. 1912. de J. de J. bt. = J. P. B. de Josselin de Jong, Blackfoot Texts. Verhandelingen Kon. Akad. van Wetensch., Amsterdam; Afd. Letterk. N. R. Deel XIV n ${ }^{0}$. 4. 1914.

As to the numbers which are placed after these abbreviations, the first denotes the page, the second, after the comma, the line.

I have also made use of materials in manuscript collected by Dr. Uhlenbeck and by Dr. de Josselin de Jong.
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[^0]:    *) „Im Siamesischen (sieh hinterind. Abschn. 3 fin.) nimmt die den präpositionalen Begriff enthaltende Verbalwurzel öfters die zweite Stelle ein: au $m \bar{a}$,herbeibringen", khin $m \bar{a}$, heraufsteigen", khảu mā, hereinkommen", aber: pai mā ,gehen, kommen, besuchen" (mā „kommen her, herbei"; khín ,steigen, auf"; kháu lat. ,intrare" und ,intra"); pen khin ,aufleben", luk khín "sich erheben"; kháu pai "hineingehen"; ein klarer präpositioneller oder adverbialer Begriff existiert auch hier nicht, weil man nicht genau weiss, welche Verbalwurzel die andere bestimmt und ob nicht vielmehr beide gleichwertige Bestandteile der Verbindung sind."

[^1]:    *) W. Jones, Some Principles of Algonquian Word-formation. American Anthropologist. N(ew) S(eries) VI (1906) p. 369 sqq.
    **) W. Jones and T. Michelson, Algonquian (Fox) in Handbook of American Indian Languages I .
    **) A. L. Kroeber, Arapaho Dialects (Un. of California Publications, etc. Vol. XII. No. 3, June 28, 1916).

[^2]:    *) Verhandelingen der Kon. Akad. v. Wetenschappen Amsterdam. Afd. Letterk. N. R. Deel XIV. No. 5. Juni 1914.

[^3]:    *) - aistok- stands for - aisto $\chi \mathrm{k}$. Examples of such inconstant aspiration are numerous.

[^4]:    ") C. C. Uhlenbeck. Philological Notes to Dr. J. P. B. de Josselin de Jong's Blackfoot Texts. Verhandelingen der Kon. Akad. v. Wetenschappen. Afd. Letterkunde. N. R. DI. XVI. No. 1. Amsterdam, Juni 1915.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {* }}$ ) Versl. en Meded. d. Kon. Akad. v. Wetenschappen. Afd. Letterkunde. $5 e$ R. Dl. II. 1916. Amsterdam.

[^6]:    ") Uhlenbeck, Festschrift Thomsen, p. 75, Leipzig 1912.

[^7]:    $1^{1}$. ksist- done, complete.

